

# A sociotechnical systems approach toward tailored design for personal health information management

Werner, Nicole E.; Tong, Michelle; Nathan-Roberts, Dan; Arnott Smith, Catherine; Tredinnick, Ross; Ponto, Kevin; Melles, M.; Hoonakker, Peter

DOI

10.35680/2372-0247.1411

Publication date 2020

**Document Version**Final published version

Published in Patient Experience Journal

Citation (APA)

Werner, N. E., Tong, M., Nathan-Roberts, D., Arnott Smith, C., Tredinnick, R., Ponto, K., Melles, M., & Hoonakker, P. (2020). A sociotechnical systems approach toward tailored design for personal health information management. *Patient Experience Journal*, 7(1), 75-83. https://doi.org/10.35680/2372-0247.1411

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



## **Patient Experience Journal**

Volume 7 | Issue 1 Article 10

2020

## A sociotechnical systems approach toward tailored design for personal health information management

Nicole E. Werner University of Wisconsin-Madison

Michelle Tong Mount Sinai

Dan Nathan-Roberts San Jose State University

Catherine Smith University of Wisconsin-Madison

Ross Tredinnick University of Wisconsin-Madison

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://pxjournal.org/journal



Part of the Human Factors Psychology Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Werner NE, Tong M, Nathan-Roberts D, Smith C, Tredinnick R, Ponto K, Melles M, Hoonakker P. A sociotechnical systems approach toward tailored design for personal health information management. Patient Experience Journal. 2020; 7(1):75-83. doi: 10.35680/2372-0247.1411.

This Research is brought to you for free and open access by Patient Experience Journal. It has been accepted for inclusion in Patient Experience Journal by an authorized editor of Patient Experience Journal.

# A sociotechnical systems approach toward tailored design for personal health information management

## **Cover Page Footnote**

This project was supported by grant number R01HS022548 from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The first author is supported by KL2 grant KL2TR002374 and grant UL1TR002373 to UW ICTR from NIH/NCATS. We would like to thank all of our participants for their involvement and allowing us in their homes. We would also like to thank Patricia Flatley Brennan, PhD, RN for her input during the data analysis phase of this study. Finally, we would like to thank vizHOME for the data used in this secondary analysis. This article is associated with the Innovation & Technology lens of The Beryl Institute Experience Framework. (http://bit.ly/ExperienceFramework). You can access other resources related to this lens including additional PXJ articles here: http://bit.ly/PX\_InnovTech

#### **Authors**

Nicole E. Werner, Michelle Tong, Dan Nathan-Roberts, Catherine Smith, Ross Tredinnick, Kevin Ponto, Marijke Melles, and Peter Hoonakker

#### Research

# A sociotechnical systems approach toward tailored design for personal health information management

Nicole E. Werner, University of Wisconsin-Madison, nwerner3@wisc.edu
Michelle Tong, Mount Sinai, michelle.tong@icahn.mssm.edu
Dan Nathan-Roberts, San Jose State University, dan.nathan-roberts@sjsu.edu
Catherine Arnott Smith, University of Wisconsin-Madison, casmith24@wisc.edu
Ross Tredinnick, University of Wisconsin-Madison, rdtredinnick@wisc.edu
Kevin Ponto, University of Wisconsin-Madison, kbponto@wisc.edu
Marijke Melles, Delft University of Technology, m.melles@tudelft.nl
Peter Hoonakker, University of Wisconsin-Madison, peter.hoonakker@wisc.edu

#### Abstract

We used a sociotechnical systems approach—which conceptualizes a system of interacting people, technologies, and tasks, to identify individual differences in personal health information management (PHIM) that can inform the design of patient-friendly environments, tools, and technologies. We conducted a secondary thematic analysis of data collected as part of a parent project, vizHOME. The goal of vizHOME was to improve health and health outcomes through identifying key features in the environment that will inform the design of consumer health information technology HIT. We analyzed interview data collected from 20 individuals with diabetes. We found seven dimensions of PHIM: (1) level of privacy preferred for PHIM; (2) amount of engagement in PHIM; (3) extent of guidance preferred for PHIM; (4) level of documentation preferred for PHIM; (5) degree of physical distribution of PHIM; (6) amount of flexibility in PHIM routine; and (7) use of external cues to manage PHIM. Our results suggest that each dimension exists as a continuum, which are anchored from low to high. Exploring the interaction between PHIM and the sociotechnical system in which PHIM is performed revealed key dimensions of PHIM as well as individual differences in those PHIM dimensions. Identification of individual differences in PHIM can support the creation of human-centered design considerations for tailored environments, products, processes, and technologies that support PHIM. Future research will seek to validate PHIM dimensions in a larger population and develop a PHIM-typing measure to identify PHIM types toward tailoring processes, products, and to individual needs in context.

