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#### Abstract

The zero-sequence inductance is a vital parameter for the performance analysis of permanent magnet machines, especially under faulty conditions or in open-winding machines. This paper focuses on the zero-sequence inductance calculation of fractional slot concentrated winding permanent magnet synchronous machines (FSCW-PMSMs), and reveals the variation regularity of this kind of inductance and its various components against pole/slot combinations, using the winding function and finite element methods. Moreover, based on the analysis of 36-slot FSCWPMSMs with different pole numbers, the dependency of each inductance component on the pole/slot combination is discussed in detail. To validate the regularities, experimental measurements of zero-sequence inductance are implemented in the prototype of the 36 -slot stator. It is found that the regularities are independent of specific slot or pole numbers, which provide guidelines for optimum pole/slot combination selection, to mitigate the problems caused by zero-sequence current.


Index Terms—Fractional slot concentrated winding, inductance measurement, permanent magnet machine, winding function, zerosequence inductance.

## I. INTRODUCTION

0WING to their high torque density, high efficiency, and superior fault tolerance, fractional slot concentrated winding permanent magnet synchronous machines (FSCW-PMSMs) are attractive in many fields, such as servo, wind power generation, and wheel-hub traction [1]-[3]. Fractional slot concentrated winding machines (FSCWMs) feature short end winding, large pole/slot numbers, and thin stator/rotor yokes, and thus a torque

[^0]
(a) 3-phase winding with a neutral ground

(b) Single-phase short circuit

(c) 3-phase machine with open windings

Fig. 1. Scenarios where zero-sequence current exists.
density higher than that of integer slot distributed winding machines (ISDWMs) is usually produced.

Due to a fractional number of slots per pole per phase $q$, FSCWMs have vast feasible pole/slot combinations [4], especially when the slot and pole numbers are large. Moreover, for certain combination, more than one winding layouts (phase coil arrangement) exist [5]. As a consequence, selecting the optimum pole/slot combination for FSCWMs is complicated. Simply multiplying the unit combination, such as 8P9S (8-poles 9-slots FSCWM with two-layer windings) and 10P12S, may not result in the most competitive solution.

Therefore, comparisons of key performance indicators for different pole/slot combinations are essential at the initial design stage, e.g., torque density [6], electromagnetic loss reduction [7], unbalanced magnetic pull [8], toque ripple [9], new winding scheme [10], fault-tolerant ability [11] et al. These researches evaluate and compare performances from the 3-phase balanced magnetic field point of view, assuming there is no zero-sequence current. Whereas, in the cases of the faulty condition [12], open-winding machine [13] or star-connected winding scheme with grounded neutral point [14], as shown in Fig. 1, the zero-sequence current exists and related issues arise, such as additional electromagnetic loss, torque ripples [14], and low control accuracy [15].

A large zero-sequence (ZS) inductance $L_{0}$ helps to reduce zero-sequence current [15] or improves the performance of the fault-tolerant operation. On the other hand, accurate calculation of $L_{0}$ is essential for the steady/dynamic simulation, controller design, and fault-handling control strategy, e.g., common-mode voltage rejection. Some researchers investigated the calculation
of $L_{0}$ [16], and its influencing factors [17], yet the variation of $L_{0}$ against pole/slot combinations, accurate separation of various components of $L_{0}$, and relevant experimental validations are absent.

The purpose of this paper is to derive the general variation regularity of $L_{0}$ and its components for FSCWMs with various pole/slot combinations, which contributes to determining the optimum design space of pole/slot numbers, solving the issues caused by zero-sequence current, and improving the faulttolerant ability at the machine design stage, instead of resorting to the control side [13], [18], [19]. In addition, this paper presents the measurement of zero-sequence inductance under various conditions, to validate the regularities by experimental results.

Specifically, 36-slot FSCW-PMSMs with different pole numbers are exampled to demonstrate the variation regularities of ZS inductance, using analytical winding function theory and finite element method (FEM). The contents of this paper are arranged as: in Section II, the magnetomotive force (MMF) of ISDWMs and FSCWMs are compared, to discuss the source of ZS inductance. Based on the winding function theory, the air-gap and intrinsic ZS inductances are analytically calculated and compared. Section III introduces the source of the stray ZS inductance and explains the reason for the low accuracy of analytical models. Meanwhile, FEM is used to calculate each component of ZS inductance, to further reveal the variation regularities. Then, the prototype of the 36 -slot FSCWM and experimental setups are introduced, where ZS inductances are measured to validate the variation regularities.

