

Delft University of Technology

Air quality in the periphery of operating rooms during surgery

Lans, J.L.A.; Traversari, A.A.L.; Mathijssen, N.M.C.; Sprangers, T.; van den Dobbelsteen, J.J.; van der Elst, M.; Luscuere, P.G.

DOI 10.1016/j.jhin.2023.01.015

Publication date 2023 **Document Version**

Final published version

Published in Journal of Hospital Infection

Citation (APA) Lans, J. L. A., Traversari, A. A. L., Mathijssen, N. M. C., Sprangers, T., van den Dobbelsteen, J. J., van der Elst, M., & Luscuere, P. G. (2023). Air quality in the periphery of operating rooms during surgery. *Journal of Hospital Infection, 135*, 193-195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2023.01.015

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Journal of Hospital Infection 135 (2023) 193-195

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Journal of Hospital Infection

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jhin



Air quality in the periphery of operating rooms during surgery

Sir,

Ultra-clean ventilation systems are used in the operating room (OR) to reduce the quantity of airborne bacteria in the ultra-clean area, and reduce the incidence of surgical site infections (SSIs) [1]. When the number of colony-forming units (CFUs) in the ultra-clean (protected) area is too high, this is considered a risk factor for SSIs [1].

Underneath an uni-directional air flow (UDAF) system, there should be <10 CFU/m³ during infection-prone surgery [1]. However, for large infection-prone procedures, the protected area of a UDAF system is sometimes too small to contain all sterile instrument tables, and to allow sufficient additional space between sterile staff and instrument tables [2,3]. When instrument tables are located partially outside the protected area, this peripheral area should also meet the required cleanliness level of <10 CFU/m³ [4].

Standards and guidelines [4-6] focus solely on air quality of the UDAF in the, by most standards, pre-defined protected area. Air quality in the periphery, outside the protected area of a UDAF system, is not taken into account [4-6]. As such, the aim of this study was to determine the quality of air in the periphery of the OR by measuring the number of CFUs during surgery.

Peripheral CFU measurements were taken at two different locations in one hospital organization in the Netherlands. The type of surgery was noted, and described as infection prone or generic.

The ORs (Table I) were equipped with a UDAF system that introduced air directly (and solely) above the protected area, and not directly into the periphery. The staff present during surgery wore modern scrub suits made of 99% polyester and 1% carbon fibres. The source strength using this type of clothing was 2.9 (0.9-5.7) CFU/s/person [7].

CFU measurements were taken at two fixed locations in the periphery, outside the protected area of the UDAF, using a Sampl'air sampler (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). The locations were selected as they are often, at the study hospital, used for instrument tables during (large) surgical procedures. CFU measurements were taken based on the Swedish standard [4]. Four moments were defined to measure the number of CFUs: patient on table (during positioning of the patient, before commencement of surgery), at incision, between incision and closure (at 10 min), and during closure of the wound.

The measurement cycle of each sample at the location measured was 2.5 min, and 250 dm³/min was sampled. Air sampling started directly after the incision was made, and was repeated several times during sugery. The final measurement was taken during wound closure. The agar plates (bioMérieux COS) were incubated aerobically for 2x24 h at 37 °C. During the measurements, the number of staff present, number of door openings and duration of surgery were noted.

The results (Table I) show that the number of CFUs in the periphery between the start of incision and wound closure, in 58 surgical procedures, did not exceed the international accepted level of <10 CFU/m³ [1] in approximately 82.4% (103 measurements) of cases. The mean CFU/m³ in the periphery was 5.9 [standard deviation (SD) 5.8]. The average duration of surgery was 56.9 (SD 50.6) min. During surgery, the average number of staff was 7.6 (SD 1.1, N=54), and there were 6.4 (SD 8.3, N=53) door openings.

With a total of 125 measurements, the highest accepted level of 30 CFU/m³ [5] was exceeded three times at incision and four times during surgery. This was the highest [4] accepted value for a single measurement during a surgical procedure. Higher numbers may have been measured during surgery because of activities in the OR, such as changing the OR team or bringing in equipment necessary for the surgical procedure.

The number of CFU/m³ when the patient was positioned on the OR table before incision was, on average, 36.9 (SD 48.8). In 35.4% of cases, the level of CFUs was <10 CFU/m³. These numbers, when the patient was positioned on the OR table, were high and do not comply with the standard, but the numbers were reduced to <10 CFU/m³ at incision in 45 of the 57 cases (78.9%). After 10 min, the number of CFUs was <10 CFU/m³ in 83.8% of cases, and at the end of the surgery (during wound closure), the number of CFUs was <10 CFU/m³ in 77.8% of cases.

