

Anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces and wavelet coefficient decay over one-parameter dilation groups, I

Koppensteiner, Sarah; van Velthoven, Jordy Timo; Voigtlaender, Felix

DOI

[10.1007/s00605-023-01827-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-023-01827-0)

Publication date

2023

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Monatshefte für Mathematik

Citation (APA)

Koppensteiner, S., van Velthoven, J. T., & Voigtlaender, F. (2023). Anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces and wavelet coefficient decay over one-parameter dilation groups, I. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 201(2), 375–429. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-023-01827-0>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces and wavelet coefficient decay over one-parameter dilation groups, I

Sarah Koppensteiner¹ · Jordy Timo van Velthoven²  · Felix Voiglaender³

Received: 31 March 2022 / Accepted: 16 January 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

This paper provides maximal function characterizations of anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces associated to general expansive matrices for the full range of parameters $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The equivalent norm is defined in terms of the decay of wavelet coefficients, quantified by a Peetre-type space over a one-parameter dilation group. As an application, the existence of dual molecular frames and Riesz sequences is obtained; the wavelet systems are generated by translations and anisotropic dilations of a single function, where neither the translation nor dilation parameters are required to belong to a discrete subgroup. Explicit criteria for molecules are given in terms of mild decay, moment, and smoothness conditions.

Keywords Anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces · Maximal functions · Anisotropic wavelet systems · Coorbit molecules · Frames · Riesz sequences · One-parameter groups

Mathematics Subject Classification 42B25 · 42B35 · 42C15 · 42C40 · 46B15

Communicated by Karlheinz Gröchenig.

✉ Jordy Timo van Velthoven
jordy-timo.van-velthoven@univie.ac.at; j.t.vanvelthoven@tudelft.nl

Sarah Koppensteiner
sarah.koppensteiner@univie.ac.at

Felix Voiglaender
felix@voiglaender.xyz; felix.voiglaender@ku.de

- ¹ Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Vienna, Austria
- ² Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 4, Building 36, 2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands
- ³ Mathematical Institute for Machine Learning and Data Science (MIDS), Research Group Reliable Machine Learning, Katholische Universität Eichstätt-Ingolstadt, Ostenstrasse 26, 85072 Eichstätt, Germany

1 Introduction

Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be an expansive matrix; that is, all eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ of A satisfy $|\lambda| > 1$. Choose a Schwartz function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ whose Fourier transform $\widehat{\varphi}$ has compact support

$$\text{supp } \widehat{\varphi} = \overline{\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) \neq 0\}} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \quad (1.1)$$

and satisfies

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}((A^*)^j \xi)| > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \quad (1.2)$$

where A^* denotes the transpose of A . Following Bownik and Ho [7], we define the (homogeneous) *anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin space* $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d; A)$, with $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, as the collection of all tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (modulo polynomials) satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha} := \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|\det A|^{j\alpha} |f * \varphi_j|^q) \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} < \infty,$$

where $\varphi_j := |\det A|^j \varphi(A^j \cdot)$. The space $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,\infty}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d; A)$ is defined via the usual modifications.

The dilation group $\{A^j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \leq \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ generated by an expansive matrix A induces the structure of a space of homogeneous type on \mathbb{R}^d , which differs from the usual isotropic homogeneous structure on \mathbb{R}^d , unless A is \mathbb{C} -diagonalizable with all eigenvalues equal in absolute value, [3]. A particular motivation for the study of function spaces defined through such non-isotropic structures is the analysis of mixed homogeneity properties of functions and operators. The scale of spaces $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d; A)$ considered here contains, among others, the anisotropic and parabolic Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{R}^d; A) \cong \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,2}^0(\mathbb{R}^d; A)$ for $p \in (0, 1]$ and the Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \cong \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,2}^0(\mathbb{R}^d; A)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$; see Sect. 2.5. We refer to Bownik [3–7], Calderón and Torchinsky [13–15], and Stein and Wainger [58] for more background and motivation regarding anisotropic dilations and associated function spaces.

The purpose of the present paper is to derive various characterizations of the spaces $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d; A)$, with $p \in (0, \infty)$ and $q \in (0, \infty]$, in terms of Peetre-type maximal functions. Our main motivation for such characterizations is that they allow to identify a Triebel–Lizorkin space as a coorbit space [25] associated with a Peetre-type space on an affine-type group. This identification will be used to obtain decompositions of the spaces $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d; A)$ in which both the analyzing and synthesizing functions are “molecular systems” (see Sect. 1.3); the recent discretization results [53, 64] are used for this purpose.

Similar results for the endpoint case of $p = \infty$ are obtained in the subsequent paper [45].

1.1 Maximal characterizations

Throughout, in addition to A being expansive, we assume that A is exponential, i.e., $A = \exp(B)$ for some $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, so that $A^s = \exp(sB)$ is well-defined for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$; see Remark 3.6 for additional comments on this assumption. Given $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta > 0$, we define the Peetre-type maximal function of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as

$$\varphi_{s,\beta}^{**} f(x) := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f * \varphi_s(x+z)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^s z))^\beta}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\varphi_s := |\det A|^s \varphi(A^s \cdot)$ and ρ_A is an A -homogeneous quasi-norm on \mathbb{R}^d ; see Sect. 2.

Our first main result (Theorem 3.5) is the following characterization.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive and exponential. Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has compact Fourier support and satisfies conditions (1.1) and (1.2). Then, for all $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta > \max\{1/p, 1/q\}$, the norm equivalences*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha} \asymp \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\det A|^{\alpha s} \varphi_{s,\beta}^{**} f)^q ds \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \asymp \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|\det A|^{\alpha j} \varphi_{j,\beta}^{**} f)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \tag{1.3}$$

hold for all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with the usual modification for $q = \infty$.

Theorem 1.1 is classical in the setting of isotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces, where it has been obtained under varying conditions on the multiplier $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Among others, it can be found in Triebel [62], Bui, Paluszynski and Taibleson [10, 11], and Rychkov [55, 56]; see Ullrich [63] for a self-contained overview of these characterizations.

In the setting of anisotropic spaces, a maximal characterization of discrete type (i.e., a characterization involving the right-most term in (1.3)) was obtained by Farkas [23] for diagonal dilations $A = \text{diag}(2^{a_1}, \dots, 2^{a_d})$ with anisotropy $(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in (0, \infty)^d$. For general expansive matrices, a discrete maximal characterization of inhomogeneous anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces has been obtained by Liu, Yang, and Yuan [48]. However, in contrast to Theorem 1.1, the smoothness parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ in [48, Theorem 3.4] is restricted to the range $0 < \alpha < \infty$. In particular, the results in [48] do not apply to the Lebesgue spaces L^p for $1 < p < \infty$ (which correspond to $\alpha = 0$), whereas Theorem 1.1 is applicable to these spaces.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is inspired by the approach in Rychkov [56] (see also [63]), which combines Fefferman–Stein vector-valued maximal inequalities with a sub-mean-value property of the convolution products $(f * \varphi_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This method is a variation of a technique originally due to Strömberg and Torchinsky [59, Chapter V], and is extended here to anisotropic matrix dilations.

In addition to Theorem 1.1, we also provide a maximal characterization for the Triebel–Lizorkin sequence spaces; see Theorem 3.8.

1.2 Wavelet transforms

The continuous maximal characterization provided by Theorem 1.1 can be naturally rephrased in terms of decay properties of wavelet transforms associated to the quasi-regular representation

$$\pi(x, s)f = |\det A|^{-s/2} f(A^{-s}(\cdot - x)), \quad (x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (1.4)$$

of the semi-direct product group $G_A = \mathbb{R}^d \rtimes_A \mathbb{R}$; see Sect. 4 for basic properties.

To be more explicit, given an analyzing vector $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the associated *wavelet transform* of a distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the function on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$W_\psi f : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x, s) \mapsto \langle f, \pi(x, s)\psi \rangle.$$

Here, we use the sesquilinear dual pairing $\langle f, \varphi \rangle := f(\overline{\varphi})$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. A function ψ is called *admissible* if $W_\psi : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^\infty(G_A)$ defines an isometry into $L^2(G_A)$. Given a suitable admissible vector $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, a common procedure for constructing an associated function space is by (formally) defining

$$\text{Co}(Y) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) / \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) : W_\psi f \in Y\}, \quad (1.5)$$

where Y is an adequate translation-invariant (quasi)-Banach function space on G_A . The function spaces such defined form so-called *coorbit spaces*, see, e.g., [17, 25, 30, 50, 64]. Generally, the definition of abstract coorbit spaces in the quasi-Banach range [50, 64] requires an additional local property of the wavelet transform, but we show that it is automatically satisfied in the concrete setting of the present paper (see Remark 5.12 for details).

In this paper we prove several admissibility properties of functions $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and establish various decay and norm estimates of their associated wavelet transforms. In particular, it is shown in Proposition 5.11 that membership of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the Triebel–Lizorkin space $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha$ can be characterized through decay properties of its wavelet transform $W_\psi f$, in the sense that

$$\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha(A) = \text{Co}_\psi(Y_{p,q}^\alpha), \quad (1.6)$$

for a Peetre-type function space $Y_{p,q}^\alpha$ on G_A and arbitrary $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Such a coorbit realization is new for non-isotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces and complements the realizations of anisotropic Besov spaces [1, 4, 16] obtained in [32, 33].

The isotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces have been identified as coorbit spaces (1.5) from the very beginning [38]. The function spaces Y used in the identification [38] are the tent spaces of Coifman, Meyer and Stein [18]. It was later shown by Ullrich [47, 63] that alternatively one could use so-called Peetre-type spaces, which allow for a simpler and more transparent treatment (cf. [63, Section 4.1]). Our use of Peetre spaces in Sect. 5 is inspired by [63].

Lastly, it is worth mentioning that the classical papers [25, 38] considered only coorbit spaces associated with Banach spaces, while for treating Triebel–Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_{p,q}^\alpha$ in the range $\min\{p, q\} < 1$ it is essential to deal with general quasi-Banach spaces. The framework [49, 50] was used for this purpose in [47]. However, the theory¹ [49, 50] is based on an incorrect convolution relation occurring in [51]; in particular, it does not apply to the affine group (cf. [64, Example 3.13]), although it is used for this purpose in [47]. The present paper uses the framework [64] instead of [50], and it is thus expected that our results in Sect. 4 and Sect. 5 provide a relevant contribution even for isotropic dilations.

1.3 Molecular decompositions

The identification (1.6) of anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_{p,q}^\alpha$ as suitable coorbit spaces $\text{Co}_\psi(Y_{p,q}^\alpha)$ (cf. Proposition 5.11) enables us to apply general results on the latter spaces to obtain new molecular decompositions of $\dot{F}_{p,q}^\alpha$. However, as was already observed in [34], the classical results [25, 38] on coorbit spaces do not guarantee the same form of localization of both the analyzing and synthesizing functions as the decomposition theorems of Triebel–Lizorkin spaces in [28, 29, 34] do. For this reason, the recent results [53, 64] on molecular decompositions will be used, which bridge a gap between [25, 38] and [28, 29, 34].

For $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$, let $r = \min\{1, p, q\}$. Given a countable, discrete set $\Gamma \subset G_A$, a family $(\phi_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of vectors $\phi_\gamma \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a (coorbit) molecular system (with respect to the window ψ) if there exists an envelope $\Phi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r) \subset L^1(G_A)$ satisfying

$$|W_\psi \phi_\gamma(g)| = |\langle \phi_\gamma, \pi(g)\psi \rangle| \leq \Phi(\gamma^{-1}g), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, g \in G_A; \tag{1.7}$$

here, $\mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ denotes a so-called Wiener amalgam space (cf. Sect. 5.3).

This notion of molecules depends on a so-called control weight $w = w_{p,q}^\alpha : G_A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ for the space $Y_{p,q}^\alpha$ occurring in (1.6); see Sects. 5.2 and 6.2 for details. Note also that the functions ϕ_γ need not be of the simple form $\pi(\gamma)\phi$ given by translates and dilates of a fixed function (as in (1.4)); rather, the wavelet transform of ϕ_γ satisfies appropriate size estimates as if it was obtained in this manner.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive and exponential. For $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let $r = \min\{1, p, q\}$, $\alpha' = \alpha + 1/2 - 1/q$, and $\beta > \max\{1/p, 1/q\}$.*

Suppose $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an admissible vector satisfying $W_\psi \psi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ for the standard control weight $w = w_{p,q}^{-\alpha', \beta} : G_A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ defined in Lemma 5.7. Moreover, suppose $W_\varphi \psi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ for some (thus all) admissible $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there exists a compact unit neighborhood $U \subset G_A$ such that, for any $\Gamma \subset G_A$ satisfying

$$G_A = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma U \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{g \in G_A} \#(\Gamma \cap gU) < \infty, \tag{1.8}$$

¹ The published paper [50] is restricted to so-called IN groups, in contrast to the preprint [49]. The affine group is not an IN group.

there exist two molecular systems $(\phi_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $(f_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that any $f \in \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha$ can be represented as

$$f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle f, \pi(\gamma)\psi \rangle \phi_\gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle f, \phi_\gamma \rangle \pi(\gamma)\psi \quad \text{and} \quad f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle f, f_\gamma \rangle f_\gamma,$$

with unconditional convergence in the weak- $*$ topology of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(The dual pairings $\langle f, \pi(\gamma)\psi \rangle$ and $\langle f, \phi_\gamma \rangle$ are defined suitably; see Definition 6.5.)

The novelty of Theorem 1.2 is that it applies to possibly irregular sets Γ —i.e., arising from non-lattice translations—and that both $\{\pi(\gamma)\psi : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ and $\{\phi_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ are molecular systems. It resembles the classical results for lattice translations by Frazier and Jawerth [28, Remark 9.17] and Gilbert, Han, Hogan, Lakey, Weiland, and Weiss [34, Theorem 1.5], and the work of Ho [42] for general expansive dilations. In contrast to Theorem 1.2, the notion of molecules used in [7, 28, 34, 42] is defined via explicit smoothness and moment conditions rather than decay estimates of their wavelet transform as in Eq. 1.7. For comparison, we provide explicit smoothness criteria for coorbit molecular systems in Sect. 6.4.

It should be mentioned that for specific vectors ψ and particular construction methods, the validity of wavelet frame expansions in Hardy and Lebesgue spaces have, among others, been obtained by Bui and Laugesen [9] and Cabrelli, Molter and Romero [12]. The results in [9, 12] provide criteria and constructions that work for index sets Γ satisfying (1.8) for some neighborhood U , whereas Theorem 1.2 above requires U to be sufficiently small. We mention that even for a molecular frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the extension of the canonical L^2 -frame expansions to Hardy and Lebesgue spaces is non-automatic in general, and that such frames might fail to yield decompositions of L^p for $p \neq 2$, see, e.g., Tao [60] and Tchamitchian [61].

Lastly, we complement Theorem 1.2 with a dual result on Riesz sequences. Theorem 1.3 shows that a solution to the interpolation or moment problem in discrete sequence spaces $\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta}(\Gamma) \leq \mathbb{C}^\Gamma$ associated to a discrete $\Gamma \subset G_A$ and the Triebel–Lizorkin spaces $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha$ can be obtained using molecular dual Riesz sequences; see Definition 6.1 and Remark 6.2 for details.

Theorem 1.3 *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.2, the following holds:*

There exists a compact unit neighborhood $U \subset G_A$ such that, for any $\Gamma \subset G_A$ satisfying

$$\gamma U \cap \gamma' U = \emptyset, \quad \text{for all } \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma \text{ with } \gamma \neq \gamma', \quad (1.9)$$

there exists a molecular system $(\phi_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset \overline{\text{span}\{\pi(\gamma)\psi : \gamma \in \Gamma\}} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that the moment problem

$$\langle f, \pi(\gamma)\psi \rangle = c_\gamma, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad (1.10)$$

admits the solution $f := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_\gamma \phi_\gamma \in \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha$ for any given $(c_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \in \dot{\mathbf{p}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta}(\Gamma) \leq \mathbb{C}^\Gamma$.

Theorem 1.3 seems to be the first result on Riesz sequences in anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces and it is new even for regular index sets arising from lattice translations. We mention that for regular index sets, the sequence space appearing in Theorem 1.3 coincides with the standard anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin sequence spaces defined in [7]; see Remark 6.2.

1.4 General notation

We write $s^+ := \max\{0, s\}$ and $s^- := -\min\{0, s\}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Given functions $f, g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, we write $f \lesssim g$ if there exists $C > 0$ satisfying $f(x) \leq Cg(x)$ for all $x \in X$. We write $f \asymp g$ for $f \lesssim g$ and $g \lesssim f$. The notation \lesssim_α is sometimes used to indicate that the implicit constant depends on a quantity α . If G is a group, we write $f^\vee(x) = f(x^{-1})$ for $x \in G$. The characteristic function of $\Omega \subset X$ is denoted by $\mathbf{1}_\Omega$. For a measurable $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, its Lebesgue measure is denoted by $m(\Omega)$.

For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, its transpose is denoted by A^* . The norm $\|A\|_\infty$ denotes the operator norm of the induced map $A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$. The function $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will denote the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^d .

The space of Schwartz functions will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the space of tempered distributions by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, the set $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the space of all polynomials of d real variables, and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the space of equivalence classes of tempered distributions modulo polynomials. The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is normalized as $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx$. Its inverse $\mathcal{F}^{-1} f := \widehat{f}(-\cdot)$ will also be denoted by \check{f} . Similar notations will be used for the unitary Fourier–Plancherel transform $\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and its inverse. For $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define $T_y f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, x \mapsto f(x - y)$.

Lastly, if V is a topological vector space consisting of (equivalence classes of) functions such that the conjugation map $V \rightarrow V, \varphi \mapsto \overline{\varphi}$ is a well-defined, continuous map, then the associated map

$$V' \rightarrow V^*, \quad f \mapsto \underline{f} \quad \text{with} \quad \underline{f}(\varphi) := f(\overline{\varphi})$$

between the dual space V' and the anti-dual space V^* is a canonical isomorphism. In this setting, we will not distinguish between $f \in V'$ and $\underline{f} \in V^*$. In particular, the dual pairings $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V', V}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V^*, V}$ will always be taken to be anti-linear in the second component, i.e., $\langle f, \varphi \rangle := f(\overline{\varphi})$ for $f \in V'$ and $\langle f, \varphi \rangle := f(\varphi)$ for $f \in V^*$. The two most important cases where this applies is for $V = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $V = \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (cf. Definition 4.3).

2 Expansive matrices and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces

This section provides background on expansive matrices and associated function spaces.

2.1 Expansive matrices

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is called *expansive* if $\min_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda| > 1$, where $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{C}$ denotes the spectrum of A . The significance of an expansive matrix is that it induces the structure of a space of homogeneous type on \mathbb{R}^d ; see [19, 20] for background.