Owing to less rotor flux leakage and higher torque density, a surface-mounted permanent magnet (SPM) rotor is more often used in FSCWMs. Meanwhile, as was pointed out in [20], [21], the double-layer winding compromises the spatial MMF harmonics, manufacturing complexity, and fault-tolerant ability, compared to single-layer and multi-layer windings, thus are preferred in FSCWMs. Therefore, this paper deals with FSCWMs with SPM rotors and double-layer windings.

## II. Calculation of Intrinsic ZS Inductance in ISDWMs and FSCWMs

This section discusses the source and calculation of the intrinsic ZS inductance $L_{i 0}$ and compares its quantity in ISDWMs and FSCWMs.

## A. Winding Layouts and MMFs of ISDWM and FSCWM

Taking 8-poles 48-slots ISDWM (8P48S) and 8-poles 9-slots FSCWM (8P9S) as examples, two-layer winding layouts of them are shown in Fig. 2. When ignoring the slotting and saturation effect, the single-phase and synthesized 3-phase armature MMFs with purely sine current fed into windings are illustrated in Fig. 3, where each MMF is normalized to the ampere-turns of a coil.

It can be seen from Fig. 3, due to a large number of slots per pole per phase ( $q=2$ ), the winding layout of 8 P 48 S resembles a sinusoidal distribution. As a consequence, the single-phase and synthesized 3-phase MMFs are approximately sinusoidal waves, and spatial harmonics have high orders but small amplitudes, compared to the fundamental. Nevertheless, MMF of 8P9S is


Fig. 2. Winding layouts of 8 P 48 S and 8 P 9 S .


Fig. 3. The armature MMFs of 8P48S and 8P9S.
far from sinusoidal, the spatial harmonics have orders close to and amplitudes comparable to that of the fundamental. Some of these harmonics are canceled in the synthesized MMF, and will not affect the performances of a 3-phase balanced system. But for the scenarios presented in Fig. 1, the zero-sequence current appears, and these canceled harmonics influence the machine's performance significantly.

## B. Intrinsic ZS Inductance

The armature MMF produced by U-phase winding relates to its winding function $N_{u}(\theta)$, which has even symmetry and can be decomposed to the Fourier series as

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{u}(\theta)= & \frac{2 T_{p h} k_{w 1}}{\pi} \cos \left(\theta+\varphi_{1}\right)+\frac{2 T_{p h} k_{w 2}}{2 \pi} \cos \left(2 \theta+\varphi_{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{2 T_{p h} k_{w 3}}{3 \pi} \cos \left(3 \theta+\varphi_{3}\right)+\ldots \\
= & \frac{2 T_{p h}}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=1,2,3, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{k_{w v}}{\nu} \cos \left(\nu \theta+\varphi_{\nu}\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 4. Spectra of the single-phase MMF of 8P48S and 8P9S.
where $k_{w \nu}$ and $\varphi_{\nu}$ are the winding factor and initial phase of the $\nu^{\text {th }}$ harmonic respectively. $\varphi_{\nu}$ can only be $\pi$ or 0 due to the even symmetry of $N_{u}(\theta) . T_{p h}$ is the total turns in series of a phase winding. It is noteworthy that, $\theta$ is measured in mechanical reference here. With this definition, the $1^{\text {st }}$ harmonic has a period of $2 \pi$ mechanical radians, and not necessarily be the fundamental. For example, in 8P9S, the $1^{\text {st }}$ harmonic has one pole pair along the circumference, yet the fundamental has 4 pole pairs and is the $4^{\text {th }}$ harmonic.

When all phase windings are excited by a 3-phase sinusoidal current (3), the synthesized winding function $N_{u v w}(\theta)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{u v w}(\theta)=\frac{3 T_{p h} k_{w 1}}{\pi} \cos \left(\theta+\varphi_{1}\right)+\frac{3 T_{p h} k_{w 2}}{2 \pi} \cos \left(2 \theta+\varphi_{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{3 T_{p h} k_{w 4}}{4 \pi} \cos \left(4 \theta+\varphi_{4}\right)+\ldots \\
& =\frac{3 T_{p h}}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{\nu=1,2,3, \ldots \\
\nu \neq 3 k n, k=1,2,3, \ldots}}^{\infty} \frac{k_{w v}}{\nu} \cos \left(\nu \theta+\varphi_{\nu}\right) \text {. }  \tag{2}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
i_{u}(t)=I_{m} \cos (\omega t) \\
i_{v}(t)=I_{m} \cos (\omega t-2 \pi / 3) \\
i_{w}(t)=I_{m} \cos (\omega t+2 \pi / 3)
\end{array}\right. \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

In (2), $n$ is the number of unit motors, e.g., 8P48S consists of 4 unit motors, and $n=4$. Whereas, 8P9S itself is a unit motor, thus $n=1$.