#### Keywords

Personal Health Information Management, sociotechnical systems, Human Factors/Ergonomics, Diabetes, human-centered design

#### Introduction

Chronic illness management has shifted the burden of healthcare from clinicians to patients, and the location of healthcare from the hospital to the home. These shifts, combined with rising prevalence and potential of patient-facing consumer health information technologies (HIT) such as patient portals, e-visits, internet-connected self-monitoring devices, and mobile health apps has led to increased reliance on patients managing their health information at home. <sup>2,3</sup>

However, personal health information management (PHIM) is a persistent challenge for patients and their families. This has been attributed to a multitude of issues such as the fragmented healthcare system, misperceptions about who is responsible for managing PHIM, the

overwhelming amount of information patients are required to manage, and the wide variation of types and forms of information such as appointment scheduling, symptom monitoring, and medication schedules.<sup>4,5</sup>

Consumer HIT, defined as "computer-based systems...designed to facilitate information access and exchange, enhance decision making, provide social and emotional support, and help behavior changes that promote health and well-being," has great promise for supporting patients with PHIM.<sup>3</sup> For example, patient portals can improve patient engagement with their care team and their care and eHealth applications can provide nudges to change behavior.<sup>6</sup> However, it has demonstrated mixed results in terms of consumer acceptance, widespread adoption, and sustainable use.<sup>2,3</sup> For example, consumer HIT abandonment can occur when consumer

HIT use is perceived to interfere with existing needs, impede self-management effectiveness, or lead to frustration.<sup>7-9</sup> To prevent consumer HIT abandonment and increase its acceptance and success, researchers have called for human-centered designed technologies that fit individuals' needs and the flexibility to interact with the context of how PHIM occurs in the home.<sup>7,10-14</sup>

PHIM is the set of processes and strategies people use to actively meet their health goals through finding, organizing, and sharing their health information <sup>15-17</sup> and has been defined as information acquisition/integration, maintenance/use, and communication. <sup>18</sup> PHIM includes activities such as navigating health-related websites, tracking symptoms, triggers to perform self-care (e.g., a dog as a trigger to take a walk), monitoring health states, determining when or how to take medications, and making sense of discharge summaries. <sup>15,16</sup>

PHIM is mainly performed in private homes, which can be conceptualized as complex sociotechnical systems—a system comprised of people, technologies, and tasks that interact with the environment (both physical and organizational) to perform processes that, in the case at hand, are likely to shape PHIM.<sup>11,12,14,19-21</sup> For example, recent research suggests that PHIM is performed outside of the head, and patients use the features of the home sociotechnical system to manage their health information.<sup>14</sup> Further, research that examined interactions between health information storage (a subset of PHIM) and the home found that household spaces support different strategies for storing health information.<sup>22</sup> Room layout or visual cues also can influence the ability to locate critical information or to remember an appointment.<sup>23</sup>

These findings provide insights into current PHIM strategies of patients, which can feed the development process of patient-centered designed products and services. Moreover, these findings also suggest that there are individual differences in PHIM strategies. Insights into these individual differences can be used as starting points for the development of tailored, patient-centered products and services for PHIM that account for the individual performing PHIM in the home in which it will be used.3,14,24 Patient-centered designed consumer HIT can facilitate a tailored PHIM process, which is expected to lead to a more patient-centered experience associated with higher patient empowerment and satisfaction, improved health-related outcomes, as well as more efficient use of healthcare services, 25-28 However, research to date has focused largely on specific tasks of PHIM, such as individual PHIM roles and perceptions, PHIM task burden,<sup>17</sup> and the relationship between familiarity with technology and motivations for using PHIM tools.<sup>29,30</sup> A greater emphasis on research examining the individual differences in interactions between PHIM and the specific context in which PHIM is performed is needed so that

these interactions can be leveraged to inform patientcentered, tailored design of environments, products, processes, and consumer HIT.

#### **Objectives**

We applied a sociotechnical systems approach to examine the interactions between PHIM tasks performed by individuals and the sociotechnical system in which they are performed. Our specific objective was to identify individual differences in PHIM dimensions that can be used to provide design insights for tailoring the design of PHIM processes, products, and tools to support individual PHIM needs.

We focused on people living with diabetes, a population burdened with many simultaneous and reoccurring PHIM demands. An estimated 442 million people globally are living with diabetes, with that number expected to rise. 31 Examples of PHIM required of people with diabetes include monitoring blood glucose, managing ingestible and/or injectable medications, scheduling appointments with clinicians, and recalling/understanding instructions from clinicians. 32

#### Methods

#### Parent study

We conducted a secondary analysis of data collected in a parent study, vizHOME (AHRQ R01HS022548).<sup>33,34</sup> The goal of vizHOME was to improve health and health outcomes through identifying key features in the environment that will inform the design of consumer health information technology HIT. To accomplish this goal, our research team used a 3D scanner to create virtual replica of 20 homes, which we then used to study PHIM in the home. A full description of the vizHOME project as well as viewable and downloadable virtual replica of the 20 homes can be found at

http://pages.discovery.wisc.edu/vizhome/. The University of Wisconsin-Madison Institutional Review Board approved this study.