The following lemma is collected from [3, Definitions 2.3 and 2.5] and [3, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2.1 [3] *Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive.*

(i) *There exist an ellipsoid Ω_A (i.e., Ω_A is the image of the open Euclidean unit ball under an invertible matrix) and $r > 1$ such that*

$$\Omega_A \subset r\Omega_A \subset A\Omega_A$$

and $m(\Omega_A) = 1$. The map $\rho_A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by

$$\rho_A(x) = \begin{cases} |\det A|^j, & \text{if } x \in A^{j+1}\Omega_A \setminus A^j\Omega_A, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

is called the step homogeneous quasi norm associated to A . It is measurable and there exists $C \geq 1$ such that it satisfies the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A(-x) &= \rho_A(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \rho_A(x) &> 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \\ \rho_A(Ax) &= |\det A| \rho_A(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \rho_A(x+y) &\leq C(\rho_A(x) + \rho_A(y)), & x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

(ii) *Define $d_A : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $(x, y) \mapsto \rho_A(x - y)$ and let m denote the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d . Then the triple (\mathbb{R}^d, d_A, m) is a space of homogeneous type.*

For $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$, the d_A -ball will be denoted by $B_{\rho_A}(y, r) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \rho_A(x - y) < r\}$.

The following lemma shows that the homogeneous quasi-norm can be estimated from above and below by (powers of) the Euclidean norm; cf. [3, Equation (2.7) and Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 2.2 [3] *Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive. Let λ_-, λ_+ satisfy $1 < \lambda_- < \min_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|$ and $\lambda_+ > \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|$. Define*

$$\zeta_- := \frac{\ln \lambda_-}{\ln |\det A|} \in (0, \frac{1}{d}) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_+ := \frac{\ln \lambda_+}{\ln |\det A|} \in (\frac{1}{d}, \infty).$$

Then there exists $C \geq 1$ such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$C^{-1}[\rho_A(x)]^{\zeta_-} \leq \|x\| \leq C[\rho_A(x)]^{\zeta_+}, \quad \text{if } \rho_A(x) \geq 1,$$

$$C^{-1}[\rho_A(x)]^{\zeta_+} \leq \|x\| \leq C[\rho_A(x)]^{\zeta_-}, \quad \text{if } \rho_A(x) \leq 1.$$

We will also need the following fact about the integrability of powers of the quasi norm ρ_A .

Lemma 2.3 *Suppose $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ is expansive. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\int_{B_{\rho_A}(0,1)} [\rho_A(x)]^{\varepsilon-1} dx < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\rho_A}(0,1)} [\rho_A(x)]^{-1-\varepsilon} dx < \infty.$$

Proof Directly from the definition of ρ_A , we see

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\rho_A}(0,1)} [\rho_A(x)]^{-1-\varepsilon} dx &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\det A|^{-j(1+\varepsilon)} m(A^{j+1}\Omega_A \setminus A^j\Omega_A) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\det A|^{-\varepsilon j} m(A\Omega_A \setminus \Omega_A) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $|\det A| > 1$. The proof for $\int_{B_{\rho_A}(0,1)} [\rho_A(x)]^{\varepsilon-1} dx$ is similar. □

2.2 Exponential matrices

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is called *exponential* if $A = \exp(B)$ for a matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$; here, $\exp(B) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B^n/n!$ denotes the usual matrix exponential. If A is expansive and has only positive eigenvalues, then A is exponential by [16, Lemma 7.8]. See [21, Theorem 1] for a precise characterization.

For an exponential matrix $A = \exp(B)$, the power $A^s = \exp(sB)$ is defined for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $\det A^s = \det(\exp(sB)) = e^{\text{tr}(sB)} = (e^{\text{tr}(B)})^s = (\det A)^s$, see, e.g., [41, Theorem 2.12]. The family $\{A^s : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ forms a continuous one-parameter subgroup of $\text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$.

The next lemma provides norm bounds for the powers A^s of an exponential matrix A . For integral powers, these bounds are folklore²; see, e.g., [3, Equations (2.1) and (2.2)].

Lemma 2.4 *Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive and exponential. Let λ_-, λ_+ be constants such that $1 < \lambda_- < \min_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|$ and $\lambda_+ > \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|$. Then there exists $C \geq 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1} \lambda_-^s \|x\| &\leq \|A^s x\| \leq C \lambda_+^s \|x\|, \quad s \geq 0, \\ C^{-1} \lambda_+^s \|x\| &\leq \|A^s x\| \leq C \lambda_-^s \|x\|, \quad s \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

² Alternatively, they can be easily derived from the spectral radius formula.

Proof Since $t \mapsto A^t$ is continuous, there exists $C_A > 0$ such that $\|A^t\|_\infty \leq C_A$ for $t \in [-1, 1]$. For $s \geq 0$, we write $s = k + t$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t \in [0, 1)$, and use the result for integral powers [3] to conclude

$$\|A^s x\| = \|A^t A^k x\| \leq \|A^t\|_\infty \|A^k x\| \leq C_A C \lambda_+^k \|x\| \leq C_A C \lambda_+^s \|x\|.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} C_A \|A^s x\| &\geq \|A^{-t}\|_\infty \|A^s x\| \geq \|A^{-t} A^s x\| \\ &= \|A^k x\| \geq C^{-1} \lambda_-^k \|x\| \geq (C \lambda_-)^{-1} \lambda_-^s \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate for $s \leq 0$ is shown using similar arguments. \square

Corollary 2.5 *Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive and exponential. Then there exists $C \geq 1$ such that*

$$C^{-1} |\det A|^s \rho_A(x) \leq \rho_A(A^s x) \leq C |\det A|^s \rho_A(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof Due to the A -homogeneity of ρ_A , it suffices to verify the claim for $x \in A \Omega_A \setminus \Omega_A$ (with Ω_A as in Lemma 2.1) and $s \in [0, 1]$. By Lemma 2.4 and by the compactness of $A \Omega_A \setminus \Omega_A \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, there exist $R_1, R_2 > 0$ such that

$$R_1 \leq C^{-1} \lambda_-^s \|x\| \leq \|A^s x\| \leq C \lambda_+^s \|x\| \leq R_2$$

uniformly for all $x \in A \Omega_A \setminus \Omega_A$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Furthermore, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A^{-k} \Omega_A \cap \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|y\| \geq R_1\} = \emptyset$. Thus, we see for $s \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in A \Omega_A \setminus \Omega_A$ that $A^s x \notin A^{-k} \Omega_A$ and hence

$$\rho_A(A^s x) \geq |\det A|^{-k} = |\det A|^{-k} \rho_A(x) \geq |\det A|^{-k-1} |\det A|^s \rho_A(x),$$

where we have used that $\rho_A(x) = 1$ for all $x \in A \Omega_A \setminus \Omega_A$. This gives the lower bound with $C := |\det A|^{k+1} \geq 1$. The upper bound follows by replacing x with $A^{-s} x$. \square

An alternative proof of Corollary 2.5 can be obtained by using a homogeneous quasi-norm associated to the continuous one-parameter group $\{A^s : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (cf. [58, Proposition 1-9]) and the equivalence of all homogeneous quasi-norms associated to A (cf. [3, Lemma 2.4]).

2.3 Analyzing vectors

Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive. Suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is such that φ has compact Fourier support

$$\text{supp } \widehat{\varphi} := \overline{\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) \neq 0\}} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \quad (2.3)$$

and satisfies

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}((A^*)^j \xi)| > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then the function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined through its Fourier transform as

$$\widehat{\psi}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \overline{\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)} / \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}((A^*)^k \xi)|^2, & \text{if } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \xi = 0, \end{cases}$$

is well-defined and satisfies

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}((A^*)^j \xi) \widehat{\psi}((A^*)^j \xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}. \tag{2.5}$$

We refer to [7, Lemma 3.6] for more details.

2.4 Triebel–Lizorkin spaces

Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive and suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has compact Fourier support satisfying (2.3) and (2.4). For given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$, the associated (homogeneous) anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin space $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha = \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha(A, \varphi)$ is defined as in [7] as the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha} := \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|\det A|^{j\alpha} |f * \varphi_j|)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} < \infty,$$

where $\varphi_j := |\det A|^j \varphi(A^j \cdot)$, with the usual modification for $q = \infty$.

As shown in [7, Proposition 3.2], the inclusion map $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuous and $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha$ is complete with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha}$. Moreover, [7, Corollary 3.7] shows that the space $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha$ is independent of the choice of φ ; we will thus simply write $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha(A)$ instead of $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha(A, \varphi)$.

The sequence space $\dot{\mathbf{f}}_{p,q}^\alpha = \dot{\mathbf{f}}_{p,q}^\alpha(A)$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ associated to $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha$ is defined as the collection of all $c \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$ satisfying

$$\|c\|_{\dot{\mathbf{f}}_{p,q}^\alpha} := \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (|\det A|^{j(\alpha+1/2)} |c_{j,k}| \mathbb{1}_{A^{-j}([0,1)^{d+k})})^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} < \infty, \tag{2.6}$$

with the usual modification for $q = \infty$.

2.5 Anisotropic Hardy spaces

Denoting by H_A^p the anisotropic Hardy space introduced in [3], it follows by [5, Theorem 7.1] and [3, Remark on p. 16] that

$$H_A^p = \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,2}^0(A), \quad p \in (0, 1]$$

$$L^p = H_A^p = \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,2}^0(A), \quad p \in (1, \infty).$$

Two expansive matrices $A_1, A_2 \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ are said to be *equivalent* if $H_{A_1}^p = H_{A_2}^p$ for all $p \in (0, 1]$. Given an expansive A_1 , there exists an equivalent matrix A_2 with all eigenvalues positive and such that $\det A_2 = |\det A_1|$; see [16, Lemma 7.7] and [8, Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 3.6]. Recall that such a matrix A_2 is exponential (cf. Sect. 2.2).

3 Maximal function characterizations

This section provides maximal function characterizations of Triebel–Lizorkin spaces. In Sect. 3.1 we provide preliminaries on maximal functions. The characterizations of distribution and sequence spaces will be proven in Sects. 3.2 and 3.3, respectively.

3.1 Anisotropic maximal functions

Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive. For $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ measurable, the (*anisotropic*) *Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator* M_{ρ_A} is defined as

$$M_{\rho_A} f(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{m}(B)} \int_B |f(y)| \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.1)$$

where the supremum is taken over all ρ_A -balls $B = B_{\rho_A}(y, r)$ that contain x .

The following simple observation is central for the remainder of this article.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive. For $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ measurable, it holds*

$$M_{\rho_A}[f \circ A^j] = [M_{\rho_A} f] \circ A^j, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof For $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the property $A^j z \in B_{\rho_A}(y, r)$ is equivalent to $z \in B_{\rho_A}(A^{-j}y, r/|\det A|^j)$. Hence, the substitutions $z = A^{-j}y$ and $s = r/|\det A|^j$ and the change-of-variable $v = A^{-j}w$ show

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{\rho_A} f)(A^j x) &= \sup_{\substack{y \in \mathbb{R}^d, r > 0 \\ A^j x \in B_{\rho_A}(y, r)}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{\rho_A}(y, r))} \int_{B_{\rho_A}(y, r)} |f(w)| \, dw \\ &= \sup_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{R}^d, s > 0 \\ x \in B_{\rho_A}(z, s)}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{\rho_A}(A^j z, |\det A|^j s))} \int_{B_{\rho_A}(A^j z, |\det A|^j s)} |f(w)| \, dw \\ &= \sup_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{R}^d, s > 0 \\ x \in B_{\rho_A}(z, s)}} \frac{|\det A|^j}{\mathfrak{m}(B_{\rho_A}(A^j z, |\det A|^j s))} \int_{B_{\rho_A}(z, s)} |f(A^j v)| \, dv \end{aligned}$$

$$= (M_{\rho_A}[f \circ A^j])(x),$$

as desired. □

A further central property is the vector-valued Fefferman–Stein inequality [24], in the form stated in the following theorem. It follows, e.g., from [37, Theorem 1.2], by using that (\mathbb{R}^d, d_A, m) is a space of homogeneous type.

Theorem 3.2 [37] *Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive. For $p \in (1, \infty)$, $q \in (1, \infty]$, there exists $C = C(p, q, A, d) > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} [M_{\rho_A} f_i]^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |f_i|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p}$$

for any sequence of measurable functions $f_i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, with the usual modification for $q = \infty$.

The following majorant property of the anisotropic maximal operator can be found in [2, Lemma 3.1] in a slightly different setting. Nevertheless, the proof given in [2] applies verbatim in our setting.

Lemma 3.3 [2] *Let $\theta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be non-increasing, and assume that $\Theta : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by $\Theta(x) = \theta(\rho_A(x))$ is integrable. Suppose that $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies $|g(x)| \leq \Theta(x)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$|(f * g)(x)| \leq \|\Theta\|_{L^1} M_{\rho_A} f(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Given an exponential matrix $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the dilation of a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\varphi_s(x) := |\det A|^s \varphi(A^s x)$. For $\beta > 0$, the Peetre-type maximal function of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as

$$\varphi_{s,\beta}^{**} f(x) := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|(f * \varphi_s)(x + z)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^s z))^\beta} = \text{ess sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|(f * \varphi_s)(x + z)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^s z))^\beta}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \tag{3.3}$$

see Lemma A.1 for the validity of the second equality for the step homogeneous quasi-norm ρ_A . If A is not exponential, we define $\varphi_{s,\beta}^{**}$ also by (3.3), but only for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The Peetre-type maximal function and the Hardy–Littlewood operator are related by Peetre’s inequality, cf. [7, Lemma 3.4] for a proof.

Lemma 3.4 (Anisotropic Peetre inequality) *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be compact and $\beta > 0$. There exists $C = C(K, \beta, A) > 0$ such that for any $g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\text{supp } \widehat{g} \subset K$, we have*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|g(x - z)|}{(1 + \rho_A(z))^\beta} \leq C [(M_{\rho_A} |g|^{1/\beta})(x)]^\beta \tag{3.4}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The expression $g(x)$ in (3.4) makes sense, since every tempered distribution with compact Fourier support is given by (integration against) a smooth function, cf. [54, Theorem 7.23].

3.2 Function spaces

The following theorem is one of the main results of this paper. It provides an anisotropic extension of corresponding results in [11, 62, 63].

Theorem 3.5 *Let $A \in GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive and exponential. Assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has compact Fourier support and satisfies (2.3) and (2.4). Then, for all $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta > \max\{1/p, 1/q\}$, the norm equivalences*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^\alpha} \asymp \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\det A|^{\alpha s} \varphi_{s,\beta}^{**} f)^q ds \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \asymp \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|\det A|^{\alpha j} \varphi_{j,\beta}^{**} f)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \tag{3.5}$$

hold for all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with the usual modifications for $q = \infty$.

(The function $\varphi_{s,\beta}^{**} f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is well-defined for $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, since φ has infinitely many vanishing moments and hence $P * \varphi_s = 0$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.)

Remark 3.6 Let $A \in GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive.

(a) The proof of Theorem 3.5 shows that the characterization

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^\alpha} \asymp \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|\det A|^{\alpha j} \varphi_{j,\beta}^{**} f)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p}, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

does not require A to be exponential. Instead, it holds for arbitrary expansive matrices: the estimate “ \lesssim ” is trivial, whereas Step 3 of the proof shows “ \gtrsim ”.

(b) For anisotropic Hardy spaces H_A^p with $p \in (0, \infty)$, the matrix A may be assumed to be exponential by the discussion in Sect. 2.5.

Proof of Theorem 3.5 As seen in Sect. 2.3, there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\text{supp } \widehat{\psi} \subset \text{supp } \widehat{\varphi}$ and such that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}((A^*)^j \xi) \widehat{\psi}((A^*)^j \xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

Note that with A , also A^* is expansive and exponential. By Lemma 2.4, it follows that there exist $0 < R_1 \leq R_2 < \infty$ such that

$$R_1 \leq \|(A^*)^{-t} \xi\| \leq R_2, \quad t \in [-1, 1], \quad \xi \in \text{supp } \widehat{\varphi}.$$

Choose $N > 0$ such that $(A^*)^j \text{supp } \widehat{\varphi} \cap \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : R_1 \leq \|\xi\| \leq R_2\} = \emptyset$ for $|j| \geq N$, and define $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ via its Fourier transform as

$$\widehat{\Phi}(\xi) := \sum_{\ell=-N}^N \widehat{\varphi}((A^*)^\ell \xi) \widehat{\psi}((A^*)^\ell \xi),$$

noting that $\widehat{\Phi}(\xi) = 1$ for $R_1 \leq \|\xi\| \leq R_2$. A direct calculation based on the preceding observations and using the convolution theorem shows that

$$\varphi_k * \Phi_{k+t} = \varphi_k \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{k+t} * \Phi_k = \varphi_{k+t}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.6}$$

The remainder of the proof is split into three steps. For notational simplicity, we write throughout $v_\beta(y) := (1 + \rho_A(y))^\beta$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By Eq. (2.2), it follows that v_β satisfies $v_\beta(x + y) \lesssim v_\beta(x) v_\beta(y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, with implicit constant only depending on A, β .

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be arbitrary. We prove the equivalences in (3.5) in several steps.

