

Delft University of Technology

Recovery of sulfuric acid and ammonia from scrubber effluents using bipolar membrane electrodialysis

Effect of pH and temperature

Narayen, Dhavissen; van Berlo, Eline; van Lier, Jules B.; Spanjers, Henri

DOI 10.1016/j.seppur.2024.126605

Publication date 2024 **Document Version** Final published version

Published in Separation and Purification Technology

Citation (APA)

Narayen, D., van Berlo, E., van Lier, J. B., & Spanjers, H. (2024). Recovery of sulfuric acid and ammonia from scrubber effluents using bipolar membrane electrodialysis: Effect of pH and temperature. Separation and Purification Technology, 338, Article 126605. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2024.126605

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Separation and Purification Technology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/seppur

Recovery of sulfuric acid and ammonia from scrubber effluents using bipolar membrane electrodialysis: Effect of pH and temperature

Dhavissen Narayen^{a,*}, Eline van Berlo^{a,b}, Jules B. van Lier^a, Henri Spanjers^a

^a Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Department of Water Management, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft, The Netherlands ^b SkyNRG, Paradijsplein 1, 1093 NJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Bipolar membrane electrodialysis

Editor: G. Chen

Sulfuric acid recovery

Ammonia recovery

Temperature

Keywords:

pН

ABSTRACT

Simulated ammonium sulfate scrubber effluent was treated using bipolar membrane electrodialysis (BPMED) to recover sulfuric acid for reuse in the scrubber, and ammonium hydroxide as a product, without using any chemicals. The effect of pH and temperature of the feed solution on the energy consumption of the BPMED and the purity of the recovered acid and base were investigated in batch experiments. Experiments were conducted during a 3-hour period using a scrubber effluent with the following characteristics: 50 g/L ammonium sulfate, pH ranging from 1 to 5 and temperature ranging from 20 °C to 30 °C. The energy consumption at pH 5 was lower than that at pH 1, i.e., 6.9 MJ/kg SO₄² and 7.7 MJ/kg SO₄²; respectively. The purity of the acid recovered from the feed solution with a pH of 5 was 36 %, whereas the feed with a pH of 1 resulted in an acid purity of 72 %. These values corresponded to a mass of ammonia diffusion of 6.9 g and 2.3 g, respectively. The purity of the base recovered from the feed solution, i.e., 30 °C compared to 20 °C, resulted in a lower energy consumption: 7.1 MJ/kg SO₄²⁻, respectively. The temperature had a very limited effect on the acid and base purities, with values ranging from 80 % to 82 % for the teatid, and from 33 % to 36 % for the base. Our study demonstrated the effective application of BPMED for the treatment of simulated acidic scrubber effluent, with simultaneous recovery of ammonia and sulfuric acid.

1. Introduction

To limit ammonium (NH_4^+) release to the aqueous environment, residual water with high nitrogen concentrations is treated before discharge. Recovery of NH_4^+ from ammonium rich streams is commonly achieved by using a combination of air stripping and acid scrubbing technologies [1–4]. During the stripping process, the NH_4^+ in the residual water is stripped in the form of ammonia gas (NH_3) [5,6]. The latter reacts with acid present in the scrubber to produce ammonium salt [7,8].

However, the operation of a scrubber requires a substantial amount of acid (3.7 kg H_2SO_4 (96 %)/kg NH_4^+ - $N_{recovered}$) [9]. Sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) is mostly used in the industry for the scrubbing process because it is a strong acid, easier to handle than for instance hydrochloric acid (HCl), and less expensive than alternative acids [10]. Ammonium sulfate ((NH₄)₂SO₄) is produced through the reaction of H_2SO_4 with NH₃-rich stripping gas during the scrubbing process. This chemical compound is widely used as a mineral fertilizer, and its market value varies depending on the region (70 – 110 ϵ /ton for (NH₄)₂SO₄ (40 %)) [9]. In most cases, the income generated from the sales of (NH₄)₂SO₄ offsets the costs associated with the purchase and use of sulfuric acid [9]. However, a reduction in operational expenditures (OPEX) can be achieved by utilizing bipolar membrane electrodialysis (BPMED) to recover both H₂SO₄ and NH₄OH from the scrubber effluent. The recovered acid can be recycled, enabling its reuse in the scrubber, while the by-product NH₄OH can be utilized in the manufacturing of mineral fertilizer, thus resulting in a reduction of OPEX for operating the scrubber.