In conclusion, the number of CFUs did not exceed 10 CFU/m^3 in 82.4% of the measurements taken in the periphery. Air





Operating	room (OR) location	n, room size, air changes a	and type of ultra-clea	an ventilation sy	/stem, and colo	ony-forming	unit (CFU) measuı	ements in the periphery	
OR type	Hospital location	System type (no. of ORs)	Surface UDAF (m ²)	OR length (m)	OR width (m)	OR height	OR volume (m ³)	Total air volume (m ³ /h)	Air changes (N)
-	A	UDAF (10)	6.4	7.4	5.9	2.8	123	5593-7282	4659
2	A	UDAF (2)	5.7	5.4	6.2	2.8	96	5599-6013	58-63
m	В	UDAF (10)	7.1	6.8	6.1–6.9	ĸ	127-142	7412-8690	56—68
CFU meas	urements in periph	ery	Patient on table	0	At incisio	ц.	At 10 n	uir 4	At wound closure
z			48	I	57	l	37		54
Number (<10 CFU	of CFUs (CFU/m ³), /m ³	mean (SD)	36.9 (48.8) 35.4%	1	7.0 (10.7 78.9%		5.0 (6. 83.8%	<u>-</u>	5.2 (9.5) 7.8%
UDAF, uni-(Results are	directional air flow; presented as mean	CFU, colony-forming unit; 5 (SD).	5D, standard deviation						

quality in the periphery of ORs may be good enough to position instrument tables safely when the pre-defined protected area [8] of the UDAF ultra-clean ventilation system is not sufficiently large.

Conflict of interest statement None declared.

Funding sources None.

References

- [1] Langvatn H, Schrama JC, Cao G, Hallan G, Furnes O, Lingaas E, et al. Operating room ventilation and the risk of revision due to infection after total hip arthroplasty: assessment of validated data in the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register. J Hosp Infect 2020;105:216-24.
- [2] Bulitta C, Schlautmann B. Relevance and implications of positioning analysis for infection-preventive effectiveness of ventilation systems with low-turbulence displacement flow. Curr Direct Biomed Eng 2020;6:304–6.
- [3] Benen T, Wille F, Clausdorff L. Influence of different ventilations systems upon the contamination of medical devices. Hyg Med 2013;38:142–6.
- [4] SIS-TS 39(E):2015. Microbiological cleanliness in the operating room. Preventing airborne contamination – guidance and fundamental requirements. Stockholm: Swedish Standards Institute; 2015. Available at: www.sis.se [last accessed February 2023].
- [5] Din 1946-4: 2018-09. Ventilation and air conditioning. Part 4: ventilation in buildings and rooms of health care. Berlin: Beuth Verlag; 2018.
- [6] HTM-03-01. Part A: the concept, design, specification, installation and acceptance testing of healthcare ventilation systems. Official Publications Approval Reference: PAR38. London: NHS England and NHS Improvement; n.d.
- [7] Ljungqvist B, Reinmüller B, Gustén J, Nordenadler J. Clothing systems in operating rooms — a comparative study. J IEST 2015;58:20—3.
- [8] Lans JLA, Mathijssen NMC, Bode A, van den Dobbelsteen JJ, van der Elst M, Luscuere PG. Operating room ventilation systems: recovery degree, cleanliness recovery rate and air change effectiveness in an ultra-clean area. J Hosp Infect 2021;22: 115–25.

J.L.A. Lans^{a,d,*} A.A.L. Traversari^b N.M.C. Mathijssen^{c,d} T. Sprangers^e J.J. van den Dobbelsteen^f M. van der Elst^{f,g} P.G. Luscuere^a

^aFaculty of Architecture and the Built Environment, Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands

^bNetherlands Organization for Applied Scientific Research, TNO, Delft, the Netherlands

> ^cReinier Haga Orthopedic Centre, Zoetermeer, the Netherlands

> ^dReinier de Graaf Hospital, Delft, the Netherlands

^eAntonius Hospital, Nieuwegein/Utrecht, Deskundige Infectiepreventie/DSRD, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands

Table

^fFaculty of Mechanical, Maritime and Materials Engineering (3mE), Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands

^gDepartment of Trauma Surgery, Reinier de Graaf Hospital, Delft, the Netherlands * Corresponding author. Address: Julianalaan 134, NL-2628 BL, Delft, The Netherlands. Tel.: +31 (0)15 27 89805. *E-mail address*: J.L.A.Lans@tudelft.nl (J.L.A. Lans)

Available online 6 February 2023