Step 1. In this step we show that $\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^\alpha}$ can be estimated by the middle term of (3.5). For arbitrary, but fixed $t \in [0, 1]$, a direct calculation using (3.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(|\det A|^{\alpha j} |(f * \varphi_j)(x)| \right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q} &= \left\| \left(|\det A|^{\alpha j} |(f * \Phi_{j+t} * \varphi_j)(x)| \right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=-N}^N \left\| \left(|\det A|^{\alpha j} |(f * \varphi_{j+\ell+t} * \psi_{j+\ell+t} * \varphi_j)(x)| \right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

To estimate (3.7), note that for arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|(f * \varphi_{j+\ell+t} * \psi_{j+\ell+t} * \varphi_j)(x)| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|(f * \varphi_{j+\ell+t})(x + y)|}{v_\beta(A^{j+\ell+t}y)} v_\beta(A^{j+\ell+t}y) |(\psi_{j+\ell+t} * \varphi_j)(-y)| dy \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|(f * \varphi_{j+\ell+t})(x + y)|}{v_\beta(A^{j+\ell+t}y)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_\beta(A^{j+\ell+t}y) |(\psi_{j+\ell+t} * \varphi_j)(-y)| dy \\ &= \varphi_{j+\ell+t, \beta}^{**} f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_\beta(A^{j+\ell+t}y) |(\psi_{j+\ell+t} * \varphi_j)(-y)| dy, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $\varphi_{s, \beta}^{**} f$ is as in (3.3). We can estimate the integral in (3.8) by change-of-variables as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_\beta(A^{j+\ell+t}y) |(\psi_{j+\ell+t} * \varphi_j)(-y)| dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_\beta(A^{j+\ell+t}y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\det A|^{j+\ell+t} |\psi(A^{j+\ell+t}w)| |\det A|^j |\varphi(A^j(-y - w))| dw dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_{\beta}(A^{\ell+t}z) |\psi(v)| |\varphi(-z - A^{-(\ell+t)}v)| dz dv \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_{\beta}(A^{\ell+t}y - v) |\psi(v)| |\varphi(-y)| dy dv \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_{\beta}(A^{\ell+t}y) |\varphi(-y)| dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_{\beta}(v) |\psi(v)| dv.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

By Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 2.2, we see for $-N \leq \ell \leq N$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ that

$$v_{\beta}(A^{\ell+t}y) \lesssim |\det A|^{\beta(N+1)}(1 + \rho_A(y))^{\beta} \lesssim |\det A|^{\beta(N+1)}(1 + \|y\|)^{\beta/\zeta}. \tag{3.10}$$

The integrals in (3.9) can therefore be bound independently of $-N \leq \ell \leq N$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus, (3.8) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\det A|^{\alpha j} |(f * \varphi_{j+\ell+t} * \psi_{j+\ell+t} * \varphi_j)(x)| \\
&\lesssim |\det A|^{-\alpha(\ell+t)} |\det A|^{\alpha(j+\ell+t)} \varphi_{j+\ell+t, \beta}^{**} f(x)
\end{aligned}$$

where we can estimate $|\det A|^{-\alpha(\ell+t)} \lesssim 1$ with implicit constants independent of ℓ, t . Combining this with (3.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \left(|\det A|^{\alpha j} |(f * \varphi_j)(x)| \right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q} &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=-N}^N \left\| \left(|\det A|^{\alpha(j+\ell+t)} \varphi_{j+\ell+t, \beta}^{**} f(x) \right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q} \\
&\lesssim \left\| \left(|\det A|^{\alpha(j+t)} \varphi_{j+t, \beta}^{**} f(x) \right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Lastly, the left-hand side of (3.11) being independent of t , we average over $t \in [0, 1]$. For this, let us assume $q < \infty$. Taking the q -th power of (3.11) and integrating gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(|\det A|^{j\alpha} |(f * \varphi_j)(x)| \right)^q &\lesssim \int_0^1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(|\det A|^{\alpha(j+t)} \varphi_{j+t, \beta}^{**} f(x) \right)^q dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\det A|^{\alpha s} \varphi_{s, \beta}^{**} f(x) \right)^q ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(|\det A|^{j\alpha} |f * \varphi_j| \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\det A|^{\alpha s} \varphi_{s, \beta}^{**} f \right)^q ds \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

The case $q = \infty$ follows by the usual modifications.

Step 2. This step will show that the middle term can be bounded by the right-most term in (3.5). Using the convolution identity (3.6), we calculate for $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|(f * \varphi_{j+t})(x+z)|}{v_\beta(A^{j+t}z)} &\leq \sum_{\ell=-N}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|(f * \varphi_{j+\ell})(x+y+z)|}{v_\beta(A^{j+t}z)} |(\psi_{j+\ell} * \varphi_{j+t})(-y)| dy \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=-N}^N \sup_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|(f * \varphi_{j+\ell})(x+w)|}{v_\beta(A^{j+\ell}w)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{v_\beta(A^{j+\ell}(z+y))}{v_\beta(A^{j+t}z)} |(\psi_{j+\ell} * \varphi_{j+t})(-y)| dy. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

To estimate the integral in (3.12), note that the essential submultiplicativity of v_β and a change-of-variable gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{v_\beta(A^{j+\ell}(z+y))}{v_\beta(A^{j+t}z)} |(\psi_{j+\ell} * \varphi_{j+t})(-y)| dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{v_\beta(A^{j+\ell}(z+y))}{v_\beta(A^{j+t}z)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\det A|^{j+\ell} |\psi(A^{j+\ell}w)| |\det A|^{j+t} |\varphi(A^{j+t}(-y-w))| dw dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{v_\beta(A^{j+\ell}z + A^{\ell-t}\zeta)}{v_\beta(A^{j+t}z)} |\psi(v)| |\varphi(-\zeta - A^{\ell-t}v)| dv d\zeta \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{v_\beta(A^{j+\ell}z)}{v_\beta(A^{j+t}z)} v_\beta(A^{\ell-t}\zeta) |\psi(v)| |\varphi(-\zeta)| dv d\zeta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Next, by Corollary 2.5, we have $\rho_A(A^t z) \gtrsim \rho_A(z)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Therefore, we see for $-N \leq \ell \leq N$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ that

$$\frac{1 + \rho_A(A^\ell z)}{1 + \rho_A(A^t z)} \leq |\det A|^N \frac{1 + \rho_A(z)}{1 + \rho_A(A^t z)} \lesssim 1, \tag{3.14}$$

with an implicit constant independent of j, ℓ, t and z . Combining (3.14) with (3.10), we then see that the integral (3.13) can be estimated independently of j, ℓ, t . Therefore, (3.12) shows for $q < \infty$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(|\det A|^{\alpha(j+t)} \varphi_{j+t, \beta}^{**} f(x) \right)^q &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=-N}^N \left(\sup_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|(f * \varphi_{j+\ell})(x+w)|}{v_\beta(A^{j+\ell}w)} |\det A|^{\alpha(j+\ell+t-\ell)} \right)^q \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=-N}^N \left(|\det A|^{\alpha(j+\ell)} \varphi_{j+\ell, \beta}^{**} f(x) \right)^q. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

The right-hand side of (3.15) being independent of t , integrating (3.15) over $[0, 1]$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\det A|^{\alpha s} \varphi_{s, \beta}^{**} f(x))^q ds &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^1 (|\det A|^{\alpha(j+t)} \varphi_{j+t, \beta}^{**} f(x))^q dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell=-N}^N \left(|\det A|^{\alpha(j+\ell)} \varphi_{j+\ell, \beta}^{**} f(x) \right)^q \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|\det A|^{\alpha_j} \varphi_{j,\beta}^{**} f(x))^q, \tag{3.16}$$

and thus

$$\left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\det A|^{\alpha_s} \varphi_{s,\beta}^{**} f)^q ds \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|\det A|^{\alpha_j} \varphi_{j,\beta}^{**} f)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

The case $q = \infty$ follows by the usual modifications.

Step 3. This final step will show that the right-most term in (3.5) can be estimated by $\|f\|_{\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^\alpha}$. Note first that

$$\varphi_{j,\beta}^{**} f(x) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|(f * \varphi_j)(x + A^{-j}z)|}{(1 + \rho_A(z))^\beta} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|[(f * \varphi_j) \circ A^{-j}](A^j x + z)|}{(1 + \rho_A(-z))^\beta}, \tag{3.17}$$

where the symmetry of ρ_A is used. In order to estimate (3.17), we apply Peetre’s inequality in Lemma 3.4 to $g_j := (f * \varphi_j) \circ A^{-j}$. To this end, note with the (bilinear) dual pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{g}_j, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}} &= \langle f * \varphi_j, |\det A^j| \widehat{\phi} \circ A^j \rangle_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}} = \langle \widehat{f * \varphi_j}, \phi \circ (A^*)^{-j} \rangle_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}} \\ &= \langle \widehat{f}, (\widehat{\varphi} \circ (A^*)^{-j}) \cdot (\phi \circ (A^*)^{-j}) \rangle_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\text{supp } \phi \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{supp } \widehat{\varphi}$. Thus, $\text{supp } \widehat{g}_j \subseteq \text{supp } \widehat{\varphi}$ is contained in the same compact set for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. An application of Lemma 3.4 therefore provides a uniform constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\varphi_{j,\beta}^{**} f(x) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|g_j(A^j x + z)|}{(1 + \rho_A(-z))^\beta} \leq C [(M_{\rho_A} |g_j|^{1/\beta})(A^j x)]^\beta,$$

where M_{ρ_A} is as in (3.1). Therefore, the right-hand side of (3.5) can be estimated using Lemma 3.1 and the vector-valued Fefferman-Stein inequality (Theorem 3.2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|\det A|^{\alpha_j} \varphi_{j,\beta}^{**} f)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(|\det A|^{\alpha_j} [(M_{\rho_A} |g_j|^{1/\beta})(A^j \cdot)]^\beta \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \\ &= \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (M_{\rho_A} [|\det A|^{\alpha_j} |g_j|^{1/\beta}](A^j \cdot))^{\beta q} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \\ &= \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (M_{\rho_A} (|\det A|^{\alpha_j} |f * \varphi_j|^{1/\beta})^{\beta q}) \right)^{1/(q\beta)} \right\|_{L^{p\beta}}^\beta \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (|\det A|^{\alpha j} |f * \varphi_j|)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

The last step used that $p\beta, q\beta > 1$, so that Theorem 3.2 is applicable. □

3.3 Sequence spaces

This section provides a maximal function characterization of the sequence spaces $\dot{f}_{p,q}^\alpha$ defined in Sect. 2.4. We start with a simple lemma.

Lemma 3.7 *Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive, let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be bounded and measurable with positive measure, and let $\beta \geq 0$. For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, set $K_{\ell,z} := A^{-\ell}(K + z)$. Then*

$$(1 + \rho_A(A^\ell x - z))^{-\beta} \lesssim \left(\mathbb{1}_{K_{\ell,z}} * \frac{|\det A|^\ell}{(1 + \rho_A(A^\ell \cdot))^\beta} \right)(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where the implied constant only depends on K, β, A .

Proof Define $v(x) := (1 + \rho_A(x))^{-\beta}$. Note that

$$\mathbb{1}_{K_{\ell,z}}(x) = |\det A|^{-\ell} (\mathbb{1}_K)_\ell(x - A^{-\ell}z) = |\det A|^{-\ell} [T_{A^{-\ell}z}(\mathbb{1}_K)_\ell](x).$$

By applying similar manipulations to the left-hand side of the target estimate, and multiplying both sides of the target estimate by $|\det A|^\ell$, it is easily seen that the claim is equivalent to

$$T_{A^{-\ell}z} v_\ell \lesssim [T_{A^{-\ell}z}(\mathbb{1}_K)_\ell] * v_\ell.$$

Since convolution commutes with translation, we can assume that $z = 0$, i.e., we need to show that $v_\ell \lesssim (\mathbb{1}_K)_\ell * v_\ell$. Furthermore, using the identity $(f \circ A) * (g \circ A) = |\det A|^{-1} \cdot (f * g) \circ A$, it follows that it suffices to prove $v \lesssim \mathbb{1}_K * v$. For this, note that since ρ_A is bounded on K , we have $1 + \rho_A(x - y) \lesssim 1 + \rho_A(x) + \rho_A(-y) \lesssim 1 + \rho_A(x)$ and hence $(1 + \rho_A(x - y))^{-\beta} \gtrsim (1 + \rho_A(x))^{-\beta}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y \in K$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{1}_K * v(x) = \int_K (1 + \rho_A(x - y))^{-\beta} dy \gtrsim \int_K (1 + \rho_A(x))^{-\beta} dy = m(K) \cdot v(x),$$

which completes the proof. □

The following is a discrete counterpart of Theorem 3.5 and will be used in Sect. 6.1.

Theorem 3.8 *Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive and exponential. Then, for all $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta > \max\{1/p, 1/q\}$, the (quasi)-norm equivalence*

$$\|c\|_{\dot{f}_{p,q}^\alpha}$$

$$\asymp \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\det A|^{-(\alpha+1/2)s}}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{\ell,k}| \mathbb{1}_{A^{-\ell}([-1,1]^d+k)}(\cdot+z) \mathbb{1}_{-\ell+[-1,1]}(s) \right)^q ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^p}$$

holds for all $c = (c_{\ell,k})_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$, with the usual modifications for $q = \infty$.

Proof We only prove the case $q < \infty$; the case $q = \infty$ can be proven by the usual modifications. For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, define $Q_{\ell,k} := A^{-\ell}([-1, 1]^d + k)$ and $P_{\ell,k} := A^{-\ell}([0, 1]^d + k)$. Given $c = (c_{\ell,k})_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$, let $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$F(x, s) := \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{\ell,k}| \mathbb{1}_{Q_{\ell,k}}(x) \mathbb{1}_{-\ell+[-1,1]}(s), \quad (x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Then we can re-write

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\det A|^{-(\alpha+1/2)s}}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{\ell,k}| \mathbb{1}_{A^{-\ell}([-1,1]^d+k)}(\cdot+z) \mathbb{1}_{-\ell+[-1,1]}(s) \right)^q ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\det A|^{-(\alpha+1/2)s} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|F(\cdot+z, s)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \right)^q ds \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{(0,1)} \left(|\det A|^{(\alpha+1/2)(j+t)} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|F(\cdot+z, -(j+t))|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{j+t}z))^\beta} \right)^q dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Note that for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, we have $F(x+z, -(j+t)) \leq \sum_{\ell=j}^{j+1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{\ell,k}| \mathbb{1}_{Q_{\ell,k}}(x+z)$ for $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, for fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, each $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ belongs to at most a fixed number of sets from the family $(Q_{j,k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$; thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{j,k}| \mathbb{1}_{P_{j,k}}(x+z) &\lesssim |F(x+z, -(j+t))|^q \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=j}^{j+1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{\ell,k}|^q \mathbb{1}_{Q_{\ell,k}}(x+z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I &\lesssim \sum_{m=0}^1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{(0,1)} |\det A|^{(\alpha+1/2)(j+m-m+t)q} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{j+m,k}|^q \mathbb{1}_{Q_{j+m,k}}(\cdot+z)}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{j+m-m+t}z))^\beta} dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} |\det A|^{(\alpha+1/2)\ell q} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{\ell,k}|^q \mathbb{1}_{Q_{\ell,k}}(\cdot+z)}{(1 + \rho_A(A^\ell z))^\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where the last step follows by using Corollary 2.5 and noting that $|\det A|^{t-m}$, $|\det A|^{m-t} \lesssim 1$, with implicit constants independent of $t \in (0, 1)$ and $m \in \{0, 1\}$.

Next, since $\beta \min\{p, q\} > 1$, we can choose $r \in (0, \beta)$ such that $r \min\{p, q\} > 1$, and estimate

$$I \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\det A|^{(\alpha+1/2)jq} |c_{j,k}|^q \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{Q_{j,k}}(\cdot + z)}{(1 + \rho_A(A^j z))^{\beta/r}} \right)^{qr}. \tag{3.21}$$

To estimate (3.21) further, note that $x+z \in Q_{j,k}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, implies $A^j(x+z) - k \in [-1, 1]^d$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \rho_A(A^j x - k) &= 1 + \rho_A(A^j x + A^j z - k + (-A^j z)) \\ &\lesssim (1 + \rho_A(A^j(x+z) - k))(1 + \rho_A(-A^j z)) \\ &\lesssim 1 + \rho_A(A^j z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{Q_{j,k}}(x+z)}{(1 + \rho_A(A^j z))^{\beta/r}} &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1 + \rho_A(A^j x - k))^{\beta/r}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\mathbb{1}_{P_{j,k}} * \frac{|\det A|^j}{(1 + \rho_A(A^j \cdot))^{\beta/r}} \right)(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 3.7. The function $g_j := |\det A|^j (1 + \rho_A(A^j \cdot))^{-\beta/r}$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by Lemma 2.3. Moreover, we have $\|g_j\| = \|g_0\|_{L^1}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, noting that $g_j(x) = |\det A|^j (1 + |\det A|^j \rho_A(x))^{-\beta/r}$ and applying the majorant property of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function (see Lemma 3.3) to the right-hand side of (3.22) gives

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{Q_{j,k}}(x+z)}{(1 + \rho_A(A^j z))^{\beta/r}} \lesssim M_{\rho_A} \mathbb{1}_{P_{j,k}}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{3.23}$$

Combining (3.21) and (3.23) yields

$$\begin{aligned} I &\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\det A|^{(\alpha+1/2)jq} |c_{j,k}|^q \left(M_{\rho_A} \mathbb{1}_{P_{j,k}}(\cdot) \right)^{qr} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(M_{\rho_A} \left[|\det A|^{(\alpha+1/2)j/r} |c_{j,k}|^{1/r} \mathbb{1}_{P_{j,k}}(\cdot) \right] \right)^{qr}. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with an application of the Fefferman-Stein inequality of Theorem 3.2, gives

$$\|I^{1/q}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(M_{\rho_A} \left[|\det A|^{(\alpha+1/2)j/r} |c_{j,k}|^{1/r} \mathbb{1}_{P_{j,k}}(\cdot) \right] \right)^{qr} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(M_{\rho_A} [|\det A|^{(\alpha+1/2)j/r} |c_{j,k}|^{1/r} \mathbb{1}_{P_{j,k}}](\cdot) \right)^{qr} \right)^{1/(rq)} \right\|_{L^{pr}}^r \\
 &\lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (|\det A|^{(\alpha+1/2)j} |c_{j,k}| \mathbb{1}_{P_{j,k}}(\cdot))^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} = \|c\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The reverse estimate follows easily by combining the lower bound

$$F(x, s) \lesssim \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|F(x+z, s)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta}, \quad (x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R},$$

(see Lemma B.1) with (3.18) and (3.19). □

4 Admissible Schwartz functions and wavelet coefficient decay

Let $A \in \operatorname{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be an exponential matrix. Define the associated semi-direct product

$$G_A = \mathbb{R}^d \rtimes_A \mathbb{R} = \{(x, s) : x \in \mathbb{R}^d, s \in \mathbb{R}\} \tag{4.1}$$

with multiplication $(x, s)(y, t) = (x + A^s y, s + t)$ and inversion $(x, s)^{-1} = (-A^{-s}x, -s)$. Left Haar measure on G_A is given by $d\mu_{G_A}(x, s) = |\det A|^{-s} ds dx$, and the modular function on G_A is $\Delta_{G_A}(x, s) = |\det A|^{-s}$. To ease notation, we often simply write $\mu := \mu_{G_A}$.

For $p \in (0, \infty)$, the Lebesgue space on G_A is denoted by $L^p(G_A) = L^p(G_A, \mu_{G_A})$. The left and right translation by $h \in G_A$ of a function $F : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are defined by

$$L_h F = F(h^{-1}\cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad R_h F = F(\cdot h)$$

respectively.