BPMED is a membrane technology that produces acid and base from the corresponding salt under a direct electric field [11]. A BPMED stack consists of cation-exchange membranes (CEMs), anion-exchange membranes (AEMs), and bipolar membranes (BPMs). A BPM dissociates water in the presence of an electric field to produce hydroxide ions (OH⁻) and protons (H⁺) [12]. BPMED can simultaneously recover NH⁺₄ in the form of ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH) and H₂SO₄ from an

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2024.126605

Received 13 September 2023; Received in revised form 23 November 2023; Accepted 29 January 2024 Available online 30 January 2024

1383-5866/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

E-mail addresses: D.Narayen@tudelft.nl (D. Narayen), eline_van_berlo@hotmail.nl (E. van Berlo), j.b.vanlier@tudelft.nl (J.B. van Lier), h.l.f.m.spanjers@tudelft.nl (H. Spanjers).

 $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ solution. NH_4^+ ions migrate through the CEMs to the base compartment and combine with the OH^- produced by the BPMs to form NH_4OH , whereas the sulfate ions (SO_4^-) migrate through the AEMs to the acid compartment and combine with the H^+ produced by the BPMs to form H_2SO_4 [13].

Recently, BPMED technology has gained increasing attention for treating industrial effluents [14–19]. Several studies have evaluated the application of BPMED to recover ammonium hydroxide and acids such as HCl, HNO₃, and H₂SO₄ from industrial streams containing ammonium chloride, ammonium nitrate, and ammonium sulfate, respectively [13,20–22].

Recovering H₂SO₄ in-situ using BPMED is expected to be more cost effective and energy friendly than purchasing H₂SO₄ for the scrubber. The energy consumption and purity of the recovered acid depend on the pH, temperature and composition of the salt stream to be treated [23–25]. The energy consumption of BPMED while treating the ammonium salt solution is affected by the competition between H⁺ and NH⁺₄ during their migration through the membrane from the feed solution to the base compartment [23,25]. The study of Guan et al. [23] focused on a rather narrow initial pH range of the feed solution (pH 2.5 to 4.0). In contrast to Guan et al. [23], Szczygielda & Prochaska [25], using alpha-ketoglutaric acid, reported that a higher initial pH of the feed solution, up to pH 5, results in lower purity of the acid recovered by BPMED. In addition, higher temperature results in a lower proton leakage from the acid to the diluate compartment, through the anion exchange membrane, resulting in higher acid concentration [26]. Besides, higher temperature results in a reduction in the membrane's stack resistance, and thus a lower energy consumption [24]. However, the energy consumption does not infinitely decrease with an increase in temperature due to the loosening of the porous matrix structure of the membranes when operating above a certain temperature [23]. To the best of our knowledge, there is a lack of studies that assessed the energy consumption associated with the treatment using BPMED of ammonium salt scrubber effluents at different pH and temperatures. Furthermore, there is a lack of studies assessing the effect of pH and temperature of such effluents on the purity of the recovered H₂SO₄ and NH₄OH. In addition, no studies have investigated ammonia (NH₃) diffusion from the base compartment to the acid compartment at different pH and temperature.

In this study, we performed lab scale experiments to investigate the effects of the initial pH of the feed solution and the temperature on two key performance variables: the purity of the recovered H₂SO₄ and NH₄OH and the energy consumption during BPMED of the (NH₄)₂SO₄ scrubber effluent. Furthermore, we assessed the NH3 diffusion from the base to the acid compartment at different pH and temperature. Initial pH of the feed solution and temperature have an impact on the transport of ions, and as a result have an impact on i) the energy consumption during BPMED of the (NH₄)₂SO₄ scrubber effluent, ii) the purity of the recovered products, and iii) NH3 diffusion from the base to the acid compartment. (NH₄)₂SO₄ scrubber effluent is typically acidic and has usually a temperature of about 70 °C. Membrane scaling is not likely to occur when this scrubbing effluent that is virtually free of multivalent cations, is used as the feed solution for BPMED. For the experiments, an $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ feed solution with a pH in the range 1 to 5 was investigated. The maximum investigated temperature was set to 30 °C because the reported membrane stability was limited to 30 - 35 °C.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

A lab-scale 64004 electrodialysis cell was used, purchased from PCCell (Heusweiler, Germany), consisting of a Pt/Ir- (platinum/iridium) coated titanium anode and a V4A steel cathode. A three-compartment cell arrangement was used, consisting of an acid compartment, a base compartment and a diluate compartment, also called salt compartment.