It can be seen in (2), due to the symmetrical distribution of 3-phase windings, harmonics with order equal 3 kn are canceled in $N_{u v w}(\theta)$. Fig. 4 gives the spectra of the single-phase MMF of 8 P 48 S and 8 P 9 S (presents up to the $60^{\text {th }}$ harmonic), and the harmonics canceled in synthesized 3-phase MMFs are marked with a dashed frame. In addition, since the 3-phase windings are
arranged by shifting $\pm 2 \pi / 3$ radians to each other, the amplitude of the harmonics remained in synthesized MMF is $3 / 2$ times larger, considering the mutual coupling factor $1 / 2$ between either two phases.

However, when all 3-phase windings are supplied by zerosequence current $i_{0}$ that has identical amplitude, frequency, and initial phase in each phase winding, as in (4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{u}(t)=i_{v}(t)=i_{w}(t)=i_{0}(t)=I_{0} \cos \left(\omega_{0} t\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

MMF of each phase $f_{u}(t, \theta), f_{v}(t, \theta)$, and $f_{w}(t, \theta)$ can be written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{u}(t, \theta)=N_{u}(\theta) i_{u}(t)=N_{u}(\theta) i_{0}(t)  \tag{5}\\
=\frac{2 T_{p h} I_{0}}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=1,2,3, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{k_{w \nu}}{\nu} \cos (\nu \theta) \cos \left(\omega_{0} t\right) \\
f_{v}(t, \theta)=N_{v}(\theta) i_{v}(t)=N_{v}(\theta) i_{0}(t) \\
=\frac{2 T_{p h} I_{0}}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=1,2,3, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{k_{w \nu}}{\nu} \cos \left(\nu \theta-\frac{2 \nu \pi}{3}\right) \cos \left(\omega_{0} t\right) \\
f_{w}(t, \theta)=N_{w}(\theta) i_{w}(t)=N_{w}(\theta) i_{0}(t) \\
=\frac{2 T_{p h} I_{0}}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=1,2,3, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{k_{w \nu}}{\nu} \cos \left(\nu \theta+\frac{2 \nu \pi}{3}\right) \cos \left(\omega_{0} t\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The synthesized 3-phase MMF $f_{u v w}(t, \theta)$, is therefore given by
$f_{u v w}(t, \theta)=\frac{6 T_{p h} I_{0}}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=3 k n, k=1,2,3, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{k_{w \nu}}{\nu} \cos (\nu \theta) \cos \left(\omega_{0} t\right)$
$f_{u v w}(t, \theta)$ consists of the harmonics with orders equal 3 kn that are canceled in (2), these harmonics are usually referred to as the zero-sequence harmonics.

The air-gap inductance $L_{g}$ when windings are excited by a 3-phase symmetrical current is calculated using $N_{u}(\theta)$ and $N_{u v w}(\theta)$, as in (7), where the self- and mutual components of $L_{\mathrm{g}}$ are counted simultaneously. In (7), $\delta$ is the equivalent air gap length, $r_{s i}$ and $L_{s t k}$ are the inner radius and axial length of the stator lamination.

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{g} & =\frac{\mu_{0} r_{s i} L_{s t k}}{\delta} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} N_{u}(\theta) N_{u v w}(\theta) d \theta \\
& =\frac{6 \mu_{0} r_{s i} L_{s t k} T_{p h}^{2}}{\pi \delta} \sum_{\substack{\nu=1,2,3, \ldots \\
\nu \neq 3 k n, k=1,2,3, \ldots}}^{\infty} \frac{k_{w \nu}^{2}}{\nu^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to $L_{g}$, using U-phase and 3-phase winding functions $N_{u 0}(\theta), N_{u v w}(\theta)$ when $i_{0}(t)$ is supplied, the ZS inductance $L_{i 0}$ is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{i 0} & =\frac{\mu_{0} r_{s i} L_{s t k}}{\delta} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} N_{u 0}(\theta) N_{u v w 0}(\theta) d \theta \\
& =\frac{12 \mu_{0} r_{s i} L_{s t k} T_{p h}^{2}}{\pi \delta} \sum_{\nu=3 k n, k=1,2,3, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{k_{w \nu}^{2}}{\nu^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$L_{i 0}$ in (8) takes the air-gap flux into account and is usually referred to as the intrinsic ZS inductance [16].