4.1 Admissible vectors

The quasi-regular representation $(\pi, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ of $G_A = \mathbb{R}^d \rtimes_A \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\pi(x, s)f = |\det A|^{-s/2} f(A^{-s}(\cdot - x)), \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

For fixed $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the associated wavelet transform is defined as

$$W_\psi : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^\infty(G_A), \quad W_\psi f(x, s) = \langle f, \pi(x, s)\psi \rangle, \quad (x, s) \in G_A,$$

and ψ is *admissible* if W_ψ defines an isometry into $L^2(G_A)$. This implies $W_\psi^* W_\psi = \text{id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, which gives rise to the *reconstruction formula*

$$f = W_\psi^* W_\psi f = \int_{G_A} W_\psi f(g) \pi(g) \psi \, d\mu_{G_A}(g), \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (4.2)$$

with the integral interpreted in the weak sense. Furthermore, the *reproducing formula*

$$W_\varphi f = W_\psi f * W_\varphi \psi, \quad f, \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (4.3)$$

follows directly from the isometry of W_ψ and the intertwining property $W_\psi[\pi(g)f] = L_g[W_\psi f]$.

Admissibility of a vector can be conveniently characterized in terms of its Fourier transform, see, e.g., [46, Theorem 1.1] and [31, Theorem 1].

Lemma 4.1 [31, 46] *A vector $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is admissible if, and only if,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\psi}((A^*)^s \xi)|^2 ds = 1, \quad \text{a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4.4)$$

The significance of A being expansive is that this guarantees the existence of admissible vectors with convenient additional properties:

Theorem 4.2 [3, 22, 39, 44] *Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be an exponential matrix. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *Either A or A^{-1} is expansive.*
- (ii) *There exists an admissible vector $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\widehat{\psi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

If A is expansive, there exists an admissible $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $\widehat{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$. In addition, it can be chosen to satisfy the support condition (2.4).

Proof The claimed equivalence is proven in [39, 44], see also [57, p. 319]. The final claim easily follows from [22, Proposition 10] or [3, Chapter II, Theorem 4.2] and their proofs. □

In the sequel, a matrix $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ will be assumed to be expansive and exponential.

4.2 Decay estimates

This section concerns decay properties of the wavelet transform. The derived decay estimates will play an important role in the subsequent sections, but are also of independent interest.

We recall the following Fréchet space of Schwartz functions with all moments vanishing.

Definition 4.3 Let $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the space of all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) x^\alpha dx = 0$$

for all multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$. The space $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ will be equipped with the subspace topology coming from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Its (topological) dual space will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The dual space $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be identified with $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$; see, e.g., [36, Proposition 1.1.3].

The following lemma will be helpful in establishing decay of the wavelet transform. It is a generalization to the anisotropic setting of a well-known estimate, see, e.g., [36, Appendix B.1].

Lemma 4.4 *If $s \geq 0$ and $L > 1$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \rho_A(y))^{-L} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}(y-x)))^{-L} dy \lesssim_{d,A,L} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}x))^{-L}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof Since $L > 1$, an application of Lemma 2.3 shows $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \rho_A(y))^{-L} dy \lesssim 1$. Therefore, if $\rho_A(A^{-s}x) \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \rho_A(y))^{-L} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}(y-x)))^{-L} dy &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \rho_A(y))^{-L} dy \\ &\lesssim (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}x))^{-L}. \end{aligned}$$

In the remainder of the proof, it may therefore be assumed that $\rho_A(A^{-s}x) > 1$.

Let $C_1 \geq 1$ with $\rho_A(x+y) \leq C_1(\rho_A(x) + \rho_A(y))$, and let $C_2 \geq 1$ denote the constant in Corollary 2.5, so that $\rho_A(A^s x) \leq C_2 |\det A|^s \rho_A(x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$U := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \rho_A(y) \geq (2C_1 C_2)^{-1} |\det A|^s \rho_A(A^{-s}x)\}$$

and $V := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \rho_A(A^{-s}(y-x)) \geq \rho_A(A^{-s}x)/(2C_1)\}$. Then $\mathbb{R}^d = U \cup V$; otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A(A^{-s}x) &\leq C_1(\rho_A(A^{-s}(x-y)) + \rho_A(A^{-s}y)) \\ &\leq C_1(\rho_A(A^{-s}(x-y)) + C_2 |\det A|^{-s} \rho_A(y)) \\ &< C_1(\rho_A(A^{-s}x)/(2C_1) + C_2 |\det A|^{-s} (2C_1 C_2)^{-1} |\det A|^s \rho_A(A^{-s}x)) \\ &= \rho_A(A^{-s}x), \end{aligned}$$

for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (U \cup V)$.

On the one hand, it follows by $\rho_A(A^{-s}x) \geq 1$ and a change-of-variable that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_U (1 + \rho_A(y))^{-L} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}(y - x)))^{-L} dy \\ & \leq \frac{(2C_1C_2)^L \cdot |\det A|^{-Ls}}{\rho_A(A^{-s}x)^L} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}(y - x)))^{-L} dy \\ & \leq \frac{(4C_1C_2)^L |\det A|^{-(L-1)s}}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}x))^L} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \rho_A(z))^{-L} dz \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}x))^L}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality uses Lemma 2.3 and $|\det A|^{-(L-1)s} \leq 1$ since $L > 1$ and $s \geq 0$. On the other hand, if $y \in V$, then $1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}(y - x)) \geq (2C_1)^{-1}(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}x))$. Therefore,

$$\int_V (1 + \rho_A(y))^{-L} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}(y - x)))^{-L} dy \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}x))^L}$$

by Lemma 2.3. Combining these estimates yields the claim. □

Lemma 4.5 *Let $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

(i) *If $|f_i(\cdot)| \leq C_i(1 + \rho_A(\cdot))^{-L}$ a.e. for some $L > 1$ and all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then*

$$|W_{f_1} f_2(x, s)| \lesssim C_1 C_2 |\det A|^{-|s|/2} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s^+}x))^{-L} \tag{4.5}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, where the implied constant only depends on d, L, A .

(ii) *If $f_1 \in C^N(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies $|\partial^\alpha f_1(x)| \leq C_3$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ such that $|\alpha| \leq N$, and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x\|^N |f_2(x)| dx \leq C_4, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x^\alpha f_2(x) dx = 0 \text{ for } |\alpha| < N,$$

then

$$|W_{f_1} f_2(x, s)| \lesssim C_3 C_4 |\det A|^{-s/2} \|A^{-s}\|_\infty^N, \tag{4.6}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, where the implied constant only depends on d, N .

Proof (i) $s \geq 0$, Lemma 4.4 implies

$$\begin{aligned} |W_{f_1} f_2(x, s)| & \leq C_1 C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \rho_A(y))^{-L} |\det A|^{-s/2} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}(y - x)))^{-L} dy \\ & \lesssim C_1 C_2 |\det A|^{-s/2} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}x))^{-L}, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. For $s \leq 0$, note that

$$|W_{f_1} f_2(x, s)| = |W_{f_2} f_1(-A^{-s}x, -s)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim C_1 C_2 |\det A|^{-|s|/2} (1 + \rho_A(-A^{-(-s)^+} A^{-s} x))^{-L} \\ &= C_1 C_2 |\det A|^{-|s|/2} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s^+} x))^{-L}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) By Taylor's theorem, there exists a polynomial P_x of degree $N - 1$ that satisfies

$$|f_1(x+z) - P_x(z)| \lesssim C_3 \|z\|^N \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

with implied constant only depending on d, N . Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(y) f_2(y) dy = 0$ for any polynomial P with degree at most $N - 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |W_{f_1} f_2(x, s)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_2(y) |\det A|^{-s/2} \overline{f_1(A^{-s}(y-x))} dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_2(y) |\det A|^{-s/2} \overline{[f_1(A^{-s}y - A^{-s}x) - P_{-A^{-s}x}(A^{-s}y)]} dy \right| \\ &\lesssim C_3 |\det A|^{-s/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_2(y)| \|A^{-s}y\|^N dy \\ &\leq C_3 C_4 |\det A|^{-s/2} \|A^{-s}\|_{\infty}^N, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

The following consequence is what we will actually use in most applications.

Corollary 4.6 *Let $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $1 < \lambda_- < \min_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|$ be as in Lemma 2.4. Then, for every $L, N \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$|W_{\psi} \varphi(x, s)| \lesssim (1 + \rho_A(x))^{-L} \lambda_-^{-|s|N} \|\psi\| \|\varphi\|, \quad (4.7)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is a suitable continuous Schwartz semi-norm. The implied constant and the choice of the semi-norms depend only on L, N, A, d, λ_- .

Proof Note that $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ guarantees that all assumptions of Lemma 4.5 are satisfied and the bounds C_1, \dots, C_4 can be replaced by suitable Schwartz semi-norms of ψ or φ .

We first use the estimate (4.5). Note that $\rho_A(A^{-s^+} x) \gtrsim |\det A|^{-s^+} \rho_A(x)$ by Corollary 2.5. Therefore, we see for any $K > 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |W_{\psi} \varphi(x, s)| &\lesssim \|\psi\| \|\varphi\| |\det A|^{-|s|/2} (1 + |\det A|^{-s^+} \rho_A(x))^{-K} \\ &\lesssim \|\psi\| \|\varphi\| |\det A|^{-|s|/2} \max\{1, |\det A|^{K s^+}\} (1 + \rho_A(x))^{-K} \\ &\lesssim \|\psi\| \|\varphi\| |\det A|^{K s^+} (1 + \rho_A(x))^{-K} \\ &\leq \|\psi\| \|\varphi\| |\det A|^{|s|K} (1 + \rho_A(x))^{-K}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is a suitable Schwartz semi-norm depending on K, A .

We now show for arbitrary $M \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$|W_\psi \varphi(x, s)| \lesssim \lambda_-^{-|s|M} \|\psi\| \|\varphi\|. \tag{4.9}$$

Indeed, if $s \geq 0$, then $\|A^{-s}\|_\infty \lesssim \lambda_-^{-s}$ by Lemma 2.4 and the claim follows immediately from (4.6). The claim for $s \leq 0$ follows from the case $s \geq 0$ via $W_\psi \varphi(x, s) = \overline{W_\varphi \psi(-A^{-s}x, -s)}$.

Finally, we interpolate between (4.8) and (4.9). To this end, note that a priori the seminorms in (4.8) and (4.9) are distinct, but that we can assume that they are equal by possibly enlarging them. Now, since $\lambda_- > 1$, we can choose $H = H(A, \lambda_-) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda_-^H \geq |\det A|$. Taking $K = 2L$ and $M = 2(HL + N)$ yields that

$$\begin{aligned} |W_\psi \varphi(x, s)| &= |W_\psi \varphi(x, s)|^{1/2} |W_\psi \varphi(x, s)|^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \|\psi\| \|\varphi\| |\det A|^{|s|L} (1 + \rho_A(x))^{-L} \lambda_-^{-|s|(HL+N)} \\ &\lesssim \|\psi\| \|\varphi\| (1 + \rho_A(x))^{-L} \lambda_-^{-|s|N}, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

4.3 Extended wavelet transform

The wavelet transform can be extended via duality to $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \cong \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Throughout, we will use the dual bracket defined by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \langle f, \varphi \rangle := f(\overline{\varphi}). \tag{4.10}$$

The bracket is a sesquilinear form naturally extending the L^2 -inner product.

If $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the (extended) wavelet transform

$$W_\psi : \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C(G_A), \quad W_\psi f(x, s) = \langle f, \pi(x, s)\psi \rangle, \quad (x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.11}$$

is well-defined. Here, we implicitly use the continuity of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $(x, s) \mapsto \pi(x, s)\psi$. In addition to the wavelet transform, we also extend the representation π to $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by defining

$$\langle \pi(h)f, \varphi \rangle := \langle f, \pi(h^{-1})\varphi \rangle \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The following lemma extends the reconstruction formula (4.2) to all of $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lemma 4.7 *Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be admissible. Then*

$$\int_{G_A} W_\psi f(g) \overline{W_\psi \varphi(g)} d\mu_{G_A}(g) = \langle f, \varphi \rangle \tag{4.12}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof The proof follows [32, Lemma 2.11] and [33, Lemma 40], with suitable modifications.

For $M, N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq d+1}$, let $\mathcal{S}_{M,N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the space of all functions $f \in C^N(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_{M,N} := \max_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq N} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \|x\|)^M |\partial^\beta f(x)| < \infty. \tag{4.13}$$

The function space $\mathcal{S}_{M,N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ equipped with the norm in (4.13) is a Banach space. Furthermore, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{M,N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $G_A \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \mapsto \pi(g)\psi$ is continuous and G_A is σ -compact, this implies that the map

$$G_A \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{M,N}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad g \mapsto W_\psi \varphi(g) \pi(g)\psi \tag{4.14}$$

is continuous and has a σ -compact (and hence separable) range. Moreover, the decay estimates of Corollary 4.6 show $\int_{G_A} |W_\psi \varphi(g)| \|\pi(g)\psi\|_{M,N} d\mu_{G_A}(g) < \infty$. Overall, this shows that the map in (4.14) is Bochner integrable, for arbitrary $M, N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq d+1}$.

The reconstruction formula (4.2) shows for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{S}_{M,N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that

$$\varphi = \int_{G_A} W_\psi \varphi(g) [\pi(g)\psi] d\mu_{G_A}(g) \tag{4.15}$$

where the integral is understood in the weak sense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. As shown above, the right-hand side also exists as a Bochner integral in $\mathcal{S}_{M,N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $M \geq d + 1$, we have $\mathcal{S}_{M,N} \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Furthermore, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{M,N}$ satisfies $\langle \varphi, f \rangle = 0$ for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\varphi \equiv 0$. Hence the identity (4.15) also holds in $\mathcal{S}_{M,N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lastly, if $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then f extends to a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by [36, Proposition 1.1.3]. Hence, there are $M, N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq d+1}$, such that the restriction of f to $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{M,N}$; see [35, Proposition 2.3.4]. Using the Hahn-Banach theorem, we can extend f to a bounded linear functional \tilde{f} on $\mathcal{S}_{M,N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In view of (4.15), and using that the Bochner-integral can be interchanged with bounded linear functionals by [66, V.5, Corollary 2], we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \varphi \rangle &= \tilde{f}(\tilde{\varphi}) = \tilde{f}\left(\int_{G_A} \overline{W_\psi \varphi(g) \pi(g)\psi} d\mu_{G_A}(g)\right) \\ &= \int_{G_A} \overline{W_\psi \varphi(g)} \langle f, \pi(g)\psi \rangle d\mu_{G_A}(g) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. □

Corollary 4.8 (Reproducing formula) *Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be admissible. Then*

$$W_\varphi f = W_\psi f * W_\varphi \psi \tag{4.16}$$

holds for all $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof Replacing φ by $\pi(h)\varphi$ in Lemma 4.7 easily yields the claim. □

5 Coorbit spaces associated to Peetre-type spaces

This section is devoted to characterizations of anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces in terms of wavelet transforms. Explicitly, it will be shown that Triebel–Lizorkin spaces can be identified with coorbit spaces associated to so-called Peetre-type spaces.

5.1 Peetre-type spaces

For $p, q \in (0, \infty]$, the mixed-norm Lebesgue space $L^{p,q}(G_A)$ consists of all (equivalence classes of a.e. equal) measurable functions $F : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\|F\|_{L^{p,q}} := \left\| x \mapsto \|F(x, \cdot)\|_{L^q(\nu)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty, \tag{5.1}$$

relative to the Borel measure ν on \mathbb{R} defined by $\nu(M) = \int_M \frac{ds}{|\det A|^s}$. The weighted space is given by $L_w^{p,q}(G_A) = \{F : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : w \cdot F \in L^{p,q}(G_A)\}$, with norm $\|F\|_{L_w^{p,q}} := \|w \cdot F\|_{L^{p,q}}$.

Definition 5.1 For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta > 0$, and $p \in (0, \infty)$ and $q \in (0, \infty]$, the *Peetre-type space* $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}(G_A)$ on G_A is defined as the collection of all (equivalence classes of a.e. equal) measurable $F : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\|F\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}} := \left\| x \mapsto \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\det A|^{\alpha s} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|F(x+z, s)|}{(1+\rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \right)^q \frac{ds}{|\det A|^s} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} < \infty,$$

with the usual modification for $q = \infty$.

An essential property of the Peetre-type spaces for our purposes is their two-sided translation invariance. For proving this, the following lemma will be used. Its proof is deferred to Appendix 1.

Lemma 5.2 *The weight function*

$$v : G_A \rightarrow [0, \infty), \quad (y, t) \mapsto \sup_{(z,u) \in G_A} \frac{1 + \rho_A(A^{-u}z)}{1 + \rho_A(A^{-u}A^t z - y)} \tag{5.2}$$

is well-defined, measurable, and submultiplicative. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(y, t) &\asymp \max\{1, |\det A|^{-t}\} (1 + \min\{\rho_A(y), \rho_A(A^{-t}y)\}) \\ &\asymp 1 + |\det A|^{-t} + \rho_A(A^{-t}y). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

The basic properties of Peetre-type spaces are collected in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3 *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta > 0$, and $p \in (0, \infty)$ and $q \in (0, \infty]$. Then the Peetre-type space $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}(G_A)$ is a solid quasi-Banach function space (Banach function space if $p, q \geq 1$). Furthermore, the operator norms of the translation operators L_g and R_g acting on $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}(G_A)$ can be bounded by*

$$\| \|L_{(y,t)}\| \| = |\det A|^{t(\alpha+1/p-1/q)} \quad \text{and} \quad \| \|R_{(y,t)}\| \| \leq |\det A|^{-t(\alpha-1/q)} (v(y, t))^\beta,$$

where $\| \| \cdot \| := \| \cdot \|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}$ and v is the weight function defined in Lemma 5.2.

Proof It is easy to see that $\| \cdot \|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}$ is a solid quasi-norm, as defined in [65, Chapter 2], and a solid norm if $p, q \geq 1$. The positive definiteness of $\| \cdot \|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}$ follows from Lemma B.1.

For the completeness of $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$, suppose that $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F_n\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}} < \infty$, and let $F \in \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}(G_A)$ be such that $|F(x, s)| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F_n(x, s)|$ for a.e. $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$. Then it follows directly from Fatou’s lemma and the definition of $\| \cdot \|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}$ that

$$\|F\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F_n\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}, \tag{5.4}$$

and thus $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ satisfies the so-called *Fatou property*, which in particular implies that $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ is complete; see [67, Section 65, Theorem 1] and [65, Lemma 2.2.15].