A BPMED membrane stack consisting of ten cell triplets was used. Each cell triplet consisted of an anion exchange membrane (AEM), a cation exchange membrane (CEM) and a bipolar membrane (BPM). PC 100D AEMs, PC-SK CEMs, and PC Bip BPMs were used, purchased from PCCell (Heusweiler, Germany). The main characteristics of the ion exchange membranes provided by PCCell (Heusweiler, Germany) are shown in Table 1. Fig. 1 depicts the BPMED membrane stack used for the experiments. Anion exchange end membranes (AEEMs) were chosen over cation exchange end membranes (CEEMs) to limit the accumulation of NH_4^+ in the electrode rinse solution (ERS) and also to keep the sodium ions (Na⁺) in the ERS. PC 100D AEEMs were used, purchased from PCCell (Heusweiler, Germany). The active membrane surface area of each membrane was $8x8 \text{ cm}^2$. The membranes and electrodes were separated by 0.5 mm thick silicon/polyethylene sulfone spacers with a void fraction of 59 %.

The feed/diluate solution was stored in a 1-liter borosilicate bottle. The acid solution, base solution, and ERS were stored in 0.5-liter borosilicate bottles. The solutions were continuously mixed by magnetic stirrers on a mixing plate with the same settings for all experiments. The solutions were recirculated through the BPMED membrane stack by a calibrated peristaltic Watson-Marlow 520S pump with separate Watson-Marlow 313 pump heads for each solution. For all experiments, the cross-flow velocity of each stream was set to 2 cm s⁻¹, corresponding to a pump flow rate of 16.9 L h⁻¹. A TENMA 72–1330 power supply was used with an electric current and electric potential range of 0.0 – 15.0 A and 0.0 – 60.0 V, respectively. Fig. 2 shows a schematic representation of the complete experimental BPMED set-up, similar to the set-up used by van Linden et al. [27].

The following reagents were used in the experiments: $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ salt (\geq 99 %), sodium sulfate (Na_2SO_4) salt (\geq 99 %), H_2SO_4 (2.5 M), and NH₄OH (25 %). These reagents were used to prepare the initial solutions used in the acid, base, and diluate compartments and ERS. All the reagents were supplied by Sigma Aldrich (Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands).

2.2. Analytical methods

The diluate, acid, base and ERS pH and electrical conductivities (EC) were measured in the respective bottles, using WTW Multi 3620 IDS multimeters, connected to calibrated IDS SenTix 940 pH meters and TetraCon 925 EC meters, respectively. The temperature of the solutions was monitored by using the temperature sensors present in the IDS SenTix 940 pH meters or TetraCon 925 EC meters. Calibrated volumetric cylinders were used to determine the solution volumes at the beginning and end of the experiments. Finally, NH⁺₄ concentrations were measured using a Metrohm 883 cation system Ion Chromatography (IC) with a cation column (C6 150/4.0) and SO²₄ concentrations were measured using a Metrohm 818 anion system IC with an anion column (A Supp 5 150/4.0).