#### Setting and participants

Participants were a convenience sample of 20 community-dwelling adults (65% Caucasian, 70% female, 25% lived alone) with an average age of 59 (SD=12, range=37-74).<sup>34</sup> All reported being told they had diabetes.<sup>34</sup>

Participants were from urban, suburban, and rural regions of a Midwestern state and lived in one of four home types: detached (35%), semi-detached (20%), multiunit (25%), and mobile (20%).<sup>34</sup> All participants had a cell phone and/or land line; all but one household had a laptop or computer.<sup>34</sup>

#### Procedure

As part of the parent study, interviews were conducted over a series of three home visits (2-3 hours each).34 Using a contextual inquiry approach, interviews focused on three categories of PHIM: (1) self-monitoring, (2) medication management, and (3) information management.<sup>35</sup> Participants were asked to demonstrate how they performed self-monitoring, medication management, and information management in a typical day. While demonstrating their PHIM, participants also responded to semi-structured interview probes about their health concerns, self-monitoring tasks, and self-management practices. Interviews were completed by two researchers (interviewer and note-taker) and were audio-recorded for quality assurance.<sup>34</sup> A schematic map of the home was created to highlight layout and focal areas of PHIM, and task analysis grids were created to categorize a task analysis of the demonstrated PHIM tasks within a sociotechnical system structure. Grid categories were based on the elements of the Systems Engineering Initiative for Patient Safety (SEIPS) model, which represents the sociotechnical system as a structured work system of interacting elements (people, tools/technology, tasks, organization, physical environment) that produce processes and outcomes.<sup>36</sup> Grids were created by mapping specific PHIM tasks described by the interviewee to the corresponding SEIPS model system element or elements. Casper and colleagues provide a detailed description of parent study procedures including the interviews and the task analysis grids.34

#### Design

We conducted a secondary thematic analysis using NVivo 11 (QSR International) of the 20 contextual interviews using the three data sources: (1) task analysis grids, (2) note taker descriptions of PHIM, and (3) home maps.

#### Analysis

Coding was guided by distributed cognition theory, a sociotechnical theory of cognition that highlights the importance of system-level aspects of cognition, <sup>37-39</sup> which allowed us to identify how the cognitive aspects of PHIM interacted with different components of the sociotechnical system. <sup>37-39</sup> One member of the research team (AB) performed structural coding to select passages related to PHIM. <sup>40</sup>

Analyses of the coded passages and the initial list of themes were discussed within the multidisciplinary research team (AB, NW, PFB, GC, CAS, KP) comprised of the following fields: Computer Science, Engineering, Informatics, Nursing, and Psychology. Analysis resulted in identification of seven overarching themes that represented PHIM dimensions. Themes and passages were discussed by the research team until consensus was reached on theme names and definitions and were presented to original interviewers to ensure they accurately captured the experiences described by participants. Theme

names and definitions were then transcribed into a codebook.

Next, a researcher (MT) used the codebook to code all documents. Two members of the research team (AB, MT) met regularly and discussed the identified PHIM dimensions to ensure consensus of each code. A senior member of the research team (NW) met with the coders weekly to review codes and consensus decisions. Coding further revealed that PHIM actions tended toward polarity within each dimension. For example, for the dimension "privacy," PHIM actions could fall anywhere on a continuum from requiring no privacy to requiring complete privacy to perform PHIM. To identify wzsw23hich pole of each PHIM dimension a participant fell on, the two coders (AB, MT) coded all documents based on whether an identified PHIM action was toward one end of a continuum or another (i.e., high or low). As the dimensions represent a continuum from high to low, an individual's PHIM actions could include PHIM actions that were both high and low on a particular dimension.

#### Results

We found that individuals' cognitive work interacted within their homes in unique ways to support PHIM. These individual differences were classified into seven distinct PHIM dimensions: (1) level of privacy preferred for PHIM; (2) amount of engagement in PHIM; (3) extent of guidance preferred for PHIM; (4) level of documentation preferred for PHIM; (5) degree of physical distribution of PHIM tasks; (6) amount of flexibility in PHIM routine; and (7) use of external cues to manage PHIM. Our results suggest that each of the dimensions exists as a continuum. Each dimension represents a range of possible expressions of that dimension, which are anchored by extremes at both the high and low end expressions.

Table 1 categorizes the PHIM dimensions identified, describes the continuum anchors for the extremes of those PHIM dimensions and their definitions, and provides illustrative examples from the data that highlight each PHIM dimension anchor.

