

'Pedestrian falls' as necessary addition to the current definition of traffic crashes for improved public health policies

Methorst, Rob; Schepers, Paul; Christie, Nicola; Dijst, Martin; Risser, Ralf; Sauter, Daniel; van Wee, Bert

DOI

[10.1016/j.jth.2017.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jth.2017.02.005)

Publication date

2016

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

Published in

Journal of Transport and Health

Citation (APA)

Methorst, R., Schepers, P., Christie, N., Dijst, M., Risser, R., Sauter, D., & van Wee, B. (2016). 'Pedestrian falls' as necessary addition to the current definition of traffic crashes for improved public health policies. *Journal of Transport and Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jth.2017.02.005>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 'Pedestrian falls' as necessary addition to the current definition of 2 traffic crashes for improved public health policies.

3
4
5

6 1. Introduction

7 Key to the development of public health policies and strategies is the accurate
8 definition of the problem(s) under review. Accurate problem definition fences off
9 undesirable circumstances, highlighting some aspects and throwing others in the
10 shadow (Weiss, 1989). Widely accepted definitions of traffic crashes focus on vehicle
11 crashes (sometimes further restricted to *motor* vehicle crashes) occurring on public
12 roads. These definitions exclude incidents such as pedestrians slipping, tripping or
13 colliding with objects resulting in falls in public spaces leading to injury or death. Such
14 incidents are hereafter denoted in short as Pedestrian Falls (PFs)

15 The current definition is understandable from a historical perspective, but it
16 may no longer be accurate or justifiable. The exclusion of PFs by definition and,
17 subsequently, in statistics is likely to lead to biased conclusions in transport and
18 safety policies, which do not serve public health interests. This paper focuses on the
19 problem regarding definition only and not on reporting issues even though these are
20 important as evidenced from frequently missing single-bicycle crashes (which, unlike
21 PFs, are defined as traffic crashes in most countries) (Veisten et al., 2007).

22 The current definition of traffic crashes emerged in the early 20th century
23 when motorisation led to increasing numbers of people losing their lives in motor
24 vehicle crashes (Norman, 1962). As a consequence, traffic crashes were defined and
25 measured as (motor) vehicle crashes. Indeed, Norman (1962) described that in the
26 United States in 1957, deaths following motor vehicle crashes exceeded the
27 combined deaths from all infectious and communicable diseases at all ages. The risk
28 of pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes was particularly high, with pedestrian deaths
29 following motor vehicle crashes in New York City in 1959 amounting to 70% of all
30 officially recorded traffic crash deaths (Norman, 1962). It is likely that, compared to
31 the number of official traffic crash deaths, the number of deaths following PFs was
32 negligible. Nowadays, PFs no longer appear to be a negligible problem, especially in
33 developed countries with their ageing populations as older people have a high risk of
34 serious PFs. Currently in the Netherlands more elderly people are fatally injured from
35 a pedestrian fall in public space than from pedestrian-vehicle collisions (Den Hertog
36 et al., 2013).

37
38

39 2. Recent research on pedestrian falls

40 Although there have been very few, if any, official statistics of PFs until recent times,
41 first studies show the size of the problem. According to Den Hertog et al. (2013) a
42 little more than half of all pedestrian deaths and the vast majority of non-fatal
43 pedestrian casualties in the Netherlands are now as a result of a PF. Table 1, which
44 also includes data from Switzerland and Austria, shows that in the present-day road
45 system, figures based on the current definition of traffic crashes do not provide a
46 comprehensive overview of crash victims on public roads. Also, Mindell et al. (2015)
47 found that of all pedestrian casualties hospitalised in England (2007-2009) with a

48 specified International Classification of Diseases (ICD) coding 23,528 were involved
 49 in a road traffic accident and 76,087 were injured in falls on the public highway,
 50 therefore the number of PF casualties were, similar to Dutch figures (Den Hertog et
 51 al., 2013), over three times greater than those involving a motorised vehicle. Both
 52 Den Hertog et al. and Furian et al. (2011) found that about three quarters of the PFs
 53 were related to bad or slippery pavement conditions, i.e. lack of ‘walkability’ as
 54 defined by how conducive, friendly and safe the urban environment is for walking
 55 (Abley, 2005). It is however beyond the aims of this paper to discuss the literature on
 56 walkability and factors having an impact on the level of walkability and related PFs.