2.3. Experimental methods

Batch experiments to investigate the effects of the initial pH of the feed solution and temperature were performed by using the experimental set-up shown in Fig. 2. The following pH values of the feed were investigated: 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5; and the following temperatures were investigated: 20 °C, 25 °C and 30 °C. All experiments to investigate the effect of the initial pH of the feed were conducted at a temperature of 25 \pm 2 °C, while the experiments to investigate the effect of temperature were conducted with a feed solution of pH = 5 and in a temperature-controlled room. The initial feed solution contained 50 g of (NH₄)₂SO₄ in 1 L of demi water. The pH of the initial feed was manually adjusted using H₂SO₄ (2.5 M). The initial acid and base solution contained 0.66 g of (NH₄)₂SO₄ in 0.5 L of demi water (0.01 M (NH₄)₂SO₄). The initial ERS consisted of 1 M Na₂SO₄ (addition of 71 g of Na₂SO₄ (2.5 M) to minimize the net H⁺ leakage from the acid to the ERS compartment

Table 1

Main characteristics of ion exchange membranes provided by PCCell (Heusweiler, Germany) and utilized in the experiments.

| Membrane | Thickness (µm) | Area resistance (Ω cm ²) | Water content (wt%) | Ion exchange capacity (meq.g ⁻¹) | | Transport number (-) |
|---------------|----------------|--|---------------------|--|------------|----------------------|
| | | | | Strong basic | Weak basic | |
| AEM (PC 100D) | 100–160 | ~ 5 | ~ 50 | ~ 1.2 | ~ 0.7 | >0.94 |
| CEM (PC-SK) | 100-120 | ~ 2.5 | ~ 9 | - | | >0.95 |
| BPM (PC Bip) | ~ 120 | - | ~ 30 | - | | - |



Fig. 1. Schematic overview of the three compartment BPMED cell configuration and the ions transport under the application of an electric current. In the acid compartment, H^+ combines with SO_4^- to form H_2SO_4 , while in the base OH^- combines with NH_4^+ to form NH_4OH . The base is rich in NH_3 (aq) due to the high pH. Figure is adapted from van Linden et al. [27].

through the AEEM. This adjustment allowed to mitigate the impact of the Grotthus mechanism or co-ion leakage [26], resulting in a decrease in the H⁺ concentration gradient between the acid and ERS during the experiment and thus minimizing the net H⁺ leakage from the acid to the ERS. The applied current density was 187.5 A/m², which corresponded to the limiting current density (LCD) for 90 % NH⁺₄ removal. The batch experiments were carried out for a duration of 180 min. Solution samples (2 mL) were taken every 20 min during the experimental run. Solution volumes were measured at the beginning and end of each batch experiment to determine the water balance. NH⁺₄ and SO²₄ concentrations were measured at the beginning, every hour, and at the end of each batch experiment to assess the NH⁺₄ and SO²₄ mass balance. Moreover, the current and electric potential were automatically logged every 5 s on a laptop. Finally, the pH and EC of the diluate, acid, base, and ERS were also logged every 5 s.

2.4. Performance indicators

The performance of BPMED for the recovery of H_2SO_4 and NH_4^+ from $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ scrubber effluents was evaluated based on acid current efficiency and electrochemical energy consumption. The acid current efficiency was determined using equation (1) [28].

$$\eta_{acid=\frac{Z \cdot F \cdot n_{SO_{4,a}^{2-}}}{N \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{I} (I_{\Delta i} \cdot \Delta i)} .100\%,}$$
(1)

where η_{acid} = acid current efficiency (unitless), z = ion valence (unitless, z = 2 for SO₄²), F = Faraday constant (unit: C.mol⁻¹, F = 96,485 C. mol⁻¹), $n_{SO_{4,a}^2}$ = amount of SO₄² transported from the diluate to the acid (unit: mol), N = number of cell triplets in the BPMED membrane stack (unitless, N = 10), $I_{\Delta t}$ = average electric current during each time



Fig. 2. Schematic representation of BPMED experimental set-up: 1) cell, 2) BPMED membrane stack, 3) power supply, 4) laptop, 5) multi-meters, 6) EC-sensors, 7) pH-sensors, 8) peristaltic pumps, and diluate (D), acid (A), base (B) and electrode rinse solution (E) [27].

interval (unit: A), and $\Delta t =$ time interval (unit: s).

The electrochemical energy consumption for the treatment of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ scrubber effluents by BPMED was calculated as in equation (2).

$$E = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} (U_{\Delta t} \cdot I_{\Delta t} \cdot \Delta t)}{m_{SO_{\Delta^{-} \alpha}^{2}}}$$
(2)

where *E* = electrochemical energy consumption (unit: J/g-SO₄²⁻), $U_{\Delta t}$ = average electric potential during each time interval (unit: V), $m_{SO_{4,a}^{2-}}$ = mass of recovered SO₄²⁻ in the acid compartment (unit: g-SO₄²⁻).

