

Editorial

Noise and vibrations in offshore wind farms and their impact on aquatic species

He, Rui; Tsouvalas, Apostolos; Xu, Xiaomei; Dong, Lijun

DOI

[10.3389/fmars.2023.1293733](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1293733)

Publication date

2023

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Frontiers in Marine Science

Citation (APA)

He, R., Tsouvalas, A., Xu, X., & Dong, L. (2023). Editorial: Noise and vibrations in offshore wind farms and their impact on aquatic species. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 10, Article 1293733. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1293733>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Hervé Claustre,
Centre National de la Recherche
Scientifique (CNRS), France

*CORRESPONDENCE

Rui He
✉ herui@hhu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 13 September 2023

ACCEPTED 22 September 2023

PUBLISHED 28 September 2023

CITATION

He R, Tsouvalas A, Xu X and Dong L (2023)
Editorial: Noise and vibrations in offshore
wind farms and their impact on
aquatic species.
Front. Mar. Sci. 10:1293733.
doi: 10.3389/fmars.2023.1293733

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 He, Tsouvalas, Xu and Dong. This is
an open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that
the original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution or
reproduction is permitted which does not
comply with these terms.

Editorial: Noise and vibrations in offshore wind farms and their impact on aquatic species

Rui He^{1*}, Apostolos Tsouvalas², Xiaomei Xu³ and Lijun Dong⁴

¹College of Harbor, Coastal and Offshore Engineering, Hohai University, Nanjing, China, ²Department of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands, ³College of Ocean and Earth Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China, ⁴Institute of Deep-sea Science and Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Sanya, China

KEYWORDS

underwater noise, vibration, offshore wind turbine, offshore pasture, dynamic safety, noise reduction, vibration control, aquatic species

Editorial on the Research Topic

[Noise and vibrations in offshore wind farms and their impact on aquatic species](#)

1 Introduction

Offshore wind energy is environmentally friendly for humans, but it may not be so for aquatic life. Underwater noise and seabed vibrations are generated during the construction, maintenance, operation and decommissioning of offshore wind farms. The potential impact of the generated noise and the seabed vibrations on aquatic species may hinder further deployment of offshore wind farms and marine ranching. Thus, it is of great importance to understand the physics of the generation and propagation of the underwater noise (Reinhall and Dahl, 2011; Lippert et al., 2016; Tsouvalas, 2020; He et al., 2023), the seabed vibrations and their impact on aquatic species during the whole lifetime of a wind farm. Moreover, it becomes urgent to propose marine biological acoustic protection technology (Madsen et al., 2006; Helen et al., 2010; U.S. Offshore Wind Synthesis of Environmental Effects Research, 2022).

The aim of this Research Topic is to discuss the underwater noise and seabed vibrations generated during the construction and operation of offshore wind farms and their potential impact on aquatic species, as well as relevant underwater noise and vibration mitigation strategies. It is hoped that the papers published in this Research Topic will help one to better understand the interactions between offshore wind farms and aquatic species, and to summarise the latest achievements in relevant acoustic mitigation technologies.

2 Vibrations and underwater noise and their impact on aquatic species

In total, nine papers have been published in this Research Topic. The papers are of high quality and cover a wide range of topics related to seafloor vibrations and underwater noise. Southall et al. presented a biologically based framework for assessing the overall risk to