#### PHIM dimension 1: Privacy

We defined privacy as the need to complete a PHIM activity without being observed or disturbed. High-on-privacy individuals wanted PHIM-related items inaccessible to others. These individuals kept health-related items in sparsely-accessed areas, such as closets and cabinets. High-on-privacy individuals often kept PHIM-related materials with them, such as within a purse or pocket. One individual kept a glucometer in the car and reported that she tries not to use it in front of others [M03 40s/Female].

Table 1. Categorization of PHIM dimensions and continuum anchors with definitions and representative examples from the data

PHIM	Dimension Anchors with Definitions	Selected Examples from the Data
Privacy Level of privacy preferred for PHIM	High on Privacy - People who complete PHIM without being observed or disturbed	Keeps glucometer in car and uses it there; prefers not to use around other people [M03 40s /Female]
	Low on Privacy - People who complete PHIM in shared or public spaces	Keeps pills on the kitchen counter [D01 70s /Female]
Personal Engagement Amount of personal engagement preferred for PHIM	High on Personal Engagement - People who actively manage PHIM	Checks bottles and pharmacy inserts against medication list in the health care provider's summary [SD01 70s /Male]
	Low on Personal Engagement - People who passively manage PHIM	Aware of glucometer's history function, but does not to use it to track blood sugar readings [M04 60s /Female]
Guidance Extent of guidance preferred for PHIM	High on Guidance - People who prefer advice or direction when managing PHIM	Calls healthcare provider for confirmation before discontinuing a medication they think might be causing a reaction [A01 60s / Female]
	Low on Guidance - People who rely on themselves when managing PHIM	Learned to use insulin pump using trial and error and the manual D06 40s /Female
Documentation Reliance Level of documentation preferred for PHIM	High on Documentation Reliance - People who prefer physical records or reminders to complete PHIM  Low on Documentation Reliance - People who rely on memory to guide PHIM tasks	Attaches note to calendar that specifies information for next visit to his physician [SD01 70s /Male]  Uses memory to administer sliding scale insulin [D05 30s /Male]
Physical Distribution of PHIM Degree of physical distribution of PHIM tasks	High on Physical Distribution of PHIM People who spread PHIM tasks	Stores thyroid medication in bedroom because taken upon waking, but stores glucometer in kitchen because readings are taken before breakfast [M01 40s /Female]
	Low on Physical Distribution of PHIM People who group PHIM tasks	Stores all medications and diabetes supplies in the kitchen [SD02 60s /Male]
Routine Adherence Amount of flexibility in PHIM routine	High on Routine Adherence - People who strictly follow a regular schedule of PHIM tasks	Takes arthritis injections every week after Friday morning shower [A01 60s /Female]
	Low on Routine Adherence - People who easily adjust scheduling of PHIM tasks	Knows diabetes medications are to be taken two times per day, but takes medications all at once in the morning [A02 70s / Female]
External Cues Level of external cues used	High on External Cue Use - People who use sensory stimuli as triggers or reminders for PHIM tasks Low on External Cue Use -	Morning news show acts as a trigger to take 8 am medications [A02 70s / Female]  Just takes pills when getting ready for day the
to manage PHIM	People who use bodily stimuli as triggers or reminders for PHIM tasks	day (anywhere from7am-10am) [D07 60s /Male]

PHIM=Personal health information management; Demographics presented as: Participant number/age range/gender

materials. These individuals left items in plain sight on counters, tables, and dressers. Low-on-privacy individuals often used spaces in the home meant for common household practices such as eating, cleaning, or watching television. For example, one individual left her medications on the kitchen counter where she ate meals [D01 70s/Female].

#### PHIM dimension 2: Engagement

We defined engagement as participation and personal involvement in PHIM tasks. High-on-engagement individuals managed PHIM by actively seeking out health information, tracking/monitoring health, and using multiple sources of information to perform PHIM. High-on-engagement individuals described writing down questions to prepare for healthcare provider appointments, keeping logs of health information (e.g., blood glucose levels), and accessing patient portals to review information. For example, one high-on-engagement participant described using the glucometer's history feature to record past readings [D02 50s/Female].

We characterized low-on-engagement individuals as being passively engaged in PHIM. Low-on-engagement individuals tended not to independently seek out health information or track and monitor their health status. Instead, low-on-engagement individuals often delegated PHIM to formal or informal caregivers, clinicians, and pharmacists.

