

Assessing the appropriateness of different climate modelling approaches for the estimation of aviation NO_x climate effects

Maruhashi, J.; Mertens, Mariano; Grewe, V.; Dedoussi, I.C.

DOI

[10.5194/egusphere-egu23-9246](https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu23-9246)

Publication date

2023

Document Version

Final published version

Citation (APA)

Maruhashi, J., Mertens, M., Grewe, V., & Dedoussi, I. C. (2023). *Assessing the appropriateness of different climate modelling approaches for the estimation of aviation NO_x climate effects*. Abstract from EGU General Assembly 2023, Vienna, Austria. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu23-9246>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

EGU23-9246, updated on 12 Jun 2023

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu23-9246>

EGU General Assembly 2023

© Author(s) 2023. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Assessing the appropriateness of different climate modelling approaches for the estimation of aviation NO_x climate effects

Jin Maruhashi¹, Mariano Mertens², Volker Grewe^{1,2}, and Irene Dedoussi¹

¹Faculty of Aerospace Engineering, Section Aircraft Noise and Climate Effects, Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands

²Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

Aviation's contribution to anthropogenic global warming is estimated to be between 3 – 5% [1]. This assessment comprises two contributions: the well understood atmospheric impact of carbon dioxide (CO₂) and the more uncertain non-CO₂ effects. The latter pertain to persistent contrails and pollutants like nitrogen oxides (NO_x), water vapor (H₂O), sulfur oxides (SO_x) and soot particles. NO_x emissions are involved in non-linear processes that result in the short-term production of ozone (O₃) and longer-term destruction of methane (CH₄), stratospheric water vapor (SWV), and primary mode ozone (PMO). The aviation-attributable impacts arising from this short-term increase in O₃ can vary by more than a factor of 1.5 depending on the selected modelling approach. This O₃ increase is associated with the second largest warming effect across aviation's main climate forcers [1]. We therefore quantify this figure using three modelling approaches (an Eulerian and a Lagrangian tagging scheme as well as a perturbation approach) at three potential aircraft cruise altitudes (200, 250 and 300 hPa) at which NO_x pulse emissions are introduced in the Americas, Africa, Eurasia and Australasia. In general, the tagging method computes the contribution by an emission source to the concentration of a chemical species while a perturbation approach consists in calculating the total impact of an emission to the concentration of a species by means of subtracting two simulations: one with all emissions and a second without the specific source's emissions. We compare results from Eulerian and Lagrangian simulations using the same climate-chemistry code: the ECHAM5/MESy Atmospheric Chemistry (EMAC) model. With the Eulerian setup, we are able to capture non-linear processes and feedback effects, but not track the transport of emitted species in detail. The Lagrangian setup [2], on the other hand, allows for the accompaniment of thousands of air parcel trajectories, but at the cost of assuming a simplified linear chemistry mechanism. We find that the Lagrangian tagging approach provides the largest estimates for O₃ production and radiative forcing (RF), followed by the Eulerian tagging scheme and lastly by the perturbation method. We therefore investigate the appropriateness of each of these in quantifying aviation's total and marginal climate effects by addressing the following research questions: 1) By how much are the estimates for the short-term NO_x-induced O₃ perturbation and consequent RF varying across the three modelling approaches and why? 2) How does this RF vary with emission altitude within the upper Troposphere/lower Stratosphere (UTLS)?

[1] Lee, D.S., Fahey, D.W., Skowron, A., Allen, M.R., Burkhardt, U., Chen, Q., Doherty, S.J., Freeman, S., Forster, P.M., Fuglestedt, J., Gettelman, A., De León, R.R., Lim, L.L., Lund, M.T., Millar, R.J., Owen, B., Penner, J.E., Pitari, G., Prather, M.J., Sausen, R., and Wilcox, L.J.: The contribution of global aviation to anthropogenic climate forcing for 2000 to 2018, *Atmos. Environ.*, 244, 117834, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117834>, 2021.

[2] Maruhashi, J., Grewe, V., Frömming, C., Jöckel, P., and Dedoussi, I. C.: Transport patterns of global aviation NO_x and their short-term O₃ radiative forcing – a machine learning approach, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 22, 14253–14282, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-14253-2022>, 2022.