marine mammals from human disturbance in defined scenarios. The aim is to provide a simple tool to objectively assess potential biological risk and to identify actionable risk reduction measures. Zhang et al. proposed a semi-analytical solution for the dynamic response of a multilayered seafloor under nonlinear ocean waves. Dahl et al. investigated the vector acoustic properties of underwater noise from pile driving. The well-known Mach wave characteristics are observed in both pressure and particle motion measurements. It provides an experimental reference for the choice of instrumentation for acoustic monitoring of offshore pile driving. The impact of underwater survey noise was studied in detail by Huang et al. From the field data, hammering noise is an impulsive sound with the dominant frequency below 10 kHz, which can cause a high risk of hearing damage to marine mammals. Vibrating and drilling sounds, on the other hand, are periodic sounds that can only cause hearing damage to marine mammals at a distance of about 40 meters. Fang et al. recorded the responses of Indo-Pacific finless porpoises to pile-driving activity at the Jinwan offshore wind farm, China. They found that there was a significant negative correlation between porpoise acoustic activities and pile driving, and that the interval between porpoise acoustic activities during pile driving increased compared to the period without pile driving. Yoon et al. measured underwater noise near a 3 MW wind turbine off the southwest coast of Korea. The underwater noise was found to be highly related to the acceleration of the tower vibration, the wind speed and the rotor speed. The peak level of the underwater noise at a frequency of 198 Hz increased by at least 20 dB at the rated rotor speed. Based on collected field data, Niu et al. analysed the differences between underwater noise from impact pile driving and vibratory pile driving, and the effects of the two types of noise on the large yellow croaker. The range of behavioral disturbance for adult large yellow croaker is predicted to be 4798 m and 1779 m for impact pile driving and vibratory pile driving, respectively. Molenkamp et al. investigated underwater noise and seabed vibrations from vibratory pile driving using pile-soil contact spring elements to account for the influence of pile-soil contact relaxation. It is found that the pile-soil interaction becomes crucial in the case of vibratory pile driving while in the case of impact pile driving this is of secondary importance. Finally, Peng et al. proposed a multi-physics model for modelling underwater pile driving noise mitigation including multiple air-bubble curtains.

References

- Helen, B., Bridget, S., Dave, S., Jan, R., Gordon, P., and Paul, M. T. (2010). Assessing underwater noise levels during pile-driving at an offshore wind farm and its potential effects on marine mammals. *Mar. pollut. Bull.* 60, 888–897. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.01.003
- He, R., Xiang, Y.H., and Guo, Z. (2023). A Poroelastic Model for Near-Field Underwater Noise Caused by Offshore Monopile Driving. *J. Sound. Vib.* 564, 117878.
- Lippert, S., Nijhof, M., Lippert, T., Wilkes, D., Gavrilov, A., Heitmann, K., et al. (2016). COMPILER—a generic benchmark case for predictions of marine pile-driving noise. *IEEE J. Oceanic. Eng.* 41, 1061–1071. doi: 10.1109/JOE.2016.2524738
- Madsen, P., Wahlberg, M., Tougaard, J., Lucke, K., and Tyack, P. (2006). Wind turbine underwater noise and marine mammals: Implications of current knowledge and data needs. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 309, 279–295. doi: 10.3354/meps309279
- Reinhall, P. G., and Dahl, P. H. (2011). Underwater Mach wave radiation from impact pile driving: Theory and observation. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 130, 1209–1216. doi: 10.1121/1.3614540
- Tsouvalas, A. (2020). Underwater noise emission due to offshore pile installation: A review. *Energies* 13, 3037. doi: 10.3390/en13123037
- U.S. Offshore Wind Synthesis of Environmental Effects Research (2022). *Underwater noise effects on marine life associated with offshore wind farms. Report by national renewable energy laboratory and pacific northwest national laboratory for the U.S.* (Department of Energy, Wind Energy Technologies Office). Available at: <https://tethys.pnnl.gov/seer>.

This multi-physics model can help investigating the water- and ground-borne wave transmission paths in a systematic way. The difference between single air-bubble curtain and double air-bubble curtain is also evaluated. The adopted modelling framework can help the offshore industry to optimize the deployment of the air-bubble curtain systems to achieve maximum noise reduction.

Author contributions

RH: Writing – original draft. AT: Writing – review & editing. XX: Writing – review & editing. LD: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The first author would like to acknowledge the Grant No. BK20190074 from the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for the supports from all authors and reviewers.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.