Fig. 5. Partial cross-section of 36 -slot FSCWMs and main geometry sizes.

As shown in Fig. 4(a), the high-order MMF harmonics of ISDWM have small amplitudes, especially for the ones constituting $L_{i 0}(\nu=3 \mathrm{kn})$. As a consequence, $L_{i 0}$ is negligible compared to $L_{g}$ in ISDWMs. However, this is not the case for FSCWMs, whose MMFs are far from sinusoidal, and contain rich harmonics. Moreover, some of these harmonics have amplitudes comparable to the fundamental, particularly the sub-harmonics with $\nu<p$. As a result, $L_{i 0}$ of FSCWMs is of the same order of magnitude as $L_{g}$. For example, the ratio between $L_{i 0}$ and $L_{g}$ is referred to as the zero-sequence ratio $\sigma$, which are 0.073 and 0.892 (counting harmonics with $\nu<100$ ) for 8P48S and 8P9S respectively.

From (7) and (8), $\sigma$ is calculated with $\nu$ and $k_{w \nu}$ that relate to the machine's pole/slot combination and winding layout, but is independent of specific winding parameters and geometry sizes, as in (9).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{L_{i 0}}{L_{g}}=2 \sum_{\nu=3 k n, k=1,2,3, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{k_{w \nu}^{2}}{\nu^{2}} / \sum_{\substack{\nu=1,2,3, \ldots \\ \nu \neq 3 k n, k=1,2,3, \ldots}}^{\infty} \frac{k_{w \nu}^{2}}{\nu^{2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unfortunately, the winding layout and uniform winding function expression for FSCWMs cannot be solely determined from the pole number $2 p$, slot number $z$, and coil pitch, like that in ISDWMs, because of the fractional number of $q$ and fixed coil pitch. Usually, the winding layout of FSCWMs are organized using the star-of-slot theory [22] or systematic method [5], which both seek a high fundamental winding factor $k_{w p}$ and thus large torque density. The following sections explore the variation trend of inductance against pole/slot combinations, particularly the ZS inductance.

## III. Variation Regularities of Intrinsic ZS Inductance

## A. Machine Models

As mentioned above, FSCWMs have abundant pole/slot combinations when with a large number of slots and poles, it is hard and unfair to perform comparisons with different winding parameters and geometry sizes. Therefore, in this paper, 36-slot FSCWs with different pole numbers, are investigated to clarify the inductance variation regularities. The main dimensions, materials, and winding parameters are given in Fig. 5 and Table I. These 36 -slot FSCWMs have identical rated power of 55 kW and operation speed of $525 \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{min}$. The current density is designed constant for all machines at $9.56 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$. Since these machines have identical speed but different pole numbers, their fundamental frequencies vary in the range of $105 \sim 210 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

TABLE I
Design Parameters of Analyzed Machines

| Machine | $2 p$ | $z$ | $T_{c}$ | $a$ | $T_{p h}$ | $k_{w p}$ | $q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24P36S | 24 |  |  |  |  | 0.866 | $1 / 2$ |
| 26P36S | 26 |  |  |  |  | 0.867 | $6 / 13$ |
| 28P36S | 28 |  |  |  |  | 0.902 | $6 / 14$ |
| 30P36S | 30 |  |  |  |  | 0.933 | $2 / 5$ |
| 32P36S | 32 |  |  |  |  | 0.945 | $3 / 8$ |
| 34P36S | 34 | 36 | 1 | 96 | 0.953 | $6 / 17$ |  |
| 38P36S | 38 |  |  |  |  | 0.953 | $6 / 19$ |
| 40P36S | 40 |  |  |  |  | 0.945 | $3 / 10$ |
| 42P36S | 42 |  |  |  |  | 0.933 | $2 / 7$ |
| 44P36S | 44 |  |  |  |  | 0.902 | $3 / 11$ |
| 46P36S | 46 |  |  |  |  | 0.867 | $6 / 23$ |
| 48P36S | 48 |  |  |  |  | 0.866 | $1 / 4$ |

The winding layout of each machine is determined using the systematic method [5], as shown in Fig. 6. The winding layout of machines that have $2 p>z$ are not presented in Fig. 6, since their winding layouts are identical to that of machines with $2 p$ $<z$. For example, 24P36S and 48P36S share the same winding layout, MMF spectrum, and thus have identical inductances. But it deserves to note that, the phase sequence and fundamental harmonic order of these two combinations are different since 24 P 36 S and 48 P 36 S respectively use the $12^{\text {th }}$ and $24^{\text {th }}$ harmonics as the fundamental.