57
 58
 59
 60

Table 1 The Proportion of SP casualties on public roads in the Netherlands, Switzerland, and Austria.

	the Netherlands 2011(Den Hertog et al., 2013)		Switzerland 2011(BFU, 2014)		Austria 2009 Furian et al., 2011)	
	numbers	%	numbers	%	numbers	%
total number of injured road users	180,000	100	138,000	100	104,000	100
Injured pedestrians	48,000	27	56,700	41	36,500	35
of which traffic crash	5,000	3	2,400	2	4,000	4
of which PFs	43,000	24	55,300	40	32,500	31
total number of hospitalised road users	41,000	100	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
hospitalised pedestrians	11,000	27	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
of which traffic crash	2,000	5	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
of which PFs	8,600	21	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Total number of fatalities	747	100	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
pedestrian fatalities	160	21	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
of which traffic crash	74	10	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
of which PFs	86	12	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75

Probably owing to definitional bias and lack of accessible data, only a few researchers with a transport and walkability focus (Den Hertog et al., 2013; Furian et al., 2011; Methorst & Schepers, 2010; Öberg, 2011; Mindell et al., 2015, Oxley et al. 2016) have started to estimate the size of the PF problem; however, most research into pedestrian injury/death incidents, is still restricted to pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes (Elvik et al., 2009). By contrast, the problem of falls is well recognized among researchers in the field of epidemiology. The World Health Organisation estimates that globally approximately 37.3 million falls occur each year that are severe enough to require medical attention, with an estimated 424,000 falls occurring that result in fatal injuries (WHO 2014). This is the second leading cause of unintentional injury death (WHO 2014).

Unfortunately for transport- and public space related researchers, these figures also include falls indoors and in private gardens. As most studies on falls and interventions by epidemiologists combine all falls regardless of location, the

76 outcomes are of limited use for road and public space authorities. It is, however,
77 positive that some researchers recently have started to at least distinguish between
78 indoor and outdoor falls (Kelsey et al. 2010).

79
80

81 3. Consequences of excluding pedestrian falls

82 The exclusion of PFs from transport research is likely to lead to biased conclusions
83 about the link between road safety and the design of our road transport system. Elvik
84 et al. (2009) described an interesting example based on Norwegian research. The
85 risk of injuries (injuries per kilometre travelled) for car occupants is two times higher
86 than that for bus passengers. This suggests that the number of injuries decreases
87 when people shift from driving to using buses or trains. However, this conclusion only
88 appears to apply to injuries falling within the official definition of road traffic crashes
89 (excluding PFs). According to Elvik et al. (2009: 1064), “The unrecorded injuries from
90 falls will, however, increase so much that no overall gain in safety can be expected if
91 car users start using buses or trains.”

92 Similarly, it is difficult to rule out the possibility that results from studies on
93 pedestrian crossings are biased by the restriction of research to motor vehicle
94 crashes (Elvik et al., 2009). Nyman et al. (2013) recently found that PFs occurred
95 most frequently while pedestrians were crossing a road. As Den Hertog et al. (2013)
96 suggested, the large majority of non-fatal pedestrian casualties are PF victims. This
97 may also be applicable to pedestrian crossings. This means that walkability factors
98 such as differing kerb heights may have a similar or greater significance on overall
99 safety outcomes than factors relevant to pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes.

100 We expect that the number of severe pedestrian injuries in motor vehicle
101 crashes in developed countries will further decrease in the future. More speed-
102 reducing measures and new mechanical systems such as automated braking and
103 pedestrian airbags on car bonnets have the potential to reduce the risk of fatalities
104 and the severity of pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes. However, our ageing
105 population means that without the introduction of new public health and road safety
106 policies severe injuries from PFs are likely to increase. This increase and related
107 mobility and reduced physical activity problems among the elderly are unacceptable
108 from the perspective of public health. If we are to address the problem of PFs, the
109 first thing we have to do is agree on a comprehensive definition of incidents that
110 include PFs on an equal basis besides traffic crashes.

111
112

113 4. Discussion

114 We recommend to consider changing the definition (for instance in the International
115 Classification of Diseases) to the following: “any vehicle crash and pedestrian fall
116 occurring on in the public road spaces.” For the same reasons of usability by
117 authorities we recommend to broaden public roads to public spaces. The inclusion of
118 PFs in the definition would lay the basis for the collection of more comprehensive
119 data on injuries on public roads and in public spaces. This would inform more
120 accurate research and analysis of traffic risks and lead to better input and guidance
121 for road authorities, urban planners, and public health authorities, to enable them to
122 design inclusive and safe public spaces, improve walkability and thereby helping the
123 elderly to stay mobile, independent and (physically) active.

124

125

126 Funding sources

127 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
128 commercial, or non-profit sectors.