We show the translation-invariance for $q \in (0, \infty)$. Let $F \in \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}(G_A)$ and $(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Then a direct calculation using the substitutions $\tilde{x} = x - y$ and $x = A^{-t}\tilde{x}$, as well as $\tilde{z} = A^{-t}z$ shows

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{(y,t)}F\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}} &= \left\| x \mapsto \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[|\det A|^{\alpha s} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|F(A^{-t}(x+z-y), s-t)|}{(1+\rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \right]^q \frac{ds}{|\det A|^s} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \\ &= \left\| x \mapsto \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[|\det A|^{\alpha s} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|F(A^{-t}\tilde{x} + A^{-t}z, s-t)|}{(1+\rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \right]^q \frac{ds}{|\det A|^s} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \\ &= |\det A|^{\frac{t}{p}} \left\| x \mapsto \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[|\det A|^{\alpha s} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|F(x+\tilde{z}, s-t)|}{(1+\rho_A(A^{-(s-t)}\tilde{z}))^\beta} \right]^q \frac{ds}{|\det A|^s} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \\ &= |\det A|^{t/p} |\det A|^{t(\alpha-1/q)} \|F\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the right-translation, the substitutions $\tilde{z} = z + A^s y$ and $\tilde{s} = s + t$ show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{(y,t)}F\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}} &= \left\| x \mapsto \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[|\det A|^{\alpha s} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|F(x+z+A^s y, s+t)|}{(1+\rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \right]^q \frac{ds}{|\det A|^s} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \\ &= \left\| x \mapsto \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[|\det A|^{\alpha(\tilde{s}-t)} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|F(x+\tilde{z}, \tilde{s})|}{(1+\rho_A(A^{-\tilde{s}}A^t\tilde{z}-y))^\beta} \right]^q \frac{d\tilde{s}}{|\det A|^{\tilde{s}-t}} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.2, $(1+\rho_A(A^{-s}A^t z - y))^{-1} \leq \frac{v(y,t)}{1+\rho_A(A^{-s}z)}$ for all $(z, s), (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, showing the desired estimate. The case $q = \infty$ follows via the usual modifications. \square

Lastly, the following simple observation allows to apply results of [64] in the remainder.

Lemma 5.4 *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta > 0$. For $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$, let $r := \min\{1, p, q\}$. The quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}$ is an r -norm, i.e.,*

$$\|F_1 + F_2\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}^r \leq \|F_1\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}^r + \|F_2\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}^r \quad \text{for } F_1, F_2 \in \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Proof The case $p, q \geq 1$ follows directly by Lemma 5.3, so let $p, q < 1$ throughout the proof. For $F_i \in \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ with $i = 1, 2$, define

$$H_i(x, s) = |\det A|^{\alpha s} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|F_i(x + z, s)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta}, \quad (x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Using this notation and the inequalities $r = \min\{1, p, q\} < 1$ and $q/r, p/r \geq 1$, a direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1 + F_2\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}^r &= \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\det A|^{\alpha s} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|F_1(\cdot + z, s) + F_2(\cdot + z, s)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \right)^{r \cdot \frac{q}{r}} \frac{ds}{|\det A|^s} \right)^{\frac{r}{q} \cdot \frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{L^p}^r \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(H_1(\cdot, s)^r + H_2(\cdot, s)^r \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} \frac{ds}{|\det A|^s} \right)^{\frac{r}{q} \cdot \frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{L^p}^r \\ &\leq \left\| \|H_1^r\|_{L^{q/r}(\nu)} + \|H_2^r\|_{L^{q/r}(\nu)} \right\|_{L^{p/r}} \\ &\leq \|F_1\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}^r + \|F_2\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}^r, \end{aligned}$$

where ν denotes the Borel measure on \mathbb{R} given by $\nu(M) = \int_M \frac{ds}{|\det A|^s}$ as in Eq. 5.1. \square

5.2 Standard envelope and control weight

The notion of a control weight plays an essential role in coorbit theory, see, e.g., [25, 30, 38, 64]. For the study of control weights in the setting of the present paper, the class of functions will be useful.

Definition 5.5 For $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in (0, \infty)^2$ and $L \in \mathbb{R}$, define $\eta_L : G_A \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $\theta_\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$\eta_L(x, s) := (1 + \min\{\rho_A(x), \rho_A(A^{-s}x)\})^{-L} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_\sigma(s) := \begin{cases} \sigma_1^s, & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ \sigma_2^s, & \text{if } s < 0. \end{cases}$$

The *standard envelope* $\Xi_{\sigma,L} : G_A \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is given by $\Xi_{\sigma,L}(x, s) := \theta_\sigma(s)\eta_L(x, s)$.

Lemma 5.6 *For each $L \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\eta_L(x, s) \asymp (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s^+}x))^{-L}$ for all $(x, s) \in G_A$.*

Proof Corollary 2.5 shows $\rho_A(A^{-s}x) \asymp |\det A|^{-s} \rho_A(x)$. Because of $|\det A| > 1$, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\rho_A(x), \rho_A(A^{-s}x)\} &\asymp \min\{\rho_A(x), |\det A|^{-s} \rho_A(x)\} \\ &\asymp |\det A|^{-s^+} \rho_A(x) \asymp \rho_A(A^{-s^+}x), \end{aligned}$$

where Corollary 2.5 was again used in the last step. This estimate easily implies the claim. \square

The next lemma provides the existence of a so-called control weight for $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and shows how to estimate it by a standard envelope.

Lemma 5.7 *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\beta > 0$. For $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty)$, let $r := \min\{1, p, q\}$. As in Lemma 5.3, write $\|\cdot\| := \|\cdot\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}$. There exists a continuous, submultiplicative weight $w = w_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta} : G_A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ such that*

$$w(g) = \Delta^{1/r}(g^{-1}) w(g^{-1}), \quad \|\|L_{g^{-1}}\|\| \leq w(g), \quad \|\|R_g\|\| \leq w(g), \quad g \in G_A,$$

with implicit constant depending on A, β . The weight w is called a standard control weight.

Furthermore, define $\sigma_1 := |\det A|^{1/r + |\alpha + 1/p - 1/q|}$ and $\sigma_2 := |\det A|^{-|\alpha + 1/p - 1/q|}$, as well as

$$\kappa_1 := \begin{cases} |\det A|^{1/r + \alpha + \beta - 1/q} & \text{if } \alpha \geq -\frac{1/r + \beta - 2/q}{2}, \\ |\det A|^{-(\alpha - 1/q)} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\kappa_2 := \begin{cases} |\det A|^{-(\alpha + \beta - 1/q)} & \text{if } \alpha \geq -\frac{1/r + \beta - 2/q}{2}, \\ |\det A|^{1/r + \alpha - 1/q} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the standard control weight w satisfies $w \asymp \Xi_{\sigma_1, 0} + \Xi_{\kappa_2, -\beta}$.

Proof The weight $v : G_A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ constructed in Lemma 5.2 is submultiplicative, measurable, and locally bounded; see Eq. 5.3. Furthermore, $v \geq 1$. Thus, v is a weight function in the sense of [52, Definition 3.7.1] and by the proof of [52, Theorem 3.7.5], there exists a continuous, submultiplicative function $v_0 : G_A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ satisfying $v \asymp v_0$.

Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and set $a_\tau(g) = a_\tau(x, s) := |\det A|^{s\tau}$ for $g = (x, s) \in G_A$. Note that a_τ is multiplicative and that $\Delta = a_{-1}$. For $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$, define the function $w_{\gamma,\delta} : G_A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by

$$w_{\gamma,\delta} := \max\{1, a_{1/r}, a_\gamma, a_{-\gamma}, a_{\gamma+1/r}, a_{1/r-\gamma}, a_{\delta+1/r} \cdot (v_0^\vee)^\beta, a_{-\delta} \cdot v_0^\beta\}.$$

Then $w_{\gamma,\delta}$ is again continuous and submultiplicative. Since $a_\tau^\vee = a_{-\tau}$, it follows easily that $(\Delta^{1/r})^\vee \cdot w_{\gamma,\delta}^\vee = w_{\gamma,\delta}$. Choosing $\gamma := \alpha + 1/p - 1/q$ and $\delta := \alpha - 1/q$ and

setting $w = w_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta} := w_{\gamma,\delta}$ yields, by Lemma 5.3, that $\| \|L_{g^{-1}}\| \| = a_{-\gamma}(g) \leq w(g)$ and $\| \|R_g\| \| \lesssim a_{-\delta}(g) v_0(g)^\beta \leq w(g)$.

For proving the second part of the lemma, note that $w \asymp w_1 + w_2$ for the weights given by $w_1 := \max\{a_0, a_{1/r}, a_\gamma, a_{-\gamma}, a_{1/r+\gamma}, a_{1/r-\gamma}\}$ and $w_2 := \max\{a_{\delta+1/r} \cdot (v_0^\vee)^\beta, a_{-\delta} \cdot v_0^\beta\}$. It remains therefore to show that $w_1 \asymp \Xi_{\sigma,0}$ and $w_2 \asymp \Xi_{\kappa,-\beta}$, with κ and σ as in the statement of the lemma. To estimate w_1 , note that if $I = \{0, 1/r, \gamma, -\gamma, 1/r + \gamma, 1/r - \gamma\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\tau \in I} a_\tau(x, s) &= \begin{cases} |\det A|^{s \cdot \max I}, & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ |\det A|^{s \cdot \min I}, & \text{if } s < 0, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} |\det A|^{s \cdot (1/r + |\gamma|)}, & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ |\det A|^{-s|\gamma|}, & \text{if } s < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the choice of γ and σ , this yields $w_1(x, s) = \max_{\tau \in I} a_\tau(x, s) = \theta_\sigma(s) = \Xi_{\sigma,0}(x, s)$. Lastly, for estimating w_2 , note that the estimate for v in Lemma 5.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} v_0^\vee(x, s) &\asymp \max\{1, |\det A|^s\} (1 + \min\{\rho_A(-A^{-s}x), \rho_A(-A^s A^{-s}x)\}) \\ &= |\det A|^{s^+} (1 + \min\{\rho_A(x), \rho_A(A^{-s}x)\}) \\ &= |\det A|^{s^+} \eta_{-1}(x, s). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can show that $v_0(x, s) \asymp |\det A|^{s^-} \eta_{-1}(x, s)$. In case of $s \geq 0$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} w_2(x, s) &\asymp (\eta_{-1}(x, s))^\beta \max\{|\det A|^{(1/r+\delta+\beta)s}, |\det A|^{-\delta s}\} \\ &= \eta_{-\beta}(x, s) \kappa_1^s \\ &= \Xi_{\kappa,-\beta}(x, s), \end{aligned}$$

since $\max\{1/r + \delta + \beta, -\delta\} = \max\{1/r + \alpha + \beta - 1/q, -\alpha + 1/q\} = 1/r + \alpha + \beta - 1/q$ if and only if $\alpha \geq -\frac{1/r + \beta - 2/q}{2}$. The estimate for $s < 0$ follows similarly. \square

5.3 Norm estimates

Let $Q \subset G_A$ be a relatively compact unit-neighborhood. The *two-sided local maximal function* $M_Q F$ of a measurable function $F : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$M_Q F(g) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{u, v \in Q} |F(ugv)|. \tag{5.5}$$

Two properties of this maximal function that will be used below are its measurability (see, e.g., [43, Lemma B.4]) and the estimate $|F| \leq M_Q F$ a.e. (see, e.g., [65, Lemma 2.3.3]).

For $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$, let $r := \min\{1, p, q\}$. The (weighted) *Wiener amalgam space* $\mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}(L_w^r) := \mathcal{W}_Q(L_w^r) := \left\{ F \in C(G_A) : M_Q F \in L_w^r(G_A) \right\},$$

where $w : G_A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is a standard control weight for $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ as provided by Lemma 5.7.

The space $\mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ is independent of the choice of Q .³ In particular, this implies that

$$F \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r) \text{ if and only if } F^\vee \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r); \tag{5.6}$$

since the condition $w(g) = \Delta^{1/r}(g^{-1})w(g^{-1})$ in Lemma 5.7 implies $\|F^\vee\|_{L_w^r} = \|F\|_{L_w^r}$, and by choosing Q to be symmetric it follows that $M_Q(\Phi^\vee) = (M_Q\Phi)^\vee$.

The following norm estimate will be used repeatedly in the remainder.

Lemma 5.8 *Let $Q \subset G_A$ be a relatively compact unit neighborhood. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $w : G_A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be any weight such that $w \lesssim \Xi$, where Ξ is a linear combination of standard envelopes (see Definition 5.5).*

Then, for all $p, q \in (0, \infty]$, there exists a continuous Schwartz seminorm $\|\cdot\|$ such that

$$\|W_\psi\varphi\|_{L_w^{p,q}} \leq \|M_Q(W_\psi\varphi)\|_{L_w^{p,q}} \lesssim \|\varphi\|$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$; in particular, $W_\psi\varphi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ for all $r \in (0, \infty]$.

Proof Let $1 < \lambda_- < \min_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|$. By Corollaries 4.6 and 2.5 and Lemma 5.6, it follows that for all $L, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |W_\psi\varphi(x, s)| &\lesssim \|\varphi\|(1 + \rho_A(x))^{-L} \lambda_-^{-|s|N} \lesssim \|\varphi\|(1 + |\det A|^{-s^+} \rho_A(x))^{-L} \lambda_-^{-|s|N} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\| \Xi_{L,\tau}(x, s), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau := (\lambda_-^{-N}, \lambda_-^N)$ and a suitable continuous Schwartz seminorm $\|\cdot\|$, depending on L, N . Lemma B.2 yields $M_Q \Xi_{L,\tau} \lesssim \Xi_{L,\tau}$, and hence $M_Q[W_\psi\varphi] \lesssim \|\varphi\| \Xi_{L,\tau}$. In addition, Lemma 5.6 shows that $\eta_L(x, s) \asymp (1 + |\det A|^{-s^+} \rho_A(x))^{-L} \leq |\det A|^{|s|L} (1 + \rho_A(x))^{-L}$. Therefore,

$$M_Q[W_\psi\varphi](x, s) \lesssim \|\varphi\|(1 + \rho_A(x))^{-L} (|\det A|^{|L|/\lambda_-^N})^{|s|}, \tag{5.7}$$

where $L, N \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrary and $\|\cdot\|$ is a continuous Schwartz semi-norm depending on N, L .

³ Given two relatively compact unit neighborhoods $P, Q \subset G_A$, one can write $Q \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N x_i P$ and $Q \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^M P y_j$, and this easily implies $M_Q F \leq \sum_{i,j} R_{x_i} L_{y_j^{-1}}(M_P F)$. The result then follows by noting that $L_w^r(G_A)$ is invariant under left- and right-translations, since w is submultiplicative.

It clearly suffices to prove the claim for the case where $w = \theta_\sigma \cdot \eta_M$ is a standard envelope, with $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in (0, \infty)^2$ and $M \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $\tilde{\sigma} := \max\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2^{-1}\}$; then $\theta_\sigma(s) \leq \tilde{\sigma}^{|s|}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\eta_M \leq 1 = \eta_0$ for $M \geq 0$, we may assume that $M \leq 0$. Then, Lemma 5.6 and Corollary 2.5 imply

$$\eta_M(x, s) \lesssim (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s^+} x))^{-M} \lesssim (1 + |\det A|^{-s^+} \rho_A(x))^{|M|} \leq (1 + \rho_A(x))^{|M|}.$$

Since $|\det A|^{-\frac{s}{q}} \leq |\det A|^{|s|/q}$, we thus have

$$|\det A|^{-\frac{s}{q}} w(x, s) M_Q[W_\psi \varphi](x, s) \lesssim \|\varphi\| (1 + \rho_A(x))^{|M|-L} (|\det A|^{|L|+\frac{1}{q}} \tilde{\sigma}/\lambda_-^N)^{|s|}. \tag{5.8}$$

Therefore, choosing L, N sufficiently large, it is an easy consequence of Lemma 2.3 that

$$\|M_Q[W_\psi \varphi]\|_{L_w^{p,q}} = \||\det A|^{-\frac{s}{q}} w(\cdot) M_Q[W_\psi \varphi](\cdot)\|_{L^{p,q}} \lesssim \|\varphi\|,$$

which completes the proof. □

5.4 Coorbit spaces

This section proves wavelet characterizations of anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces by identifying them with so-called *coorbit spaces* (cf. [25, 64]).

For technical reasons, coorbit spaces associated with quasi-Banach function spaces are commonly defined in terms of merely *left* local maximal functions. For a function $F \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(G_A)$, its left maximal function is defined by

$$M_Q^L F(g) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{u \in Q} |F(gu)|, \quad g \in G_A,$$

where $Q \subset G_A$ is a relatively compact unit neighborhood.

Definition 5.9 Let $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\beta > 0$. Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive exponential and let $Q \subset G_A$ be a relatively compact, symmetric unit neighborhood.

For an admissible vector $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the *coorbit space* $\text{Co}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}) = \text{Co}_\psi(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\text{Co}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})} = \|f\|_{\text{Co}_\psi(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})} = \|M_Q^L(W_\psi f)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}} < \infty,$$

and equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Co}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})}$.

In the above definition, note that there exist admissible vectors $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by Theorem 4.2.

Remark 5.10 The space $\text{Co}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})$ defined in Definition 5.9 can be identified with the abstract coorbit spaces defined in [64, Definition 4.7]. In particular, several basic properties of coorbit spaces, such as independence of the defining vector $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and neighborhood Q , follow directly from the theory [64]. See Lemma D.1 for details on the identification.

Anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces are identified with coorbit spaces by the following proposition.

Proposition 5.11 *Let $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\beta > \max\{1/p, 1/q\}$. Then*

$$\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha = \text{Co}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta}) \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha' = \alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}.$$

Proof Throughout, let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be admissible (4.4) with compact Fourier support in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the support condition (2.4). The existence of such vectors is guaranteed by Theorem 4.2. Furthermore, let $Q := [-1, 1)^d \times [-1, 1)$. The space $\text{Co}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})$ is independent of these choices by Remark 5.10. To ease notation, set $\alpha' := \alpha + 1/2 - 1/q$.

The proof is split into three steps.

Step 1. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be an admissible vector (4.4) with compact Fourier support in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (2.4). Then also $\psi^* \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies (2.4), where $\psi^*(t) := \overline{\psi(-t)}$. Since

$$\pi(x, s)\psi = |\det A|^{-s/2} \psi(A^{-s}(\cdot - x)) = |\det A|^{s/2} T_x \psi_{-s},$$

it follows that

$$W_\psi f(x, s) = \langle f, \pi(x, s)\psi \rangle = \langle f, |\det A|^{s/2} T_x \psi_{-s} \rangle = |\det A|^{s/2} f * \psi_{-s}^*(x). \tag{5.9}$$

Therefore, using ψ^* as the analyzing vector in Theorem 3.5 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha} &\asymp \left\| x \mapsto \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\det A|^{-\alpha s} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|(f * \psi_{-s}^*)(x+z)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \right)^q ds \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \\ &= \left\| x \mapsto \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\det A|^{-(\alpha+1/2-1/q)s} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|W_\psi f(x+z, s)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \right)^q \frac{ds}{|\det A|^s} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \\ &= \|W_\psi f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Step 2. Since $|F| \leq M_Q^L F$ a.e. on G_A for $F \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(G_A)$ (see, e.g., [65, Lemma 2.3.3]), it follows by Step 1 that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha} \asymp \|W_\psi f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta}} \leq \|M_Q^L(W_\psi f)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta}} = \|f\|_{\text{Co}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta})},$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Step 3. This step will show the remaining estimate $\|f\|_{\text{Co}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha}$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Parts of the used arguments resemble Step 2 in the proof of Theorem 3.5 and will for this reason only be sketched.