The minimal and maximal pole numbers analyzed are 24 and 48 , to guarantee the fundamental winding factor $k_{w p}$ larger than 0.866 . For fair comparisons, except for the same stator and rotor geometries, the number of turns per coil $T_{c}$ and parallel paths of each phase winding $a$ is designed identical for all machines, and then $T_{p h}$ is constant when $2 p$ changes.

## B. Variation of Intrinsic ZS Inductance Against Pole Numbers

According to winding layouts in Fig. 6, the winding function of each machine is obtained, then $L_{g}$ and $L_{i o}$ are calculated using (7) and (8), respectively. Fig. 7 shows the variation of $L_{g}$ and $L_{i 0}$ (counting up to the $200^{\text {th }}$ harmonic) against pole numbers. It can be seen that $L_{g}$ are approximately constant for all machines, indicating that the winding layout does not influence the flux-establishing ability of MMFs.

A similar variation trend is observed for $L_{i 0}$, though it intrinsically relates to the harmonic distribution of MMF. Since the total zero-sequence harmonic of MMF changes minorly when pole number changes, as presented in Fig. 8(b)-(f), $L_{i 0}$ is nearly constant for all combinations and approximates $L_{g}$. However, 24P36S and 48P36S are exceptions, these two combinations evolved from the 2P3S and 4P3S units, and their MMFs do not contain zero-sequence harmonics, as shown in Fig. 8(a). As a result, these two machines are not competitive when trying to suppress the zero-sequence current by a large $L_{i 0}$.

## IV. Stray ZS Inductance

## A. Calculation and Variation of Stray ZS Inductance

Except for the air-gap flux, flux leakage in different parts of the stator is non-negligible, especially in FSCWMs that have smaller coil pitches (commonly equal to 1) than ISDWMs. Stator flux

|  | Slot No: $1 \sim 36 \longrightarrow \quad \otimes \mathrm{~A}+\bigcirc \mathrm{A}-\otimes \mathrm{B}+\odot \mathrm{B}-\otimes \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{OC}-$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 24P36S |  |
| 26P36S |  |
| 28P36S |  |
| 30P36S |  |
| 32P36S |  |
| 34P36S |  |

Fig. 6. Winding layouts of $24 \mathrm{P} 36 \mathrm{~S}, 26 \mathrm{P} 36 \mathrm{~S}, 28 \mathrm{P} 36 \mathrm{~S}, 30 \mathrm{P} 36 \mathrm{~S}, 32 \mathrm{P} 36 \mathrm{~S}$, and 34 P 36 S .


Fig. 7. Inductances calculated using the winding function method.
leakage appears in the slot, tooth tip, and end winding regions. When feeding the zero-sequence current into 3-phase windings, the stator flux leakage also produces ZS inductance, which is usually referred to as the stray ZS inductance $L_{s 0}$.

Some analytical models are proposed in the literature [23], [24], to calculate the stator flux leakage and corresponding leakage inductance $L_{\sigma}$. But they all ignore the effect of winding layout and the mutual component of $L_{\sigma}$, causing a poor prediction accuracy. Therefore, FEM is used here to calculate the stator flux leakage and $L_{s 0}$, as in (10), where $\psi_{s 0}$ is the zero-sequence flux linkage.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{s 0}=\frac{\psi_{s 0}}{i_{0}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\psi_{s 0}$ is calculated by the line integral of magnetic potential $\boldsymbol{A}$, and in 2D-FEM, $\psi_{s 0}$ is simplified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{s 0}=T_{p h} L_{s t k}\left[\frac{1}{S_{1}} \iint_{S_{1}} A_{1} d s-\frac{1}{S_{2}} \iint_{S_{2}} A_{2} d s\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are the magnetic potentials in winding crossSections $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ in the 2D-FEM plane, where positive and negative currents are flowing into the plane respectively.

Owing to the short and non-overlapping structure of the end winding, as shown in Fig. 9, the end-winding inductance $L_{e w}$ of FSCWM is relatively small. For example, using the method in [25], [26] that differentiates 3D-FEM (the geometry and sizes of the end winding are derived from the stator prototype) and 2D-FEM calculated results, $L_{e w}$ of the 36 -slot stator is 0.02 mH and only $1.28 \%$ of the synchronous inductance. In addition, $L_{e w}$
is almost constant for 24P36S $\sim 48 \mathrm{P} 36 \mathrm{~S}$, i.e., independent of the pole numbers and winding layouts. Therefore, $L_{e w}$ is neglected in the calculation of $L_{s 0}$ using 2D-FEM.