Moreover, the purities of the recovered acid and base were assessed. The purity is defined as the concentration of acid or base over the total concentration of ions present in the solution, as shown in the following equations:

 $P_{acid} = \frac{C_{H_2SO_4}}{C_T}.\ 100\%$ (3)

$$P_{base} = \frac{C_{NH_4OH}}{C_T} \cdot 100\%$$
(4)

where P_{acid} = the purity of the acid (unit: %), $C_{H_2SO_4}$ = concentration of sulfuric acid in the acid (unit: mol/L), C_T = total concentration of identified ions in the respective compartment (unit: mol/L)), P_{base} = the purity of the base (unit: %), and C_{NH_4OH} = concentration of ammonia solution in the base (unit: mol/L).

Furthermore, the distributions of NH_4^+ and SO_4^{2-} across the diluate, acid, and base compartments were determined to analyse (i) the NH_4^+ and SO_4^{2-} transport from the diluate to the base and acid compartment, respectively, (ii) the NH_3 diffusion and NH_4^+ leakage from the base to the acid compartment through the BPM [11], (iii) NH_3 diffusion from the base to the diluate compartment through the CEM, (iv) SO_4^{2-} leakage from the acid to the base compartment through the BPM [11], and (v) the possible leakage of ionic species (NH_4^+ and SO_4^{2-}) from the base and acid to the diluate [27] and vice versa that took place after a specific duration of the experiment. The final fraction of NH_{+}^{4} and $SO_{+}^{2^{-}}$ in the 3 compartments was calculated by using the following equations:

Final fraction of
$$\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} = \frac{m_{sNH_{4}^{+}} \, at \, t = 180 \, min}{m_{DNH_{4}^{+}} \, at \, t = 0 \, min}$$
. 100%, (5)

Final fraction of
$$SO_4^{2-} = \frac{m_{sSO_4^{2-} at t=180 min}}{m_{DSO_2^{2-} at t=0 min}}.100\%,$$
 (6)

where Final fraction of NH₄⁺ = fraction of NH₄⁺ at 180 minutes (unit: %), $m_{SNH_4^+}$ at t=180 min = mass of NH₄⁺ in respective compartment at 180 minutes (unit: g), $m_{DNH_4^+}$ at t=0 min = total initial mass of NH₄⁺ in feed (unit: g), Final fraction of SO₄²⁻ = fraction of SO₄²⁻ at 180 minutes (unit: %), $m_{SO_4^{2-}}$ at t=180 min = mass of SO₄²⁻ in respective compartment at 180 minutes (unit: g), $m_{DSO_4^{2-}}$ at t=0 min = total initial mass of SO₄²⁻ in feed (unit: g).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Final NH_4^+ and SO_4^{2-} distribution

All reported values represent the mean of duplicate experiments. The final NH⁴₄ and SO²⁺₄ distribution over the diluate, acid, and base reflected the net NH⁴₄ and SO²⁺₄ transport, including NH₃ diffusion and SO²⁺₄ leakage that was observed after an experimental period of 180 min. Fig. 3A shows the NH⁴₄ distribution over the diluate, acid, and base compartment at different pH feed solutions. The temperature was set to 25 ± 2 °C. Higher pH of the feed solution, i.e., pH 5 compared to pH 1, resulted in a lower fraction of NH⁴⁺₄ in the diluate at the end of the experiments: 2 % compared to 58 %, respectively. This means that 98 % and 42 % of the NH⁴⁺₄ was transported from the feed at pH 5 and pH 1, respectively. The observed lower fraction of NH⁴⁺₄ removed from the diluate with pH 1 feed solution can be attributed to the high ion-competition between H⁺ and NH⁴⁺₄ for their transport over the



Fig. 3. Distribution of NH_4^+ and SO_4^{2-} originating from feed solution of 50 g/L $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ after 180 min at different initial pH of the feed solution (A and B) and temperature (C and D). The pH experiments (A and B) were conducted at a temperature of 25 ± 2 °C and the temperature experiments (C and D) were conducted at a pH of 5. Average values of the duplicate experiments are presented, along with the minimum and maximum values (outer values of error bars).