#### PHIM dimension 3: Guidance

Guidance was defined as reliance on advice or direction from outside influences for PHIM. High-on-guidance individuals described seeking help as a key function of PHIM, which included resources such as healthcare providers, family members, books, television shows, and websites. High-on-guidance individuals asked questions during healthcare appointments and contacted healthcare providers with questions. One participant described reading health magazines and watching health-focused television shows (e.g., Dr. Oz) to support PHIM [SD03 70s/Female]. High-on-guidance individuals also often asked friends and family members to help perform PHIM tasks

Low-on-guidance individuals relied on personal experience as guidance for managing PHIM. These individuals reflected on the success or failure of past strategies and then used those outcomes to inform current PHIM. One participant explained that after a blood sugar reading above 300, she responded by drinking water and relaxing on the couch, instead of following the clinician's recommendation to visit the emergency room [D02 50s/Female]. Another participant described adjusting his insulin dose based on blood sugar level and what he planned to eat. He knew how much to change it from "trial and error" [D03 30s/Male].

#### PHIM dimension 4: Reliance on documentation

We defined reliance on documentation as the need for physical artifacts to support PHIM. High-ondocumentation reliance individuals kept physical records of health information, such as visit summaries from provider appointments, prescription inserts from the pharmacy, and medication lists. For example, one participant kept a self-developed notecard with a list of current medications and dosages [D04 60s/Female]. In addition, high-on-documentation reliance individuals took notes with questions or observations for future healthcare appointments, and used planners, calendars, and white boards to manage health schedules and PHIM tasks. One participant described how he used a yellow legal pad to record his glucose readings including multiple points of information such as the time of day and whether it was before/after eating [D07 60s/Male].

Low-on-documentation reliance individuals kept minimal physical records related to PHIM. One participant explained that she did not document blood sugar, condition changes, or questions for her provider, but relied on her memory to relay her pertinent health information every three months [D01 70s/Female]. Low-on-documentation reliance individuals also described discarding physical copies of medication lists, visit summaries, and medication inserts provided by the pharmacy. Instead, these individuals also relied on memory and knowledge accumulated from past health-related experiences for instructions, doses, routines, or questions related to PHIM.

#### PHIM dimension 5: Physical distribution of PHIM

We defined physical distribution of PHIM as the degree of dispersion of items and activities related to PHIM within the home environment. High-on-physical distribution individuals spread PHIM across different locations of the home, and elsewhere such as cars. High-on-physical distribution individuals described using the time of day or concurrent life activities to disperse PHIM throughout the home. For example, one participant explained that she stored medication on the bedside table since she took that medication upon waking and stored a glucometer on the kitchen counter since she took blood sugar readings before breakfast [M01 40s/Female].

Low-on-physical distribution of PHIM individuals grouped health-related information in a central location in the home. We found that these participants tended to focus on kitchens, bathrooms, or bedrooms for grouping PHIM. For example, one participant stored all medications in a bin and returned to the bin throughout the day when it was time to take medications [A03 40s/Female]. Another participant described the kitchen as the central PHIM location, storing her medications in cabinets, checking blood sugar at the kitchen table, as well as conducting internet searches about health

conditions/medications and communicating with providers through a patient portal at her kitchen counter [D06 40s/Female].

### PHIM dimension 6: Routine adherence

We defined routine adherence as the amount of flexibility in scheduling when managing PHIM. High-on-routine adherence individuals observed a regular schedule of PHIM tasks. These individuals often scheduled PHIM-related tasks in advance and planned other life activities around the PHIM task schedule. High-on-routine adherence individuals often set a precise sequence of PHIM tasks to complete upon waking and around mealtimes. One participant described creating and following strict routine to support the requirements for different medications taken with or without food [SD02 60s/Male].

Low-on-routine adherence individuals were flexible in performing PHIM. These individuals described easily adjusting the scheduling of PHIM tasks. One participant described skipping a dose of medication if she remembered too late in the day [A03 40s/White/Female]. Low-on-routine adherence individuals were not concerned with precision. Instead, their focus was that the end-goal of the PHIM task was met. A participant who checked his blood sugar about once a week explained that he tested "whenever he feels like it" or "when he gets bored" [P113 60s/Male]. These individuals also described readily adapting PHIM tasks to fit changes in their daily routine. One participant reported taking nighttime pills "some time before midnight" [A01 60s/Female].

#### PHIM dimension 7: External cues

We defined external cues as sensory or environmental stimuli that triggered PHIM. High-on-external cue use individuals relied on visual or auditory stimuli in the environment, such as reminders from others or device notifications, as signals for PHIM. One participant described how she used color-coded pill organizers as a cue for when prescriptions need to be refilled [A05 60s/Female]. These individuals responded to alarms, reminders from friends/family, and seeing objects throughout the home. Another participant relied on morning television shows (e.g., the news) as a trigger for taking morning medications [A02 70s/Female].

Low-on-external cue use individuals relied on bodily stimuli and memory to trigger PHIM, such as pain or hunger. These individuals also used other activities as PHIM triggers. For example, one participant used the routine of taking morning prescription medications as a trigger to take his daily dose of aspirin [SD01 70s/Male].