To separate the stator flux leakage that appears in the slot, tooth tip, and end winding regions from the total armature flux, symmetry boundary conditions are imposed on the inner surfaces of the stator core [26], [27], as shown in Fig. 10. When zero-sequence current is supplied, the winding armature flux can be divided into two components. One is the stator flux leakage that relates to the stray ZS inductance $L_{s 0}$, and the other one enters the air gap, corresponding to the intrinsic ZS inductance $L_{i 0}$. The symmetry boundary conditions imposed in the FEM model hinder armature flux from entering the air gap, and the MMF produces only stator flux leakage. In this case, the winding flux linkage is solely sourced from the stator flux leakage, and the calculated ZS inductance is therefore $L_{s 0}$. It deserves to mention that, to consider potential local magnetic saturation, the permeability of the stator core is set to that derived from the case that calculates the total ZS inductance, by the frozen permeability method.

In addition, to increase the slot fill factor, a segmented stator structure is used, as shown in Fig. 9, where coils are wound on the stator teeth. According to [15], [17], the position of coil sides in a slot strongly influences $L_{\sigma}$ and $L_{s 0}$. To improve accuracy, the two coil sides in the FEM model are located adjacent to the tooth, which resembles that in the prototype. Feeding 3-phase sinusoidal current (3) or zero-sequence current (4), $L_{\sigma}$ and $L_{s 0}$ are respectively calculated.

Fig. 11 shows the calculated stator leakage inductance $L_{\sigma}$ and phase inductance $L_{p}$, where $L_{p}$ is calculated using 2DFEM by disabling permanent magnets. It can be seen that when $2 p<z$, both $L_{p}$ and $L_{\sigma}$ increase when more poles are used. However, when $2 p>z$, the variation trend reverses. In other words, combinations that have pole numbers close to the slot number (close pole-slot combinations), e.g., 34P36S and 38P36S have the maximal $L_{\sigma}$ and $L_{p}$. In addition, it can also be seen from Fig. 11 that, in FSCWMs with SPM rotor $L_{p}$ is dominated by $L_{\sigma}$, since in all machines, $L_{\sigma}$ exceeds $60 \%$ of $L_{p}$.


Fig. 8. Spectra of single-phase MMF of FSCWMs.


Fig. 9. Segmented stator structure and tooth-wound coil.


Fig. 10. Boundary conditions in the 2D-FEM model of 30P36S and the calculated stator flux leakage.


Fig. 11. Stator leakage inductances and phase inductances.


Fig. 12. Stator leakage inductances and their self- and mutual components.
$L_{\sigma}$ can be further separated into the self-component $L_{\sigma s}$ and mutual component $L_{\sigma m}$ by exciting only one phase winding in the FEM model, as (12). Fig. 12 gives the variation of $L_{\sigma}, L_{\sigma s}$, and $L_{\sigma m}$. $L_{\sigma s}$ shows the same variation trend as $L_{\sigma}$, in close pole-slot combinations, it has the maximal values. However, the opposite variation is observed for $L_{\sigma m}$, as the close poleslot combinations have minimal values. Compared to 24 P 36 S , $L_{\sigma m}$ of 34 P 36 S decreases from 0.27 mH to 0.04 mH , about $1 / 2$ and $1 / 23$ of $L_{\sigma m}$ respectively. Moreover, unlike that for $L_{g}$, the mutual coupling factor $1 / 2$ between two phases does not work for correlating $L_{\sigma m}$ and $L_{\sigma s}$. In addition, since $L_{\sigma}$ contributes a large portion of $L_{p}$ in FSCWMs, the mutual component of $L_{p}$ is also not half of the self-component as well.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\sigma}=L_{\sigma s}+L_{\sigma m} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generally speaking, machines with large $L_{\sigma s}$ and small $L_{\sigma m}$ are preferred when improving fault-tolerant ability, hence close pole-slot combinations, such as 34 P 36 S and 38 P 36 S , are the most competitive candidates.