membrane [23]. Moreover, it can be observed that 40 - 50 % of the transported NH⁺₄ from the feed ended up in the acid compartment via diffusion from the base to the acid compartment. During BPMED treatment of ammonium salts, gaseous NH₃ is formed in the base compartment, which can freely diffuse through the BPMs to the acid compartment. In the study of van Linden et al. [27], the NH₃ concentration in the diluate was always lower than that in the acid, which suggests that ammonia diffuses from the base compartment, through the BPMs to the acid compartment. The higher the NH₃ concentration in the base, the higher the NH₃ diffusion from the base to the acid [20]. In our current study, higher pH of the feed solution, i.e., pH 5 compared to pH 1, resulted in a higher mass of NH₃ that diffused from the base to the acid: 6.9 g compared to 2.3 g, respectively, as shown in Table S1 in Supporting Information. Note that there was no accumulation of NH₄⁺ in the electrode rinse solution at the end of the experiments because of the AEEMs used in the BPMED membrane stack, which is in line with the study of van Linden et al. [27].

Fig. 3B shows the SO₄²⁻ ions distribution over the diluate, acid, and base compartment at different pH feed solutions after an experimental period of 180 min. The temperature was set to 25 ± 2 °C. The fraction of SO₄²⁻ recovered in the acid compartment with a pH of 5 was 87 %, whereas this was 45 % for the feed with a pH of 1. H₂SO₄ has a pKa of 2.0 [29], which means that below pH = 2 the fraction bisulfate (HSO₄) relative to sulfate (SO₄²⁻) becomes larger than equimolar. Lorrain et al. [30] state that the HSO₄ ion does not cross the AEM, instead it dissociates at the solution-membrane interface into a proton and a SO₄²⁻ ion that crosses the AEM. This implies that with increasing pH, the concentration of HSO₄ in solution becomes lower and, correspondingly, the concentration of already available SO₄²⁻ in solution becomes higher, resulting in a higher mass of SO₄²⁻ recovered in the acid. However, the observed low SO_4^2 removal when working with pH 1 feed cannot be entirely attributed to the higher ratio of HSO₄ to SO_4^2 . Note that the feed solution was acidified using H₂SO₄ and thus pH 1 feed had a higher net mass of SO_4^2 compared to the other investigated feed solutions.

Fig. 3C shows the NH⁺₄ distribution over the diluate, acid and base at different temperatures after a period of 180 min, whereas Fig. 3D presents the SO_4^{2-} distribution at different temperatures after 180 min. The initial pH was set to 5. Higher temperature of the feed solution, i.e., 30 °C compared to 20 °C, resulted in a higher fraction of transported NH₄⁺: 97 % compared to 89 %, respectively. Furthermore, the fraction of NH⁴ present in the acid compartment due to NH₃ diffusion from the base compartment, when treating a feed solution at 30 °C, was higher than with a feed solution at 20 °C: 51 % and 43 %, respectively, corresponding to a mass of 7.1 g and 6.0 g, respectively (Table S2 in Supporting Information). Notably, there is almost 10 % more NH₃ than NH₄⁺ in a basic solution at pH 10 when temperature increases from 20 °C to 30 °C, thus increasing the fraction of NH₃ that can diffuse into the acid compartment. In addition, from Fig. 3D it can be observed that the fraction of recovered SO₄²⁻ in the acid compartment at 30 °C was higher than that at 20 °C: 88 % and 76 %, respectively. The mobility of ions increases with temperature [24], which might explain the higher removal of NH_4^+ and SO_4^{2-} from the feed in the present study.