#### Discussion

Our objectives were to identify individual differences in PHIM that can be used to inspire the design of tailored PHIM processes, products, and tools to support individual PHIM needs. Through an exploration of interactions between individuals' cognitive work of PHIM and how they distributed that cognitive work within the sociotechnical system, we identified seven PHIM dimensions that individuals use to support PHIM in the home. Our study expands on previous work by identifying individual differences in practice, operating across multiple dimensions of PHIM that shape and are shaped by the home environment in which PHIM is performed.<sup>22,41</sup> For example, results of the parent study show that individuals have a strong preference to use certain features of the home environment in specific places in the home to support their PHIM.<sup>21</sup>

# The Context in which PHIM occurs inherently shapes PHIM

Our findings suggest that to design tailored processes, products, and tools to support PHIM, the study of PHIM must be expanded beyond the task level to include the context in which PHIM occurs. Recent research has recognized that PHIM both shapes and is shaped by the home sociotechnical system.<sup>14</sup> In other words, PHIM is inextricably linked to the home sociotechnical system in which it occurs.<sup>14</sup> Our findings expand upon this research to suggest that there are individual differences in PHIM, and in particular, individual differences at the person level interact with the context of PHIM. Thus, individual differences in PHIM must holistically capture the way individuals interact within the home sociotechnical system to perform PHIM. This is particularly critical to the design process in that the sociotechnical system must be considered in the design. That is, any change to the system such as implementing a new tool, affects all other aspects of the system.<sup>42</sup> If the system is not addressed as a whole during the design process, unintended consequences such as errors, frustration, and increased workload could lead to abandonment and low rates of acceptance. 43-45

#### The potential of PHIM-typing

Perhaps not surprisingly given the current push toward precision medicine with efforts such as the "All of Us" Project, which seeks to tailor medicine to individual differences in lifestyle, environment, and biology; health services and health information technology research has recently begun to consider individual differences in patient's needs related to healthcare processes and tools. 46 For example, our findings parallel recent research indicating that individuals display different communication and interaction styles when using consumer HIT. 47-49 Further, the identified PHIM dimensions are supported by previous research pointing to the importance of discrete dimensions including privacy, 50-53 personal engagement

and guidance,<sup>54</sup> documentation reliance,<sup>54</sup> physical distribution,<sup>54</sup> and external cues,<sup>55</sup> and patient activation.<sup>56</sup> Our approach expands this research by providing a framework that can be used to examine the dimensions individually and as a holistic PHIM-type.

These findings represent a hypothesis-generating step in the identification of dimensions that comprise individual PHIM types. We propose PHIM-typing as the process of predicting a person's unique tendencies for performing PHIM based on where they fall along a continuum for each PHIM dimension. It is possible that certain PHIM dimensions may be predictive of other PHIM dimensions. Future research in this area should explore potential correlations and interdependencies of dimensions, establish construct validity, and develop a PHIM-typing survey tool that could identify different levels of expressions of the dimensions for individuals.

#### PHIM-Typing for design

PHIM-typing has the potential to mitigate the continued challenges associated with PHIM, and reduce consumer HIT abandonment by enhancing and inspiring the design of PHIM processes, products, and tools through individualized and adaptable design criteria. For example, the process of design could focus on tailoring by user journey mapping for PHIM types and using PHIM-type based interfaces.

Potential design implications include tailoring healthcare professional information processes to an individual patient's PHIM type, selecting tailored discharge summaries and instructions based on a patient's PHIM type, designing mhealth applications that can be tailored to PHIM types (e.g., for high-on-physical distribution individuals, mhealth could activate GPS-enabled location-based notifications that are triggered when in certain areas), and employing machine learning to provide tailored resources to high-on-guidance individuals.

#### Limitations

Our study has several limitations that should be considered. First, our study was conducted with a small number of participants in only one region and at a certain time in history and technology acceptance. Their experiences may not be generalizable. Second, PHIM dimensions are not yet clearly delineated constructs and certain dimensions may exhibit overlap. Future work will seek to validate PHIM dimensions in larger samples, assess correlation and independencies of dimensions, and develop a PHIM-typing tool. Third, the vizHOME project focused on participants who were told they have diabetes, and results may be specific to people with diabetes. Finally, we did not capture information about the length of time since participants were told they have diabetes. Future work will explore the interaction between how long someone has had a chronic disease and their PHIM type.

#### Conclusions

By exploring interactions between PHIM tasks and the sociotechnical system of the home environment, we found that participants have seven dimensions for managing PHIM in the home. Identification of individual differences in PHIM can support the creation of human-centered design considerations for tailored environments, products, processes, and technologies that support PHIM. Future research will seek to validate PHIM dimensions in a larger population and develop a PHIM-typing measure to identify PHIM types toward tailoring processes, products, and to individual needs in context.

### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality under grant number R01HS022548. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

#### References

- 1. Care NAfH, Hospice. Basic statistics about home care. Washington, DC: National Association for Home Care & Hospice. 2010:1-14.
- Nunes F, Verdezoto N, Fitzpatrick G, Kyng M, Grönvall E, Storni C. Self-care technologies in HCI: Trends, tensions, and opportunities. ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI). 2015;22(6):33.
- 3. Or CK, Karsh B-T. A systematic review of patient acceptance of consumer health information technology. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2009;16(4):550-560.
- 4. Pratt W, Unruh K, Civan A, Skeels MM. Personal health information management. *Communications of the ACM*. 2006;49(1):51-55.
- Ancker JS, Witteman HO, Hafeez B, Provencher T, Van de Graaf M, Wei E. The invisible work of personal health information management among people with multiple chronic conditions: qualitative interview study among patients and providers. *Journal* of medical Internet research. 2015;17(6):e137.
- 6. Sieck CJ, Hefner JL, McAlearney AS. Improving the patient experience through patient portals: Insights from experienced portal users. *Patient Experience Journal*. 2018;5(3):47-54.
- 7. Or CK, Karsh B-T, Severtson DJ, Burke LJ, Brown RL, Brennan PF. Factors affecting home care patients' acceptance of a web-based interactive self-management technology. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2011;18(1):51-59.
- 8. Cordeiro F, Epstein DA, Thomaz E, et al. Barriers and negative nudges: Exploring challenges in food journaling. Paper presented at: Proceedings of the

- 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems2015.
- Epstein DA, Caraway M, Johnston C, Ping A, Fogarty J, Munson SA. Beyond abandonment to next steps: understanding and designing for life after personal informatics tool use. Paper presented at: Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems2016.
- Lasorsa I, Ajčević M, Stellato K, Di Lenarda A, Marceglia S, Accardo A. Personalized support for chronic conditions. *Applied clinical informatics*. 2016;7(3):633-645.
- Holden RJ, Schubert CC, Mickelson RS. The patient work system: an analysis of self-care performance barriers among elderly heart failure patients and their informal caregivers. *Applied ergonomics*. 2015;47:133-150.
- 12. Valdez RS, Holden RJ, Novak LL, Veinot TC. Technical infrastructure implications of the patient work framework. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2015;22(e1):e213-e215.
- 13. Werner N, Stanislawski B, Marx K, et al. Getting what they need when they need it. *Applied Clinical Informatics*. 2017;8(1):191-205.
- 14. Werner NE, Jolliff AF, Casper G, Martell T, Ponto K. Home is where the head is: a distributed cognition account of personal health information management in the home among those with chronic illness. *Ergonomics.* 2018;61(8):1065-1078.
- Civan A, Skeels MM, Stolyar A, Pratt W. Personal health information management: consumers' perspectives. Paper presented at: AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings2006.
- 16. Agarwal R, Khuntia J. Personal health information in the design of consumer health information technology: Background report. 2009.
- 17. Ancker JS, Witteman HO, Hafeez B, Provencher T, Van de Graaf M, Wei E. The invisible work of personal health information management among people with multiple chronic conditions: qualitative interview study among patients and providers. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2015;17(6).
- Holden RJ, Karanam YL, Cavalcanti LH, et al. Health information management practices in informal caregiving: An artifacts analysis and implications for IT design. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2018;120:31-41.
- 19. Holden RJ, Valdez RS, Schubert CC, Thompson MJ, Hundt AS. Macroergonomic factors in the patient work system: examining the context of patients with chronic illness. *Ergonomics*. 2017;60(1):26-43.
- Unruh KT, Pratt W. Patients as actors: the patient's role in detecting, preventing, and recovering from medical errors. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 2007;76:S236-S244.
- 21. Jolliff AF, Hoonakker P, Ponto K, et al. The desktop, or the top of the desk? The relative usefulness of