As for $L_{s 0}$, its variation against pole numbers is similar to that of $L_{\sigma}$, the difference is that $L_{s 0}$ varies more sharply, as shown in Fig. 13. The variation trend of $L_{s 0}$ can be viewed intuitively from the stray zero-sequence flux linkage $\psi_{s 0}$, as shown in Fig. 14. The amplitude of $\psi_{s 0}$ of 34 P 36 S and 38P36S are larger than that in other combinations. As a consequence, in 24P36S and $48 \mathrm{P} 36 \mathrm{~S}, L_{s 0}$ is 0.04 mH , only $4.88 \%$ of $L_{\sigma}$. Whereas in close


Fig. 13. Stator leakage inductances and stray ZS inductances.


Fig. 14. Stray zero-sequence flux linkages of phase winding.


Fig. 15. Zero-sequence flux distribution of 30P36S.
pole-slot combinations 34P36S and 38P36S, $L_{s 0}$ increases to 0.93 mH , nearly $87.4 \%$ of $L_{\sigma}$.

From the above analysis, it can be concluded that the close pole-slot combinations have the maximal stray ZS inductance, which is desirable to suppress zero-sequence current.

## B. Comparison of Stray and Intrinsic ZS Inductances

Analytical calculation (8) of the intrinsic ZS inductance $L_{i 0}$ using winding function assumes that all air-gap flux enters the rotor core, and then returns to the stator core by crossing the air gap again. Meanwhile, it assumes that the slot opening $s_{o}=0$. These assumptions cause a minor error if the equivalent air gap $\delta$ is small [28]. However, for FSCWMs with an SPM rotor, $\delta$ is large, and not all air-gap flux flow radially into the rotor core. Part of the flux bypasses the rotor core and returns to the stator side through the air gap directly, as shown in Fig. 15. As a result, the winding function method (8) overestimates the length of the air-gap flux's flowing path, and calculated $L_{i 0}$ is smaller than the real value. Hence, to improve the accuracy, $L_{i 0}$ is recalculated here by subtracting $L_{s 0}$ from the total ZS inductance $L_{0}$ from 2D-FEM, as in (13).

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i 0}=L_{0}-L_{s 0} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Fig. 16. Total ZS inductances and its components.


Fig. 17. Contour plots of flux distribution when feeding zero-sequence current and disabling permanent magnets.

Fig. 16 shows the variation of $L_{0}, L_{s 0}$, and $L_{i 0}$ against pole numbers. It can be seen that, after considering the true path of zero-sequence flux by FEM, $L_{i 0}$ also has maximal values in close pole-slot combinations. Moreover, $L_{s 0}$ is larger than $L_{i 0}$ in the close pole-slot combinations, such as 32P36S, 34P36S, 38P36S, and 40P36S. Whereas in 26P36S and 46P36S that have obvious distinctions between their pole and slot numbers, $L_{s 0}$ is smaller than $L_{i 0}$, and $L_{0}$ is dominated by $L_{i 0}$. In addition, it is noteworthy that 24 P 36 S and 48 P 36 S evolved from the 2 P 3 S and 4P3S have extremely low $L_{0}$, which should be avoided when requiring a small zero-sequence current.

The variation of $L_{0}, L_{s 0}$, and $L_{i 0}$ can be seen intuitively from the zero-sequence flux distribution, as illustrated in Fig. 17, where the number of flux lines evaluates the total flux quantity. When $2 p<z$, the zero-sequence flux induced by a fixed $i_{0}$ increases gradually with pole number. Whereas, in the case of $2 p>z$, the zero-sequence flux decreases, indicating that in close pole-slot combinations, the zero-sequence flux and $L_{0}$ obtain the maximal values. In addition, the portion of zero-sequence flux flowing into the air gap decreases with pole number when $2 p$ $<z$, but the zero-sequence flux leakage in the stator increases greatly. This validates the incremental percentage of $L_{s 0}$ in $L_{0}$.


Fig. 18. Prototypes manufactured and the LCR meter. (a) Serial connection (b) Parallel connection.


Fig. 19. Winding connection pattern of Zero-sequence inductance measurement.

TABLE II
Calculated and Measured Total ZS Inductance

| Machines | 24P36S/ |  | 26P36S/ | 28P36S/ | 30P36S/ | 32P36S/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 48P36S36S/ | 46P36S | 44P36S | 42P36S | 40P36S | 38P36S |
| $L_{0}(\mathrm{mH}$, FEM $)$ | 0.06 | 0.60 | 0.84 | 1.06 | 1.25 | 1.52 |
| $L_{0}$ (mH, Meas) | 0.07 | 0.61 | 0.85 | 1.07 | 1.25 | 1.53 |
| Error (\%) | 14.28 | 1.64 | 1.18 | 0.55 | 0.89 | 0.65 |

## V. Measurement and Validation of the Variation Regularity

Prototypes of the 36 -slot stator and rotor core are built to validate the regularities by experiments, as shown in Fig. 18. The surfaced-mounted permanent magnets are not installed on the rotor core, according to model settings in FEM. In this case, the magnetic saturation caused by permanent magnets is avoided. In the stator prototype, coils are wound on each tooth and for the ease of changing winding layouts, each coil has two individual terminals. Once the measurements of a combination are finished, the winding layout can be changed simply by reconnecting coil terminals according to Fig. 6. Another merit is that using the same stator eliminates potential errors from fabrication/assembly tolerance and magnetic property deviation of various prototypes.