3.2. Acid current efficiency and electrochemical energy consumption

Fig. 4A shows the acid current efficiency and Fig. 4B shows the electrochemical energy consumption for the treatment of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ scrubber effluents by BPMED using different initial pH of the feed and a fixed temperature of 25 ± 2 °C. Higher pH of the feed solution, i.e., pH 5 compared to pH 1, resulted in a higher acid current efficiency and lower



Fig. 4. Acid current efficiency and electrochemical energy consumption at different initial feed solution pH (A and B), and temperature (C and D) using 50 g/L (NH_4)₂SO₄ feed solution. The pH experiments (A and B) were conducted at a temperature of 25 ± 2 °C and the temperature experiments (C and D) were conducted at a pH of 5. Average values of the duplicate experiments are shown, along with the minimum and maximum values (outer values of error bars).

energy consumption: 49 % compared to 41 % and 6.9 MJ/kg SO₄² compared to 7.7 MJ/kg SO₄², respectively. The higher current efficiency and lower energy consumption for pH 5 feed solution compared to pH 1 feed are likely related to the higher mass of SO₄² recovered in the acid compartment with pH 5 feed solution compared to pH 1, i.e., 31.7 ± 0.2 g SO₄² compared to 26.6 ± 0.2 g SO₄², respectively. However, it remains unclear why a higher acid current efficiency and lower energy consumption was observed for the pH 5 feed solution, because the electrical resistance of the BPMED membrane stack when operating with a pH 5 feed should have been higher than with a pH 1 feed. Notably, the EC of the diluate, acid and base for the pH 5 feed solution experiments was

lower than that of the pH 1 feed (Fig.S1 in Supporting Information), and the average electric potential across the BPMED membrane stack during the experiment with a pH 5 feed was higher than that of a pH 1 feed, 16.9 ± 1.3 V compared to 16.3 ± 1.7 V (Fig. 5A). The energy consumption with a feed solution of pH 2 was higher than that at pH 1: 8.1 MJ/kg SO₄²⁻ and 7.7 MJ/kg SO₄²⁻, respectively. The lower energy consumption for the feed solution at pH 1, compared to pH 2, is probably due to the lower electrical resistance of the BPMED membrane stack when operating with pH 1 feed. The higher EC of the diluate, acid and base for pH 1 feed solution experiments (Fig.S1 in Supporting Information) may have led to the reduction in electrical resistance of the



Fig. 5. Electric potential across the BPMED membrane stack during the experiments at different initial feed solution pH (A), and temperature (B). The pH experiments (A) were conducted at a temperature of 25 ± 2 °C and the temperature experiments (B) were conducted at a pH of 5.

BPMED membrane stack. The average electric potential across the BPMED membrane stack during the experiment with pH1 feed was 16.3 \pm 1.7 V, whereas this was 18.3 \pm 1.6 V for the feed with a pH of 2 (Fig. 5A).

Fig. 4C and 4D show the acid current efficiency and energy consumption at different temperatures (20 °C, 25 °C, and 30 °C) and initial pH set to 5. Higher temperature of the feed solution, i.e., 30 °C compared to 20 °C, resulted in a higher acid current efficiency and lower energy consumption: 50 % compared to 44 % and 7.1 MJ/kg SO_4^{2-} compared to 9.5 MJ/kg SO_4^{2-} , respectively. The lower energy consumption at higher temperature was due to the increase in acid current efficiency and decrease in the electrical resistance of the BPMED membrane stack. The average electric potential across the membrane stack with the feed at 30 °C was 17.7 \pm 1.3 V, whereas this was 20.5 \pm 1.4 V with the feed at 20 °C (Fig. 5B).

3.3. Purity of H₂SO₄ and NH₄OH recovered by BPMED

Fig. 6A shows the purity of the acid and base at different initial feed solution pH. The temperature was set to 25 \pm 2 °C. The purity of the acid recovered from the feed solution with a pH of 5 was 36 %, whereas the feed with a pH of 1 yielded an acid purity of 72 %. The purity of the base recovered from the feed with a pH of 5 was 84 %, whereas this was 69 % for the feed with a pH of 1. The diffusion of dissolved NH₃ from the base solution to the acid solution, via the BPMs, as already mentioned in section 3.1, is the cause of the lower purity of the acid at higher pH. On the other hand, the purity of the base solution was higher at higher pH feed solution because the fraction mass of NH⁺₄ transported from feed to base relative to mass of SO_4^{2-} leaked from feed to base was larger than equimolar. The mass of NH_4^+ transported to the base compartment from the feed solution with a pH of 5 was 5.4 \pm 0.0 g, whereas this was 3.1 \pm 0.0 g for the feed with a pH of 1. The mass of SO_4^{2-} that leaked from the acid to the base solution for the feed with a pH of 5 was 0.7 \pm 0.1 g, whereas this was 0.9 ± 0.0 g for the feed with a pH of 1. The difference in mass of SO_4^2 that leaked from the acid to the base solution at different pH feed solutions was insignificant. Note that these leakages are not severe when considering that 31.7 g of SO_4^{2-} were recovered in the acid compartment for the feed with a pH of 5, and 26.6 g of SO_4^{2-} were recovered in the acid compartment for the feed with a pH of 1, as mentioned in section 3.2. The mass of SO_4^{2-} that leaked from the acid to the base solution for the feed with a pH of 5 represented 2.2 % of the SO_4^{2-} recovered in the acid compartment, whereas this was 3.4 % for the feed with a pH of 1. The incomplete permselectivity of the ion-exchange layers of the BPM makes SO₄²⁻ susceptible to leak through the BPM from the acid to base compartment [11].