129

130

131 References

132 Abley, S., 2005. Walkability scoping paper. [http://www.levelofservice.com/walkability-](http://www.levelofservice.com/walkability-research.pdf)
133 [research.pdf](http://www.levelofservice.com/walkability-research.pdf) (accessed 20-12-2016).

134 BFU, 2014. STATUS 2014: Statistics on Non-occupational Accidents and the Level of
135 Safety in Switzerland, Road traffic, Sports, Home and Leisure. BFU – Swiss
136 Council for Accident Prevention, Berne.

137 Den Hertog, P., Draisma, C., Kemler, E., et al., 2013. Ongevallen bij ouderen tijdens
138 verplaatsingen buitenshuis (Accidents with seniors during outdoors trips).
139 VeiligheidNL, Amsterdam.

140 Elvik, R., Høyve, A., Vaa, T., et al., 2009. The Handbook of Road Safety Measures.
141 Emerald, Bingley.

142 Furian, G., Kühnelt-Leidihh, A., Bauer, R., 2011. Das Unfallrisiko auf Fußwegen in
143 Österreich. KfV Österreichischer Verkehrssicherheitsfonds, Vienna.

144 Kelsey, J.L., Berry, S.D., Procter-Gray, E., et al., 2010. Indoor and outdoor falls in
145 older adults are different: the maintenance of balance, independent living, intellect,
146 and Zest in the Elderly of Boston Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2010 Nov;58(11):2135-
147 41. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03062.x PMID: 20831726.

148 Methorst. R., Schepers, P., 2010. Letselongevallen van Voetgangers en Fietzers
149 (Injury Accidents of Pedestrians and Cyclists). Rijkswaterstaat, Delft.

150 Mindell, J.S., Leslie, D., Wardlaw, M., 2015. Exposure-Based, 'Like-for-Like'
151 Assessment of Road Safety by Travel Mode Using Routine Health Data. *PLOS*,
152 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050606>

153 Norman, L., 1962. Road Traffic Accidents: Epidemiology, Control, and Prevention.
154 World Health Organization, Geneva.

155 Nyman, S., Ballinger, C., Phillips, J., et al., 2013. Characteristics of outdoor falls
156 among older people: A qualitative study. *BMC Geriatr.* 2013 Nov;13:125. doi:
157 10.1186/1471-2318-13-125 PMID: 24245830

158 Öberg, G., 2011. Skadada fotgängare (Injured Pedestrians). VTI, Linköping.

159 Oxley, J., O'Hern, S., Burt, D., Rossiter, B., 2016. Fall-Related Injuries While
160 Walking in Victoria, Victoria Walks, Melbourne.

161 Veisten, K., Saelensminde, K., Alvaer, K., et al., 2007. Total costs of bicycle injuries
162 in Norway: correcting injury figures and indicating data needs. *Accid Anal Prev.*
163 2007 Nov;39(6):1162-9. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2007.03.002 PMID: 17920839.

164 Weiss, J. 1989. The powers of problem definition: The case of government
165 paperwork. *Policy Sciences.* 1989 May;22(2):97-121. doi: 10.1007/BF00141381.

166 WHO, 2014. Falls (Fact sheet no 344) World Health Organisation, Geneva.

'Pedestrian falls' as necessary addition to the current definition of traffic crashes for improved public health policies.

Rob Methorst,^a Paul Schepers,^b Nicola Christie,^c Martin Dijst,^b Ralf Risser,^d Daniel Sauter,^e and Bert van Wee^f

a external PhD candidate Delft University of Technology, Jan van Gelderdreef 15, 2253 VH Voorschoten, The Netherlands, e-mail: rob.methorst@telfort.nl

b Department of Human Geography and Spatial Planning, Faculty of Geosciences, Utrecht University, Heidelberglaan 2, Utrecht 3584 CS, The Netherlands, e-mail: M.J.Dijst@uu.nl

c Department of Civil, Environment & Geomatic Engineering, UCL Transport Institute, University College of London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom, e-mail: nicola.christie@ucl.ac.uk

d Department of Psychology, Palacky University, Olomouc, Czech Republic; FACTUM OHG, Danhausergasse 6/4, Wien 1040, Austria, ralf.risser@factum.at

e Urban Mobility Research, Muehlebachstrasse 69, Zurich 8008, Switzerland, e-mail: daniel.sauter@urban-mobility.ch

f Faculty Technology, Policy and Management, Delft University of Technology, Jaffalaan 5, 2628 BX Delft, The Netherlands, e-mail: g.p.vanwee@tudelft.nl

Corresponding author: Rob Methorst, e-mail: rob.methorst@telfort.nl