A HIOKI 3511-50 LCR meter is used to measure the total ZS inductance $L_{0}$. Ideally, the 3-phase windings can be connected either in series or in parallel, as shown in Fig. 19. However, to avoid potential measurement errors caused by the uneven distribution of $i_{0}$ due to phase resistance difference, the serial connection pattern is utilized here.

Table II lists the calculated (including the end winding inductance using 3D-FEM) and measured $L_{0}$ for all machines. It can be seen that the calculated and measured $L_{0}$ show high consistency, and the maximum error is $1.64 \%$. Exceptions are 24P36S and 48P36S, since they have extremely small $L_{0}$, the excess inductance of the connecting lines leads to a large error between the calculated and measured results. Nevertheless, the


Fig. 20. Calculated and measured ZS inductances with and without rotor core.
relatively large error in 24 P 36 S and 48P36S do not influence the variation trend of $L_{0}$, and the experimentally revealed variation regularity of $L_{0}$ is consistent with the calculated one. In addition, the experimental results validate that in close pole-slot combinations, $L_{0}$ has maximal values, which help to reduce the zero-sequence current.

For the stray ZS inductance $L_{s 0}$, excluding air-gap flux by imposing constraint conditions like that in the FEM model is impossible in experiments. As a consequence, measuring $L_{s 0}$ and then separating $L_{0}$ is difficult, if not impossible. The method separating stator leakage inductance is proposed in the standard IEEE 115-2009 using the removed rotor test and search coil. However, this method is practical for ISDWMs but shows low accuracy in FSCWMs due to rich MMF harmonic [24]. So far, there is no practical method to measure $L_{s 0}$ of FSCWMs, with acceptable accuracy. Thus, the variation regularity of $L_{s 0}$ is indirectly validated here, by measuring ZS inductance with the rotor core removed.

Fig. 20 presents the calculated and measured ZS inductance with and without rotor core, where 3D-FEM is used to account for the end winding inductance and local magnetic saturation. It deserves to note that the LCR meter produces a small current excitation into the phase windings for inductance measurement. Though the current has a minor influence on the saturation level of the stator core, to eliminate the effect of potential magnetic saturation and for a fair comparison, the winding current in the FEM model is set to that output from the LCR meter, i.e., the calculated and measured ZS inductances are derived with identical current excitation.

It can be seen from Fig. 20 that the calculated and measured ZS inductances show good agreement no matter when with and without the rotor core, and the maximum difference is $2.6 \%$. Meanwhile, the variation trend of measured ZS inductances is consistent with the calculated one, verifying that the close poleslot combinations have the maximal $L_{s 0}$.

In addition, comparing the experimental results with and without the rotor core, the presence of the rotor core increases ZS inductance greatly in 26P36S (46P36S) and 28P36S (44P36S). This can be observed intuitively from Fig. 17(b) and (c) as a large amount of zero-sequence flux flows through the rotor core. Whereas in the close pole-slot combinations, such as 34 P 36 S and 38 P 36 S , the inductance increments are smaller. The reason is that $L_{s 0}$ is dominant in these combinations, which are less affected by the rotor core. In addition, this also indicates that
when a large $L_{0}$ is required to suppress zero-sequence current, increasing $L_{s 0}$ will be more effective than $L_{i 0}$.

## VI. CONCLUSION

To mitigate the zero-sequence current and its influence, ZS inductance must be carefully designed. This paper reveals the variation regularities of ZS inductance, its components, and other inductances, and the findings help to select optimal pole/slot combinations at the machine design stage.

The experimental measurements on the 36-slot prototypes have validated these regularities. More importantly, similar variation regularities are found in the calculated inductance of 54-slots and 81 -slots FSCWMs with different pole numbers, demonstrating that the regularities derived are independent of specific slot numbers. These regularities help to determine the optimal pole/slot combinations and solve problems caused by the zero-sequence current at the machine's design stage.
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