First, a direct calculation using the involved definitions and a change-of-variable yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|M_Q^L(W_\psi f)(x+z, s)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ (y,t) \in Q}} \frac{|W_\psi f(x+z + A^s y, s+t)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ (y,t) \in Q}} \frac{|W_\psi f(x+z, s+t)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z - y))^\beta} \\ &\lesssim_{A,\beta} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ t \in [-1,1]}} \frac{|W_\psi f(x+z, s+t)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where the last inequality follows from $(1 + \rho_A(A^s z - y))^{-\beta} \lesssim (1 + \rho_A(A^s z))^{-\beta}$ for $y \in [-1, 1]^d$.

For fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [-1, 1)$, the identity (5.9) and Corollary 2.5 allows to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\det A|^{-s/2} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|W_\psi f(x+z, s+t)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} &\lesssim_A \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|(f * \psi_{-(s+t)}^*)(x+z)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \\ &\lesssim_{A,\beta} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|(f * \psi_{-(s+t)}^*)(x+z)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-(s+t)}z))^\beta} \\ &= (\psi^*)_{-(s+t),\beta}^{**} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

This, combined with (5.11) and $|\det A|^{-\alpha t} \lesssim_{A,\alpha} 1$ for $t \in [-1, 1)$, yields that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\det A|^{-s/2} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|M_Q^L(W_\psi f)(x+z, s)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \\ &\lesssim_{A,\beta} |\det A|^{-s/2} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ t \in [-1,1]}} \frac{|W_\psi f(x+z, s+t)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \\ &\lesssim_{A,\beta} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-1,1]} (\psi^*)_{-(s+t),\beta}^{**} f(x) \\ &\lesssim_{A,\alpha} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-1,1]} |\det A|^{-\alpha t} (\psi^*)_{-(s+t),\beta}^{**} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $q < \infty$. Then arguments similar to proving (3.15) yield $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(|\det A|^{-\alpha(s+t)} (\psi^*)_{-(s+t),\beta}^{**} f(x) \right)^q \lesssim \sum_{\ell=-N}^N \left(|\det A|^{-\alpha(s+\ell)} (\psi^*)_{-(s+\ell),\beta}^{**} f(x) \right)^q.$$

The right-hand side being independent of $t \in [-1, 1]$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(|\det A|^{-(\alpha+1/2)s} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|M_Q^L(W_\psi f)(x+z, s)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \right)^q \\ & \lesssim \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [-1, 1]} \left(|\det A|^{-\alpha(s+t)} (\psi^*)_{-(s+t),\beta}^{**} f(x) \right)^q \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\ell=-N}^N \left(|\det A|^{-\alpha(s+\ell)} (\psi^*)_{-(s+\ell),\beta}^{**} f(x) \right)^q. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Combining this estimate with Theorem 3.5 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f\|_{\operatorname{Co}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta})} \\ & = \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\det A|^{-(\alpha+1/2-1/q)s} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|M_Q^L(W_\psi f)(\cdot+z, s)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \right)^q \frac{ds}{|\det A|^s} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{\ell=-N}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\det A|^{-\alpha(s+\ell)} (\psi^*)_{-(s+\ell),\beta}^{**} f \right)^q ds \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\det A|^{\alpha s} (\psi^*)_{s,\beta}^{**} f)^q ds \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p} \\ & \asymp \|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

The case $q = \infty$ follows from (5.12) by similar arguments. □

Remark 5.12 For $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $q \in [1, \infty]$, the coorbit spaces $\operatorname{Co}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})$ of Definition 5.9 are genuine Banach spaces, which are well-known to admit the equivalent norm

$$\|f\|_{\operatorname{Co}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})} := \|M_Q^L(W_\psi f)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}} \asymp \|W_\psi f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}},$$

see, e.g., [26, Theorem 8.3] and [64, Proposition 4.10]. The proof of Proposition 5.11 shows that the same holds for the Peetre-type spaces $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ in the quasi-Banach range $\min\{p, q\} < 1$.

6 Molecular characterizations

This section provides new molecular characterizations of anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces. The results will be obtained from [53, 64] by exploiting the coorbit identification of Triebel–Lizorkin spaces provided by Proposition 5.11.

6.1 Peetre-type sequence space

Let $\Gamma \subset G_A$ be arbitrary and let $U \subset G_A$ be a relatively compact unit neighborhood. The set Γ is *relatively separated* if

$$\sup_{g \in G} \#(\Gamma \cap gU) = \sup_{g \in G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathbb{1}_{\gamma U^{-1}}(g) < \infty$$

and is called *U-dense* if $G = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma U$. The set Γ is *U-separated* in G if $\mu_{G_A}(\gamma U \cap \gamma' U) = 0$ for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ and is *separated* if it is *U-separated* for some unit neighborhood U . Any separated set is relatively separated. Furthermore, the notion of being relatively separated is independent of the choice of the relatively compact unit neighborhood U .

Definition 6.1 Let $\Gamma \subset G_A$ be relatively separated and let $U \subset G_A$ be a relatively compact unit neighborhood. For $p \in (0, \infty), q \in (0, \infty], \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\beta > 0$, the sequence space $\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}(\Gamma, U)$ associated to the Peetre-type space $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}(G_A)$ is defined as the set of all $c = (c_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \in \mathbb{C}^\Gamma$ such that

$$\|c\|_{\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}} := \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |c_\gamma| \mathbb{1}_{\gamma U} \right\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}} < \infty$$

and equipped with the (quasi)-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}$.

The sequence space $\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}(\Gamma, U)$ is a well-defined quasi-Banach space, independent of the choice of the defining neighborhood U ; see, e.g., [25, 51] or [65, Lemma 2.3.16].

Remark 6.2 The Triebel–Lizorkin space $\dot{\mathbf{f}}_{p,q}^{\alpha}$ defined in (2.6) can be identified with a sequence space $\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ via Theorem 3.8. To be more explicit, if $\Gamma = \{(A^{-j}k, -j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$, then the map

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}(\Gamma, [-1, 1)^d \times [-1, 1)) \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{f}}_{p,q}^{-(\alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})}, \quad (c_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mapsto (c_{(A^{-j}k, -j)})_{(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^d}$$

is an isomorphism of (quasi)-Banach spaces, for any $p \in (0, \infty), q \in (0, \infty], \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta > \max\{1/p, 1/q\}$.

6.2 Molecular systems and the extended pairing

Following [40, 53, 64], the notion of molecular systems used in this paper is defined through properties of the associated wavelet transform.

Definition 6.3 Let $\Gamma \subset G_A$ be relatively separated and let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be an admissible vector such that $W_\psi \psi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$, where $w = w_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta} : G_A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is the standard control weight of Lemma 5.7.

A family $(\phi_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of vectors $\phi_\gamma \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an L_w^r -molecular system if there exists an envelope $\Phi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ such that

$$|W_\psi \phi_\gamma(x)| \leq L_\gamma \Phi(x), \quad (6.1)$$

for $x \in G_A$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Remark 6.4 The condition (6.1) is independent of the choice of the window ψ in the following sense: If $\psi, \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are both admissible satisfying $W_\psi \varphi, W_\psi \psi, W_\varphi \varphi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$, then $(\phi_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a molecular system with respect to the window ψ if and only if the same holds with respect to the window φ ; see [64, Lemma 6.3].

In order to treat molecular systems consisting of general vectors in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in a meaningful manner, we define the following extended dual pairing.

Definition 6.5 Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be admissible. For $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define the extended pairing as

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle_\psi := \int_{G_A} \langle f, \pi(x, s)\psi \rangle \overline{\langle \phi, \pi(x, s)\psi \rangle}_{L^2} d\mu_{G_A}(x, s),$$

provided that the integral converges.

Remark 6.6 Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be admissible.

- If $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the extended pairing $\langle f, \phi \rangle_\psi$ coincides with the standard conjugate linear pairing $\langle f, \phi \rangle := f(\overline{\phi})$ by Lemma 4.7.
- If both $f, \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\langle f, \phi \rangle_\psi$ coincides with the L^2 -inner product $\langle f, \phi \rangle$ by Eq. (4.2).

For showing that the extended pairing defined in Definition 6.5 is well-defined, in the sense that it does not depend on the choice of admissible vectors, the following approximation property will be used.

Lemma 6.7 Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be admissible with $W_\psi f \in L_{1/w}^\infty(G_A)$, where $w : G \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ denotes the standard control weight provided by Lemma 5.7.

There exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions $f_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the following properties:

- As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ with weak- $*$ -convergence in $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$;

(ii) For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there is a constant $C = C(\varphi, \psi, f) > 0$ such that

$$|W_\varphi f_n(g)| \leq Cw(g), \quad g \in G_A.$$

Proof For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\Omega_n := [-n, n]^d \times [-n, n]$ and $F_n := W_\psi f \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_n}$. Note that since w is continuous and $\Omega_n \subset G_A$ is compact, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $C_n > 0$ satisfying $w(x) \leq C_n$ for all $x \in \Omega_n$. This implies $|F_n(\cdot)| \leq C_n \|W_\psi f\|_{L^\infty_{1/w}} \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_n}(\cdot) \in L^1(G_A)$. Since $g \mapsto \pi(g)\psi$ is continuous from G_A into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\|F_n(\cdot)\pi(\cdot)\psi\|_{L^2} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^2} |F_n(\cdot)| \in L^1(G_A)$, this shows that $f_n := \int_{G_A} F_n(g) \pi(g)\psi \, d\mu_{G_A}(g) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is well-defined as a Bochner integral.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be arbitrary. For $h \in G_A$, a direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} |W_\varphi f_n(h)| &\leq \int_{G_A} |F_n(g)| |\langle \pi(g)\psi, \pi(h)\varphi \rangle| \, d\mu_{G_A}(g) \\ &\leq \int_{G_A} |W_\psi f(g)| |W_\psi \varphi(h^{-1}g)| \, d\mu_{G_A}(g) \\ &\leq \|W_\psi f\|_{L^\infty_{1/w}} \int_{G_A} w(h) w(h^{-1}g) |W_\psi \varphi(h^{-1}g)| \, d\mu_{G_A}(g) \\ &\leq w(h) \|W_\psi f\|_{L^\infty_{1/w}} \|W_\psi \varphi\|_{L^1_w}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|W_\psi \varphi\|_{L^1_w} < \infty$ by Lemma 5.8. This proves (ii).

To prove (i), applying the dominated convergence theorem and Corollary 4.8 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_\psi f_n(h) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G_A} F_n(g) \langle \pi(g)\psi, \pi(h)\psi \rangle \, d\mu_{G_A}(g) \\ &= (W_\psi f * W_\psi \psi)(h) = W_\psi f(h). \end{aligned}$$

As shown above, $W_\psi f_n \rightarrow W_\psi f$ pointwise and $|W_\psi f_n(g)| \leq Cw(g)$. On the other hand, given $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, Lemma 5.8 shows that $W_\psi \varphi \in L^1_w(G_A)$. Therefore, a combination of Corollary 4.8 with the dominated convergence theorem shows that

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G_A} W_\psi f_n(g) \overline{W_\psi \varphi(g)} \, d\mu_{G_A}(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n, \varphi \rangle,$$

proving that $f_n \rightarrow f$ with respect to the weak-* topology on $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. □

Lemma 6.8 Let $w : G_A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a standard control weight as in Lemma 5.7. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be admissible.

If $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies $W_\psi f \in L^\infty_{1/w}(G_A)$ and $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies $W_\psi \phi \in L^1_w(G_A)$, then $\langle f, \phi \rangle_\psi$ is well-defined and independent of the choice of $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof We first show for $Y = L^\infty_{1/w}(G_A)$ or $Y = L^1_w(G_A)$ that if $f \in \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies $W_\psi f \in Y$, then $W_\varphi f \in Y$ for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For this, first note that $W_\varphi f = W_\psi f * W_\varphi \psi$; see Corollary 4.8. If $Y = L^1_w(G_A)$, the submultiplicativity of w

implies $Y * L_w^1(G_A) \subset L_w^1(G_A)$, while Lemma 5.8 shows that $W_\varphi \psi \in L_w^1(G_A)$. Thus, $W_\varphi f \in L_w^1(G_A)$. In case of $Y = L_{1/w}^\infty(G_A)$, note that $|(W_\varphi \psi)(h)| = |(W_\psi \varphi)(h^{-1})|$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{w(g)} |W_\varphi f(g)| &\leq \int_{G_A} \frac{1}{w(h)} |W_\psi f(h)| \frac{w(h)}{w(g)} |W_\psi \varphi(g^{-1}h)| d\mu_{G_A}(h) \\ &\leq \|W_\psi f\|_{L_{1/w}^\infty} \|W_\psi \varphi\|_{L_w^1} \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G_A$; here, we used that $\frac{w(h)}{w(g)} \leq \frac{w(g)w(g^{-1}h)}{w(g)} = w(g^{-1}h)$. Thus, also $W_\varphi f \in L_{1/w}^\infty(G_A)$.

Since $W_\psi f \in L_{1/w}^\infty(G_A)$ and $W_\psi \phi \in L_w^1(G_A)$ by assumption, it is clear that $\langle f, \phi \rangle_\psi \in \mathbb{C}$ is well-defined. Next, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be admissible, and let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as provided by Lemma 6.7. Note that $W_\phi f_n(g) = \langle f_n, \pi(g)\phi \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, \pi(g)\phi \rangle = W_\phi f(g)$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in G_A$. Therefore, an application of the dominated convergence theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \phi \rangle_\psi &= \langle W_\psi f, W_\psi \phi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle W_\psi f_n, W_\psi \phi \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle W_\phi f_n, W_\phi \phi \rangle_{L^2} = \langle f, \phi \rangle_\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the isometry of $W_\varphi, W_\psi : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(G_A)$. □

6.3 Molecular decompositions

This section provides the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

We first show the following auxiliary claim which is implicit in the statements of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Lemma 6.9 *Let $p \in (0, \infty), q \in (0, \infty], \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\beta > 0$. Let $w = w_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta} : G_A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a standard control weight as defined in Lemma 5.7 and let $r = \min\{1, p, q\}$. If $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and if $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is admissible with $W_\varphi \psi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$, then $W_\phi \psi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof By Eq. 4.3, the identity $W_\phi \psi = W_\varphi \psi * W_\phi \varphi$ holds. Note that $W_\phi \varphi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ by Lemma 5.8 and $W_\varphi \psi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ by assumption. The weight $w := w_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ is continuous and submultiplicative with $w \geq 1$ and satisfies $w(g) = w(g^{-1})\Delta^{1/r}(g^{-1})$, meaning that it is an r -weight in the terminology of [64, Definition 3.1]. Therefore, using the convolution relation $\mathcal{W}(L_w^r) * \mathcal{W}(L_w^r) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ from [64, Corollary 3.9], we see that $W_\phi \psi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$, as claimed. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be an admissible vector satisfying $\widehat{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ and the support condition (2.4); see Theorem 4.2. Then an application of Proposition 5.11 yields that $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha = \text{Co}_\varphi(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta})$. Furthermore, since $\psi, \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are admissible and satisfy $W_\psi \psi, W_\varphi \varphi, W_\phi \psi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ (see Lemma 5.8 and 6.9),

it follows by Lemma D.1 that $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha$ can be identified with the abstract coorbit space $\text{Co}_\psi^{\mathcal{H}}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta})$ used in [64].

An application of [64, Theorem 6.14] yields a compact unit neighborhood $U \subset G_A$ such that for any $\Gamma \subset G_A$ satisfying condition (1.8), there exist molecular systems $(\phi_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $(f_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, such that every $f \in \text{Co}_\psi^{\mathcal{H}}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta})$ can be represented as

$$f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle f, \pi(\gamma)\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_w, \mathcal{H}_w^1} \phi_\gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle f, \phi_\gamma \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_w, \mathcal{H}_w^1} \pi(\gamma)\psi = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle f, f_\gamma \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_w, \mathcal{H}_w^1} \tilde{f}_\gamma, \tag{6.2}$$

with unconditional convergence of the series in the weak- $*$ -topology on the space $\mathcal{R}_w = \mathcal{R}_w(\psi)$ introduced in Sect. 1. By Lemma D.1, any $f \in \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha$ can be extended uniquely to an element $\tilde{f} \in \text{Co}_\psi^{\mathcal{H}}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta})$. Since $(\phi_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ and $(f_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ are molecules with respect to ψ , they also satisfy the molecule condition with respect to φ by Remark 6.4. Therefore, Eq. D.2 shows that the dual pairings occurring in Eq. 6.2 coincide with the extended dual pairing from Definition 6.5. Lastly, applying Eq. 6.2 to \tilde{f} , using Eq. D.2, and restricting the domain of both sides of Eq. 6.2 to $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{H}_w^1(\varphi) = \mathcal{H}_w^1(\psi)$, we see that Eq. 6.2 holds for all $f \in \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha$, with unconditional convergence of the series in the weak- $*$ -topology on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_w(\psi)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3 As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, the Triebel–Lizorkin space $\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha$ can be identified with $\text{Co}_\psi^{\mathcal{H}}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta})$ of Lemma D.1. An application of [64, Theorem 6.15] yields a compact unit neighborhood $U \subset G_A$ such that for any $\Gamma \subset G_A$ satisfying condition (1.9), there exists a molecular systems $(\phi_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ in $\overline{\text{span}\{\pi(\gamma)\psi : \gamma \in \Gamma\}}$ such that, given $(c_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \in \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta}$, the vector $\tilde{f} := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_\gamma \phi_\gamma \in \text{Co}_\psi^{\mathcal{H}}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{-\alpha',\beta})$ satisfies

$$\langle \tilde{f}, \pi(\gamma)\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_w, \mathcal{H}_w^1} = c_\gamma, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{6.3}$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, another application of Lemma D.1 yields that the restriction $f = \tilde{f}|_{\mathcal{S}_0} \in \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{p,q}^\alpha$ satisfies $\langle f, \pi(\gamma)\psi \rangle_\varphi = \langle \tilde{f}, \pi(\gamma)\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_w, \mathcal{H}_w^1}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. \square

6.4 Explicit criteria

This section provides explicit criteria for coorbit molecules. The proof relies on the following lemma concerning the standard envelope from Definition 5.5.