- household features for personal health information management. *Applied ergonomics*. 2020;82:102912.
- Moen A, Brennan PF. Health@ Home: the work of health information management in the household (HIMH): implications for consumer health informatics (CHI) innovations. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2005;12(6):648-656.
- Casper GR, Brennan PF, Arnott SC, Werner NE, He Y. Health@ Home Moves All About the House! Studies in health technology and informatics. 2016;225:173-177.
- Council NR. Health care comes home: the human factors. National Academies Press; 2011.
- 25. Cott C. Client-centred rehabilitation: client perspectives. *Disability and rehabilitation*. 2004;26(24):1411-1422.
- 26. Wolf PhD C, Jason A. Defining patient experience. *Patient experience journal.* 2014;1(1):7-19.
- 27. Berwick DM, Nolan TW, Whittington J. The triple aim: care, health, and cost. *Health affairs*. 2008;27(3):759-769.
- 28. Manary MP, Boulding W, Staelin R, Glickman SW. The patient experience and health outcomes. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2013;368(3):201-203.
- 29. Lucero RJ, Sheehan B, Yen P-Y, et al. Developing self-management tools with vulnerable populations for use in personal health information management systems. Paper presented at: NI 2012: Proceedings of the 11th International Congress on Nursing Informatics 2012.
- 30. Neyens DM, Childers AK. Determining Barriers and Facilitators Associated With Willingness to Use a Personal Health Information Management System to Support Worksite Wellness Programs. *American journal of health promotion:* AJHP. 2017;31(4):310-317.
- 31. Roglic G. WHO Global report on diabetes: A summary. *International Journal of Noncommunicable Diseases*. 2016;1(1):3.
- 32. Sigurðardóttir ÁK. Self-care in diabetes: model of factors affecting self-care. *Journal of clinical nursing*. 2005;14(3):301-314.
- Brennan PF, Ponto K, Casper G, Tredinnick R, Broecker M. Virtualizing living and working spaces: Proof of concept for a biomedical space-replication methodology. *Journal of biomedical informatics*. 2015;57:53-61.
- 34. Casper GR, Flatley BP, Perreault JO, Marvin AG. vizHOME--A context-based home assessment: Preliminary implications for informatics. *Studies in health technology and informatics*. 2014;216:842-846.
- 35. Beyer H, Holtzblatt K. Contextual design: defining customer-centered systems. Elsevier; 1997.
- 36. Carayon P, Hundt AS, Karsh B, et al. Work system design for patient safety: the SEIPS model. *Quality and Safety in Health Care.* 2006;15(suppl 1):i50-i58.
- 37. Hutchins E. Cognition in the Wild. MIT press; 1995.

- Hollan J, Hutchins E, Kirsh D. Distributed cognition: toward a new foundation for human-computer interaction research. ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI). 2000;7(2):174-196.
- 39. Hutchins E. How a cockpit remembers its speeds. *Cognitive science*. 1995;19(3):265-288.
- Saldaña J. The coding manual for qualitative researchers. Sage; 2015.
- 41. Zayas-Cabán T. Health information management in the home: a human factors assessment. *Work*. 2012;41(3):315-328.
- 42. Smith MJ, Sainfort PC. A balance theory of job design for stress reduction. *International journal of industrial ergonomics*. 1989;4(1):67-79.
- Karsh B-T, Weinger MB, Abbott PA, Wears RL. Health information technology: fallacies and sober realities. *Journal of the American medical informatics* Association. 2010;17(6):617-623.
- Karsh B. Beyond usability: designing effective technology implementation systems to promote patient safety. *Quality and Safety in Health Care*. 2004;13(5):388-394.
- 45. Carayon P, Wetterneck TB, Rivera-Rodriguez AJ, et al. Human factors systems approach to healthcare quality and patient safety. *Applied ergonomics*. 2014;45(1):14-25.
- 46. Silvera GA, Haun M, Courtney N, Wolf PhD JA. Patient Experience: The field and future. *Patient Experience Journal*. 2017;4(1):7-22.
- Valdez RS, Brennan PF. Exploring patients' health information communication practices with social network members as a foundation for consumer health IT design. *International journal of medical* informatics. 2015;84(5):363-374.
- 48. Valdez R, Patton T, Brennan P. To talk or not to talk: exploring culturally diverse patients' health information communication choices. Paper presented at: AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings2010.
- Valdez RS, Guterbock TM, Fitzgibbon K, et al. From loquacious to reticent: understanding patient health information communication to guide consumer health IT design. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics* Association. 2017:ocw155.
- 50. Hartzler A, Osterhage K, Demiris G, Phelan E, Thielke S, Turner A. Understanding views on everyday use of personal health information: Insights from community dwelling older adults. *Informatics for Health and Social Care.* 2017:1-14.
- 51. Caine KE. Visual sensing devices in home-care systems. Paper presented at: Proceedings of the first ACM workshop on Security and privacy in medical and home-care systems 2009.
- 52. Zayas-Cabán T, Dixon BE. Considerations for the design of safe and effective consumer health IT

- applications in the home. *Quality and Safety in Health Care*. 2010;19(Suppl 3):i61-i67.
- Young R, Willis E, Cameron G, Geana M. "Willing but Unwilling": Attitudinal barriers to adoption of home-based health information technology among older adults. *Health informatics journal*. 2014;20(2):127-135
- 54. Sun S, Belkin NJ. Managing personal health information in the home: Strategies of diabetes patients in the US and China. *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology*. 2015;52(1):1-4.
- 55. Boll S, Heuten W, Meyer EM, Meis M. Development of a multimodal reminder system for older persons in their residential home. *Informatics for health and Social Care.* 2010;35(3-4):104-124.
- 56. Hibbard JH. Patient activation and the use of information to support informed health decisions. *Patient education and counseling*, 2017;100(1):5-7.