Lemma 6.10 *Let $r \in (0, 1]$. If $\sigma \in (0, \infty)^2$ satisfies $\sigma_1 < 1, \sigma_2 > |\det A|^{1/r}$ and if $L > 1/r$, then $\Xi_{\sigma,L} \in L^r(G_A)$.*

Proof Using Lemma 5.6, a change-of-variable yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\eta_L(x, s)|^r dx \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s^+}x))^{-Lr} dx = |\det A|^{s^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \rho_A(y))^{-Lr} dy$$

$$\asymp |\det A|^{s^+},$$

where the last step follows from Lemma 2.3 and the assumption $Lr > 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Xi_{\sigma,L}\|_{L^r(G_A)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\det A|^{-s} (\theta_{\sigma}(s))^r \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\eta_L(x,s))^r dx ds \\ &\asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\det A|^{s^+ - s} (\theta_{\sigma}(s))^r ds \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \sigma_1^{rs} ds + \int_{-\infty}^0 |\det A|^{-s} \sigma_2^{rs} ds \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{sr \ln \sigma_1} ds + \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{s(r \ln \sigma_2 - \ln |\det A|)} ds < \infty \end{aligned}$$

since $\ln \sigma_1 < 0$ and $r \ln \sigma_2 > \ln |\det A|$ by assumption. □

Theorem 6.11 For $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$, let $r = \min\{p, q, 1\}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta > 0$. Choose constants $L > 1$, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that $L \cdot (1 - \delta) > 1/r + \beta$ and

$$\lambda_-^{\delta N} > \max \left\{ |\det A|^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2} + |\alpha + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}|}, |\det A|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{r} + \alpha + \beta - \frac{1}{q}}, |\det A|^{-\frac{1}{2} - (\alpha - \frac{1}{q})} \right\}, \tag{6.4}$$

where $\lambda_- \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $1 < \lambda_- < \min_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|$ as in Sect. 2.1.

Suppose $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^N(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies

$$|f(x)| \lesssim (1 + \rho_A(x))^{-L}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x\|^N |f(x)| dx < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^{\alpha} f(x)| < \infty, \tag{6.5}$$

as well as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x^{\alpha} f(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \text{ with } |\alpha| < N. \tag{6.6}$$

Then $W_f f \in \mathcal{W}(L^r_w)$ for the control weight $w = w_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ provided by Lemma 5.7.

Proof We need to show that $M_Q(W_f f) \in L^r_w(G_A)$. The proof is split into two steps.

Step 1. In this step, we show that $|W_f f(x, s)| \lesssim \Xi_{\tau,L(1-\delta)}(x, s)$, where $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ with $\tau_1 := |\det A|^{-1/2} \lambda_-^{-N\delta}$ and $\tau_2 := |\det A|^{1/2} \lambda_-^{N\delta}$. Assumptions (6.5) and (6.6) together with Lemma 4.5 imply that

$$|W_f f(x, s)| \lesssim |\det A|^{-|s|/2} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s^+} x))^{-L}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{6.7}$$

and $|W_f f(x, s)| \lesssim |\det A|^{-s/2} \|A^{-s}\|_{\infty}^N \lesssim |\det A|^{-s/2} \lambda_-^{-sN}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, s \geq 0$, by Lemma 2.4. Applying this latter estimate to $W_f f(x, s) = \overline{W_f f(-A^{-s}x, -s)}$ for

$s < 0$ yields immediately that $|W_f f(x, s)| = |W_f f(-A^{-s}x, -s)| \lesssim |\det A|^{s/2} \lambda_-^{sN}$ and therefore

$$|W_f f(x, s)| \lesssim |\det A|^{-|s|/2} \lambda_-^{-|s|N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{6.8}$$

Combining (6.7) and (6.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |W_f f(x, s)| &= |W_f f(x, s)|^\delta |W_f f(x, s)|^{1-\delta} \\ &\lesssim |\det A|^{-|s|/2} \lambda_-^{-|s|N\delta} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s^+}x))^{-L(1-\delta)}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Step 2. Step 1 and Lemma B.2 yield $wM_Q(W_f f) \lesssim wM_Q(\Xi_{\tau, L(1-\delta)}) \lesssim w\Xi_{\tau, L(1-\delta)}$. Recall from Lemma 5.7 that the standard control weight satisfies $w \asymp \Xi_{\sigma, 0} + \Xi_{\kappa, -\beta}$, where $\sigma, \kappa \in (0, \infty)^2$ are as in the statement of Lemma 5.7. Denote by $\tau \odot \sigma := (\tau_1\sigma_1, \tau_2\sigma_2)$ component-wise multiplication. Then

$$wM_Q(W_f f) \lesssim w\Xi_{\tau, L(1-\delta)} \lesssim \Xi_{\tau \odot \sigma, L(1-\delta)} + \Xi_{\tau \odot \kappa, L(1-\delta)-\beta}. \tag{6.9}$$

It remains to verify the integrability conditions for standard envelopes of Lemma 6.10 for the right-hand side of (6.9). The assumption $L \cdot (1 - \delta) > 1/r + \beta$ guarantees that

$$\min\{L(1 - \delta), L(1 - \delta) - \beta\} > 1/r,$$

while the assumption (6.4) implies that both of the conditions $\max\{\tau_1\sigma_1, \tau_1\kappa_1\} < 1$ and $\min\{\tau_2\sigma_2, \tau_2\kappa_2\} > |\det A|^{1/r}$ are satisfied. An application of Lemma 6.10 therefore yields $M_Q(W_f f) \in L_w^r(G_A)$. \square

Acknowledgements S. K. was supported by projects P 30148 and I 3403 of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF). J. v. V. gratefully acknowledges the support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Projects P 29462 and J-4445 and the Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO) Odysseus 1 Grant G.0H94.18N

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Appendix A. The Peetre-type maximal function

Lemma A.1 *Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be expansive and $\beta > 0$. Let either $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ or let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and assume that A is exponential. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be continuous. Then*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(z)}{(1 + \rho_A(A^s z))^\beta} = \text{ess sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(z)}{(1 + \rho_A(A^s z))^\beta}.$$

Proof First, we see from the definition of ρ_A (see Eq. (2.1)) for arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \rho_A(x) < \lambda\} = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } \lambda \leq 0, \\ A^{k+1} \Omega_A, & \text{if } |\det A|^k < \lambda \leq |\det A|^{k+1} \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Since $\Omega_A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is open, this shows that $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \rho_A(x) < \lambda\}$ is always open.

We now show for arbitrary $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ that $W := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(z)/(1 + \rho_A(A^s z))^\beta > \theta\}$ is open; this then easily implies the claim of the lemma, since every non-empty open set has positive Lebesgue measure. First, if $\theta < 0$, then $W = \mathbb{R}^d$ is open. Next, if $\theta = 0$, then $W = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(z) \neq 0\}$ is open. Finally, let $\theta > 0$, $z_0 \in W$, $a := f(z_0)$, and $b := (1 + \rho_A(A^s z_0))^\beta$. Then $a/b > \theta > 0$ and hence $a > 0$. We can thus choose $0 < a' < a$ with $a'/b > \theta$, meaning that $\rho_A(A^s z_0) < (a'/\theta)^{1/\beta} - 1$. Note that $U := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(z) > a'\}$ is an open neighborhood of z_0 . Similar, the considerations from the beginning of the proof show that $V := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : \rho_A(A^s z) < (a'/\theta)^{1/\beta} - 1\}$ is an open neighborhood of z_0 . Finally, note that $U \cap V \subset W$. Since $z_0 \in W$ was arbitrary, this shows that $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is indeed open. \square

Appendix B. Peetre-type maximal function on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$

Let $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ be an expansive exponential matrix and $\beta > 0$. For any measurable function $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define

$$(F^{**})_\beta(x, s) := \text{ess sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|F(x + z, s)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s} z))^\beta}$$

for $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$. We use the following basic properties repeatedly.

Lemma B.1 *If $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is measurable, then $(F^{**})_\beta : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable. Furthermore, there is a constant $C = C(\beta, A) \geq 1$ such that for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a null-set $N_s \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for all $x, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $x + w \notin N_s$, we have*

$$\frac{|F(x + w, s)|}{(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s} w))^\beta} \leq C(F^{**})_\beta(x, s).$$

*In particular, if $(F^{**})_\beta = 0$ almost everywhere, then $F = 0$ almost everywhere.*

Proof Since the map $H : (x, z, s) \mapsto \frac{|F(x+z, s)|}{(1+\rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta}$ is measurable, it is well-known that $(F^{**})_\beta(x, s) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} H(x, z, s)$ is measurable as well; see, e.g., [43, Lemma B.4].

Let us fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and write $F_s(x) := F(x, s)$ and $Q_s := A^s \Omega_A$, with $\Omega_A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ as in Lemma 2.1. For the open unit neighborhood $Q_s \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we consider the local maximal function $M_{Q_s}^L f(x) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{q \in Q_s} |f(x+q)|$ of a measurable $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Note that if $x \in \Omega_A \setminus \{0\}$, then $x \in A^j \Omega_A$ for some minimal $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, which necessarily satisfies $j \leq 0$. Hence, $x \in A^{(j-1)+1} \Omega_A \setminus A^{j-1} \Omega$, so that $\rho_A(x) = |\det A|^{j-1} \leq |\det A|^{-1} \leq 1$, by definition of ρ_A . Furthermore, Lemma 2.1 yields $C' \geq 1$ with $\rho_A(x+y) \leq C'[\rho_A(x) + \rho_A(y)]$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For $q \in Q_s$, we then have $A^{-s}q \in \Omega_A$ and hence $\rho_A(A^{-s}q) \leq 1$. Thus, $1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}(z+q)) \leq (1+C')(1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}z))$ for $q \in Q_s$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Now, by definition of $(F^{**})_\beta$, given $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a null-set $N_{s,x} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with

$$\frac{|F(x+z, s)|}{(1+\rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta} \leq (F^{**})_\beta(x, s), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus N_{s,x}.$$

Fix $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for $q \in Q_s \setminus (N_{s,x} - z)$, we have $z+q \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus N_{s,x}$, and hence

$$(F^{**})_\beta(x, s) \geq \frac{|F_s(x+z+q)|}{(1+\rho_A(A^{-s}(z+q)))^\beta} \geq (1+C')^{-\beta} \frac{|F_s(x+z+q)|}{(1+\rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta}.$$

Therefore, $M_{Q_s}^L F_s(x+z) \leq C(1+\rho_A(A^{-s}z))^\beta (F^{**})_\beta(x, s)$ for all $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, with $C := (1+C')^\beta$.

Lastly, it follows by [65, Lemma 2.3.3] that there exists a null-set $N_s = N_{s,F} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|F_s(x)| \leq M_{Q_s}^L F_s(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus N_s$. For $x, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $x+w \notin N_s$, we then see that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x+w, s)| &= |F_s(x+w)| \leq M_{Q_s}^L F_s(x+w) \\ &\leq C(1+\rho_A(A^{-s}w))^\beta (F^{**})_\beta(x, s), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

The following lemma allows to estimate maximal functions of the standard envelope defined in Definition 5.5.

Lemma B.2 *For arbitrary $\sigma \in (0, \infty)^2$ and $L \geq 0$, let $\Xi_{\sigma,L} : G_A \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ denote the standard envelope defined in Definition 5.5. Then for any relatively compact unit-neighborhood $Q \subset G_A$, there exists a constant $C = C(Q, \sigma, L, A) > 0$ such that $M_Q \Xi_{\sigma,L} \leq C \cdot \Xi_{\sigma,L}$.*

Proof Since $Q \subset G_A$ is relatively compact, we have $Q \subset [-N, N]^d \times [-N, N]$ for some $N \geq 1$. Recall that $\Xi_{\sigma, L}(x, s) = \theta_\sigma(s) \cdot \eta_L(x, s)$ with

$$\eta_L(x, s) \asymp (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s^+}x))^{-L} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_\sigma(s) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1^s, & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ \sigma_2^s, & \text{if } s < 0, \end{cases}$$

where the first estimate is due to Lemma 5.6 and $s^+ := \max\{0, s\}$.

We split the proof into several steps and treat the two factors separately.

Step 1. We show that, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [-N, N]$, we have $\theta_\sigma(s+t) \leq c^4 \theta_\sigma(s)$, where $c := \max\{\sigma_1^N, \sigma_1^{-N}, \sigma_2^N, \sigma_2^{-N}\} \in [1, \infty)$. Note that if $s > N$, then $s+t > 0$, and hence $\theta_\sigma(s+t) = \sigma_1^{s+t} = \sigma_1^t \theta_\sigma(s) \leq c \theta_\sigma(s)$. Likewise, if $s < -N$, then $s+t < 0$ and thus $\theta_\sigma(s+t) = \sigma_2^{s+t} = \sigma_2^t \theta_\sigma(s) \leq c \theta_\sigma(s)$. Lastly, if $s \in [-N, N]$, then $s, s+t \in [-2N, 2N]$. But for $x \in [-2N, 2N]$, it follows that $c^{-2} \leq \theta_\sigma(x) \leq c^2$, and hence $\theta_\sigma(s+t) \leq c^4 \theta_\sigma(s)$.

Step 2. We show that $M_Q^R \eta_L \lesssim \eta_L$, where $M_Q^R F(g) := \text{ess sup}_{u \in Q} |F(ug)|$ for any measurable $F : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $(x, s) \in G_A$ and $(y, t) \in Q$ be arbitrary. Then Corollary 2.5 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A(A^{-s^+}x) &\asymp |\det A|^{-s^+-t} \rho_A(A^t x) \\ &\lesssim |\det A|^{-s^+-t} (\rho_A(A^t x + y) + \rho_A(-y)) \\ &\lesssim |\det A|^{-s^++(s+t)^+-t} (\rho_A(A^{-(s+t)^+}(A^t x + y)) + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where we used in the last step that $\rho_A(y) \lesssim 1$ for $y \in [-N, N]^d$; see Lemma 2.2.

Note that $(s+t)^+ \leq s^+ + t^+ \leq s^+ + N$ for $t \in [-N, N]$, and hence $-s^+ + (s+t)^+ - t \leq 2N$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [-N, N]$. Therefore, $|\det A|^{-s^++(s+t)^+-t} \lesssim 1$ and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_L((y, t)(x, s)) &= \eta_L(A^t x + y, s+t) \asymp (1 + \rho_A(A^{-(s+t)^+}(A^t x + y)))^{-L} \\ &\lesssim (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s^+}x))^{-L} \asymp \eta_L(x, s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, s) \in G_A$ and $(y, t) \in Q$; here, we used that $L \geq 0$.

Step 3. Similar to Step 2, we show that $M_Q^L \eta_L \lesssim \eta_L$, for $M_Q^L F(g) = \text{ess sup}_{v \in Q} |F(gv)|$. Let $(x, s) \in G_A$ and $(y, t) \in Q$ be arbitrary. Then Corollary 2.5 again implies

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A(A^{-s^+}x) &\asymp |\det A|^{-s^+} \rho_A(x) \\ &\lesssim |\det A|^{-s^+} (\rho_A(x + A^s y) + \rho_A(-A^s y)) \\ &\lesssim |\det A|^{-s^++(s+t)^+} \rho_A(A^{-(s+t)^+}(x + A^s y)) + |\det A|^{-s^++s} \rho_A(y) \\ &\lesssim \rho_A(A^{-(s+t)^+}(x + A^s y)) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

since we have $|\det A|^{-s^++(s+t)^+}$, $|\det A|^{-s^++s} \lesssim 1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [-N, N]$, and since $\rho_A(y) \lesssim 1$ for $y \in [-N, N]^d$ by Lemma 2.2. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_L((x, s)(y, t)) &= \eta_L(x + A^s y, s + t) \asymp (1 + \rho_A(A^{-(s+t)^+}(x + A^s y)))^{-L} \\ &\lesssim (1 + \rho_A(A^{-s^+} x))^{-L} \asymp \eta_L(x, s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, s) \in G_A$ and $(y, t) \in Q$.

In combination, the obtained estimates easily imply the claim. □

Appendix C. Proof of Lemma 5.2

Proof Step 1. In this step, we prove the bound

$$v(y, t) \lesssim \max\{1, |\det A|^{-t}\} (1 + \min\{\rho_A(y), \rho_A(A^{-t}y)\}), \tag{C.1}$$

which will also imply that v is well-defined. To this end, first note by the quasi-triangle inequality for ρ_A that there exists $H \geq 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \rho_A(x) &\leq 1 + H(\rho_A(x - y) + \rho_A(y)) \\ &\leq H(1 + \rho_A(x - y) + \rho_A(y)) \\ &\leq H(1 + \rho_A(x - y))(1 + \rho_A(y)), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $(1 + \rho_A(x - y))^{-1} \leq H \cdot \frac{1 + \rho_A(y)}{1 + \rho_A(x)}$. Next, we note as a consequence of Corollary 2.5 that $1 + \rho_A(A^{-(u-t)z}) \gtrsim 1 + |\det A|^t \rho_A(A^{-u}z) \geq \min\{1, |\det A|^t\} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-u}z))$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-(u-t)z}))^{-1} &\lesssim (\min\{1, |\det A|^t\})^{-1} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-u}z))^{-1} \\ &= \max\{1, |\det A|^{-t}\} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-u}z))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the previous estimate, we see

$$(1 + \rho_A(A^{-u}A^t z - y))^{-1} \lesssim \frac{1 + \rho_A(y)}{1 + \rho_A(A^{-u}A^t z)} \lesssim \max\{1, |\det A|^{-t}\} \frac{1 + \rho_A(y)}{1 + \rho_A(A^{-u}z)},$$

which shows that $v(y, t) \lesssim \max\{1, |\det A|^{-t}\} (1 + \rho_A(y))$.

On the other hand, using Corollary 2.5, we see

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \rho_A(A^{-(u-t)z} - y))^{-1} &= (1 + \rho_A(A^t[A^{-u}z - A^{-t}y]))^{-1} \\ &\asymp (1 + |\det A|^t \rho_A(A^{-u}z - A^{-t}y))^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\max\{1, |\det A|^{-t}\}}{1 + \rho_A(A^{-u}z - A^{-t}y)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \max\{1, |\det A|^{-t}\} \frac{1 + \rho_A(A^{-t}y)}{1 + \rho_A(A^{-u}z)},$$

which implies $v(y, t) \lesssim \max\{1, |\det A|^{-t}\}(1 + \rho_A(A^{-t}y))$. This shows Eq. C.1.

Step 2. As a consequence of (A.1), we see for arbitrary $\theta > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{\theta}{1 + \rho_A(x)} > \lambda\right\} = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \rho_A(x) < \frac{\theta}{\lambda} - 1\right\}$$

is open, meaning that $\frac{\theta}{(1 + \rho_A)^\beta}$ is lower semi-continuous. Based on this, it is not hard to see that v is lower semi-continuous as a supremum of continuous functions; see [27, Proposition 7.11]. This means that $\{(y, t) \in G_A : v(y, t) > \lambda\}$ is open for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, so that v is measurable.

Step 3. Define $\gamma(x, s) := 1 + \rho_A(A^{-s}x)$ for brevity. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma((z, u)(y, t)^{-1}) &= \gamma(z - A^u A^{-t}y, u - t) \\ &= 1 + \rho_A(A^{-(u-t)}(z - A^u A^{-t}y)) \\ &= 1 + \rho_A(A^{-u}A^t z - y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $v(y, t) = \sup_{(z, u) \in G_A} \gamma(z, u) / \gamma((z, u)(y, t)^{-1})$ and hence $v(g) = \sup_{\kappa \in G_A} \gamma(\kappa) / \gamma(\kappa g^{-1})$ for $g \in G_A$. This easily implies that v is submultiplicative; indeed, for $g, h \in G_A$, we see for $\tilde{\kappa} := \kappa h^{-1}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} v(gh) &= \sup_{\kappa \in G_A} \frac{\gamma(\kappa)}{\gamma(\kappa h^{-1}g^{-1})} \\ &= \sup_{\kappa \in G_A} \frac{\gamma(\kappa)}{\gamma(\kappa h^{-1})} \frac{\gamma(\kappa h^{-1})}{\gamma(\kappa h^{-1}g^{-1})} \\ &\leq v(h) \sup_{\tilde{\kappa} \in G_A} \frac{\gamma(\tilde{\kappa})}{\gamma(\tilde{\kappa}g^{-1})} \leq v(h)v(g), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

Step 4. Starting from the definition (5.2) of v , the substitutions $a = A^{-u}z$ and $b = A^t a - y$ show by Corollary 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} v(y, t) &= \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1 + \rho_A(a)}{1 + \rho_A(A^t a - y)} = \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1 + \rho_A(A^{-t}(b + y))}{1 + \rho_A(b)} \\ &\asymp \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1 + |\det A|^{-t} \rho_A(b + y)}{1 + \rho_A(b)}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the second-to-last expression and setting $b = 0$, we see $v(y, t) \geq 1 + \rho_A(A^{-t}y)$.

Next, note that $\rho_A(b) \leq H(\rho_A(b+y) + \rho_A(-y))$ and hence $\rho_A(b+y) \geq H^{-1} \rho_A(b)\rho_A(y)$, by the symmetry of ρ_A . Furthermore, Lemma 2.2 shows $\rho_A(b) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|b\| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, as $\|b\| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(y, t) &\gtrsim \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1 + |\det A|^{-t} \rho_A(b+y)}{1 + \rho_A(b)} \\ &\geq \frac{1 + |\det A|^{-t} \cdot (H^{-1} \rho_A(b) - \rho_A(y))}{1 + \rho_A(b)} \\ &\rightarrow H^{-1} |\det A|^{-t}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we also get $v(y, t) \gtrsim |\det A|^{-t}$. Overall, we see $v(y, t) \gtrsim 1 + |\det A|^{-t} + \rho_A(A^{-t}y)$.

There are now two cases: If $t \geq 0$, then Corollary 2.5 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{1, |\det A|^{-t}\}(1 + \min\{\rho_A(y), \rho_A(A^{-t}y)\}) \\ &= 1 + \min\{\rho_A(y), \rho_A(A^{-t}y)\} \\ &\asymp 1 + \min\{\rho_A(y), |\det A|^{-t} \rho_A(y)\} \\ &\asymp 1 + \rho_A(A^{-t}y) \\ &\asymp 1 + |\det A|^{-t} + \rho_A(A^{-t}y) \\ &\lesssim v(y, t). \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, in case of $t < 0$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{1, |\det A|^{-t}\}(1 + \min\{\rho_A(y), \rho_A(A^{-t}y)\}) \\ &\asymp |\det A|^{-t} (1 + \min\{\rho_A(y), |\det A|^{-t} \rho_A(y)\}) \\ &= |\det A|^{-t} (1 + \rho_A(y)) \\ &\asymp |\det A|^{-t} + \rho_A(A^{-t}y) \\ &\asymp 1 + |\det A|^{-t} + \rho_A(A^{-t}y) \\ &\lesssim v(y, t). \end{aligned}$$

In combination with Eq. C.1, this proves Eq. 5.3. □

Appendix D. Independence of coorbit reservoir

Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be an admissible vector satisfying $W_\psi \psi \in \mathcal{W}(L^t_w)$ for the standard control weight $w = w_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta} : G_A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ provided by Lemma 5.7. Define the space

$$\mathcal{H}_w^1(\psi) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : W_\psi f \in L^1_w(G_A)\}$$

and equip it with the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^1(\psi)} := \|W_\psi f\|_{L_w^1}$. Let $\mathcal{R}_w(\psi) := (\mathcal{H}_w^1(\psi))^*$ be the anti-dual space of $\mathcal{H}_w^1(\psi)$ and write $V_\psi f := \langle f, \pi(\cdot)\phi \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_w, \mathcal{H}_w^1}$ for $f \in \mathcal{R}_w(\psi)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_w^1(\psi)$.

The following lemma is a special case of [64, Corollary 4.9].

Lemma D.1 *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta > 0$, and $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$, with $r := \min\{1, p, q\}$. Let $w = w_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta} : G_A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a standard control weight for $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ as provided by Lemma 5.7. Suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is admissible and $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is admissible satisfying $W_\psi \psi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$ and $W_\varphi \psi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$. Then*

$$\text{Co}_\psi^{\mathcal{H}}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}) := \{f \in \mathcal{R}_w(\psi) : M_Q^L V_\psi f \in \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}\} = \text{Co}_\varphi(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})$$

in the sense that the restriction $f \mapsto f|_{\mathcal{S}_0}$ is a well-defined bijection. Furthermore, given the unique extension $\tilde{f} \in \text{Co}_\psi^{\mathcal{H}}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})$ of $f \in \text{Co}_\psi(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})$, then

$$\langle \tilde{f}, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_w, \mathcal{H}_w^1} = \langle f, \phi \rangle_\varphi \quad \phi \in \mathcal{H}_w^1(\varphi),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$ denotes the extended pairing of Definition 6.5.

Proof We first verify that the Peetre-type spaces $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ satisfy the standing assumptions of [64]. As shown in Lemma 5.3, the Peetre space $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ is a solid, translation-invariant quasi-Banach space, and Lemma 5.4 shows that $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}}$ is an r -norm. Moreover, the standard control weight $w := w_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta} : G_A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ defined in Lemma 5.7 is continuous, submultiplicative and satisfies $w(g) = w(g^{-1})\Delta^{1/r}(g^{-1})$. Furthermore, Lemma 5.7 shows that $\|L_{h^{-1}}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}} \leq w(h)$ and $\|R_h\|_{\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}} \leq w(h)$ for all $h \in G_A$. Together, this shows that w is a strong control weight for $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ in the terminology of [64, Definition 3.1]. By [64, Corollary 3.9], this implies that the pair $(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}, w)$ is L_w^r -compatible in the sense of [64, Definition 3.5].

Since $\psi, \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are admissible and satisfy $W_\psi \psi, W_\varphi \psi \in \mathcal{W}(L_w^r)$, it follows from [64, Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.8] that $\mathcal{H}_w^1(\psi) = \mathcal{H}_w^1(\varphi)$ and hence also $\mathcal{R}_w(\psi) = \mathcal{R}_w(\varphi)$. Therefore,

$$\text{Co}_\psi^{\mathcal{H}}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}) = \{f \in \mathcal{R}_w(\psi) : M_Q^L V_\psi f \in \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}\} = \{f \in \mathcal{R}_w(\varphi) : M_Q^L V_\varphi f \in \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}\}. \tag{D.1}$$

Lemma 5.8 easily shows that $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_w^1(\varphi)$, and Lemma 4.7 shows that [64, Equation (4.14)] is satisfied for $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore, invoking [64, Corollary 4.9], it follows that the restriction map $f \mapsto f|_{\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is a bijection from $\text{Co}_\psi^{\mathcal{H}}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})$ onto $\text{Co}_\varphi(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})$. Combining this with Eq. D.1 yields that

$$\text{Co}_\psi(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}) \rightarrow \text{Co}_\varphi(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}), \quad f \mapsto f|_{\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

is a well-defined bijection.

By the above, any $f \in \text{Co}_\varphi(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta})$ uniquely extends to an element of $\tilde{f} \in \text{Co}_\psi(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}) \subset \mathcal{R}_w(\psi)$, denoted by \tilde{f} . Note that $V_\varphi \tilde{f} = W_\varphi f$. Then, a combination of [64, Lemma 4.6(iii)] and Definition 6.5 shows for any $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $W_\varphi \phi \in L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (i.e., $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_w^1(\varphi)$) that

$$\langle \tilde{f}, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_w, \mathcal{H}_w^1} = \langle W_\varphi f, W_\varphi \phi \rangle_{L_{1/w}^\infty, L_w^1} = \langle f, \phi \rangle_\varphi. \quad (\text{D.2})$$

This completes the proof. \square

References

1. Barrios, B., Betancor, J.J.: Characterizations of anisotropic Besov spaces. *Math. Nachr.* **284**(14–15), 1796–1819 (2011)
2. Borup, L., Nielsen, M.: On anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin type spaces, with applications to the study of pseudo-differential operators. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* **6**(2), 107–154 (2008)
3. Bownik, M.: Anisotropic Hardy spaces and wavelets. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **164**(781), vi+122 (2003)
4. Bownik, M.: Atomic and molecular decompositions of anisotropic Besov spaces. *Math. Z.* **250**(3), 539–571 (2005)
5. Bownik, M.: Anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces with doubling measures. *J. Geom. Anal.* **17**(3), 387–424 (2007)
6. Bownik, M.: Duality and interpolation of anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces. *Math. Z.* **259**(1), 131–169 (2008)
7. Bownik, M., Ho, K.-P.: Atomic and molecular decompositions of anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **358**(4), 1469–1510 (2006)
8. Bownik, M., Wang, L.-A.D.: A PDE characterization of anisotropic Hardy spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.10651* (2020)
9. Bui, H.-Q., Laugesen, R.S.: Wavelet frame bijectivity on Lebesgue and Hardy spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **19**(2), 376–409 (2013)
10. Bui, H.-Q., Paluszynski, M., Taibleson, M.: Characterization of the Besov–Lipschitz and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces. The case $q < 1$. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **3**, 837–846 (1997)
11. Bui, H.-Q., Paluszynski, M., Taibleson, M.H.: A maximal function characterization of weighted Besov–Lipschitz and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces. *Stud. Math.* **119**(3), 219–246 (1996)
12. Cabrelli, C., Molter, U., Romero, J.L.: Non-uniform painless decompositions for anisotropic Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces. *Adv. Math.* **232**, 98–120 (2013)
13. Calderón, A.-P.: An atomic decomposition of distributions in parabolic H^p spaces. *Adv. Math.* **25**(3), 216–225 (1977)
14. Calderón, A.-P., Torchinsky, A.: Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution. *Adv. Math.* **16**, 1–64 (1975)
15. Calderón, A.-P., Torchinsky, A.: Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution. II. *Adv. Math.* **24**(2), 101–171 (1977)
16. Cheshmavar, J., Führ, H.: A classification of anisotropic Besov spaces. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **49**(3), 863–896 (2020)
17. Christensen, J.G., Ólafsson, G.: Coorbit spaces for dual pairs. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **31**(2), 303–324 (2011)
18. Coifman, R.R., Meyer, Y., Stein, E.M.: Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis. *J. Funct. Anal.* **62**(2), 304–335 (1985)
19. Coifman, R.R., Weiss, G.: *Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 242. Springer, Berlin (1971)
20. Coifman, R.R., Weiss, G.: Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **83**(4), 569–645 (1977)
21. Culver, W.J.: On the existence and uniqueness of the real logarithm of a matrix. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **17**, 1146–1151 (1966)

22. Currey, B., Führ, H., Taylor, K.: Integrable wavelet transforms with abelian dilation groups. *J. Lie Theory* **26**(2), 567–596 (2016)
23. Farkas, W.: Atomic and subatomic decompositions in anisotropic function spaces. *Math. Nachr.* **209**, 83–113 (2000)
24. Fefferman, C., Stein, E.M.: Some maximal inequalities. *Am. J. Math.* **93**, 107–115 (1971)
25. Feichtinger, H.G., Gröchenig, K.H.: Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions. I. *J. Funct. Anal.* **86**(2), 307–340 (1989)
26. Feichtinger, H.G., Gröchenig, K.H.: Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions. II. *Monatsh. Math.* **108**(2–3), 129–148 (1989)
27. Folland, G.: *Real Analysis. Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York (1999)
28. Frazier, M., Jawerth, B.: A discrete transform and decompositions of distribution spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **93**(1), 34–170 (1990)
29. Frazier, M., Jawerth, B., Weiss, G.: *Littlewood–Paley theory and the study of function spaces*, volume 79 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1991)
30. Führ, H.: Coorbit spaces and wavelet coefficient decay over general dilation groups. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **367**(10), 7373–7401 (2015)
31. Führ, H., Mayer, M.: Continuous wavelet transforms from semidirect products: cyclic representations and Plancherel measure. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **8**(4), 375–397 (2002)
32. Führ, H., van Velthoven, J.T.: Coorbit spaces associated to integrably admissible dilation groups. *J. Anal. Math.* **144**, 351–395 (2021)
33. Führ, H., Voigtlaender, F.: Wavelet coorbit spaces viewed as decomposition spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **269**(1), 80–154 (2015)
34. Gilbert, J.E., Han, Y.S., Hogan, J.A., Lakey, J.D., Weiland, D., Weiss, G.: Smooth molecular decompositions of functions and singular integral operators. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **156**(742), viii+74 (2002)
35. Grafakos, L.: *Classical Fourier Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 249, 3rd edn. Springer, New York (2014)
36. Grafakos, L.: *Modern Fourier Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 250, 3rd edn. Springer, New York (2014)
37. Grafakos, L., Liu, L., Yang, D.: Vector-valued singular integrals and maximal functions on spaces of homogeneous type. *Math. Scand.* **104**(2), 296–310 (2009)
38. Gröchenig, K.: Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames. *Monatsh. Math.* **112**(1), 1–42 (1991)
39. Gröchenig, K., Kaniuth, E., Taylor, K.F.: Compact open sets in duals and projections in L^1 -algebras of certain semi-direct product groups. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **111**(3), 545–556 (1992)
40. Gröchenig, K., Piotrowski, M.: Molecules in coorbit spaces and boundedness of operators. *Stud. Math.* **192**(1), 61–77 (2009)
41. Hall, B.: *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Representations*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 222, 2nd edn. Springer, Cham (2015)
42. Ho, K.-P.: Frames associated with expansive matrix dilations. *Collect. Math.* **54**(3), 217–254 (2003)
43. Holighaus, N., Voigtlaender, F.: Schur-type Banach modules of integral kernels acting on mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **281**(9), 65 (2021)
44. Kaniuth, E., Taylor, K.F.: Minimal projections in L^1 -algebras and open points in the dual spaces of semi-direct product groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **53**(1), 141–157 (1996)
45. Koppensteiner, S., Van Velthoven, J.T., Voigtlaender, F.: Anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces and wavelet coefficient decay over one-parameter dilation groups. II. Preprint. [arXiv:2204.10110](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.10110) (2022)
46. Laugesen, R.S., Weaver, N., Weiss, G.L., Wilson, E.N.: A characterization of the higher dimensional groups associated with continuous wavelets. *J. Geom. Anal.* **12**(1), 89–102 (2002)
47. Liang, Y., Sawano, Y., Ullrich, T., Yang, D., Yuan, W.: New characterizations of Besov–Triebel–Lizorkin–Hausdorff spaces including coorbits and wavelets. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **18**(5), 1067–1111 (2012)
48. Liu, J., Yang, D., Yuan, W.: Littlewood–Paley characterizations of weighted anisotropic Triebel–Lizorkin spaces via averages on balls I. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **38**(4), 397–418 (2019)
49. Rauhut, H.: Coorbit space theory for quasi-Banach spaces. Preprint. [arXiv:math/0507466](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0507466) (2005)
50. Rauhut, H.: Coorbit space theory for quasi-Banach spaces. *Stud. Math.* **180**(3), 237–253 (2007)

51. Rauhut, H.: Wiener amalgam spaces with respect to quasi-Banach spaces. *Colloq. Math.* **109**(2), 345–362 (2007)
52. Reiter, H., Stegeman, J.D.: Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact groups. London Mathematical Society Monographs, vol. 22, 2nd edn. New Series. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York (2000)
53. Romero, J.L., van Velthoven, J.T., Voigtlaender, F.: On dual molecules and convolution-dominated operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* **280**(10), 57 (2021)
54. Rudin, W.: Functional Analysis. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2nd edn. McGraw-Hill Inc, New York (1991)
55. Rychkov, V.S.: On a theorem of Bui, Paluszyński, and Taibleson. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **227**(18), 286–298 (1999)
56. Rychkov, V.S.: Littlewood–Paley theory and function spaces with A_p^{loc} weights. *Math. Nachr.* **224**, 145–180 (2001)
57. Schulz, E., Taylor, K.F.: Projections in L^1 -algebras and tight frames. In: Banach algebras and Their Applications. Contemporary Mathematics, pp. 313–319. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (2004)
58. Stein, E.M., Wainger, S.: Problems in harmonic analysis related to curvature. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **84**, 1239–1295 (1978)
59. Strömberg, J.-O., Torchinsky, A.: Weighted Hardy Spaces. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1381. Springer, Berlin (1989)
60. Tao, T.: L^p non-invertibility of frame operators. <https://www.math.ucla.edu/~tao/preprints/harmonic.html>, Retrieved on Jan 7 (2020)
61. Tchamitchian, P.: Biorthogonalité et théorie des opérateurs. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **3**(2), 163–189 (1987)
62. Triebel, H.: Characterizations of Besov–Hardy–Sobolev spaces: a unified approach. *J. Approx. Theory* **52**(2), 162–203 (1988)
63. Ullrich, T.: Continuous characterizations of Besov–Lizorkin–Triebel spaces and new interpretations as coorbit spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.*, 2012. Art. ID 163213, 47
64. Van Velthoven, J.T., Voigtlaender, F.: Coorbit spaces and dual molecules: the quasi-Banach case. Preprint. [arXiv:2203.07959](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.07959) (2022)
65. Voigtlaender, F.: Embedding Theorems for Decomposition Spaces with Applications to Wavelet Coorbit Spaces. PhD thesis, RWTH Aachen University, 2015. <http://publications.rwth-aachen.de/record/564979>
66. Yosida, K.: Functional Analysis. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 123, 6th edn. Springer, Berlin (1980)
67. Zaanen, A.C.: Integration. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam (1967)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.