temperatures was also investigated (Fig. 6B). Notably, according to Fig. 6B, the temperature had a very limited effect on the purities of the acid and base, which ranged 80 - 82 % and 33 - 36 % for the acid and base, respectively.

3.4. Overall discussion and future outlook

This study showed that BPMED can effectively be used to simultaneously remove NH₄⁺ from acidic scrubber effluent, recover H₂SO₄ insitu for scrubbing, and recover NH₄OH. By increasing the pH of the feed solution, the energy consumption of BPMED of (NH₄)₂SO₄ scrubber effluent can be decreased. In the study by Zhang et al. [31] various ionexchange membranes were utilized, revealing significant differences in membrane resistance even when working at the same pH. This observation highlights the dependency of membrane resistance on membrane type, indicating that the use of alternative membranes to the ones employed in our study may lead to further reduction in energy consumption of BPMED of (NH₄)₂SO₄ scrubber effluents.

Moreover, we showed that the pH of the feed solution significantly influenced the purities of the H₂SO₄ and NH₄OH recovered by BPMED. However, since the recovered H₂SO₄ will be used as scrubber liquid, its scavenging capacity to absorb volatile NH₃ is likely more important than its purity.

Experiments performed at different temperatures showed that the energy consumption decreased with increasing temperature. However, BPMED membranes loose stability at temperatures exceeding 35 °C. Note that the targeted scrubber effluent is warm (70 °C) and cooling to 30 °C is energetically not very efficient. Therefore, development of thermostable membranes for high temperature treatment, will facilitate the application of BPMED technology in scrubber liquid loops, which are commonly characterized by temperatures exceeding 35 °C. Such development will provide further insights into the effect of temperature on the energy consumption during BPMED of (NH₄)₂SO₄ scrubber effluents.

Furthermore, the energy consumption can be decreased by increasing the flow rate and the initial salt concentration in the feed [21,23,32], which results in lower electrical resistance of the BPMED membrane stack and lower electrical resistance of the solutions in different compartments [21,32,33]. In addition, the concentrations of the acid and base in their respective compartments will increase as the flow rate and the initial ammonium salt concentration in the feed increases [21,22,34]. The latter will probably result in higher NH₃ diffusion [20] and NH₄⁺ leakage from the base compartment to the acid compartment and SO₄²⁻ leakage from the acid compartment to the base compartment, leading to lower purity of the acid and base produced.



The purity of the acid and base with pH 5 feed solution at different

Finally, the recovery of H_2SO_4 from acidic scrubber effluent (pH = 5

Fig. 6. Purity of acid and base at different initial feed solution pH (A), and temperature (B). The pH experiments (A) were conducted at a temperature of 25 ± 2 °C and the temperature experiments (B) were conducted at a pH of 5. Average values of the duplicate experiments are presented, along with the minimum and maximum values (outer values of error bars).

and temperature = 30 °C) using BPMED resulted in costs for energy consumption comparable to costs for purchasing H₂SO₄ for the scrubber, $0.2 \notin kg SO_4^2$ (considering an electricity cost of \$0.1/kWh [35], which currently is at the low level in Europe) compared to $0.2 \notin kg H_2SO_4$ (96%) [9]. Notably, the pH of the recovered H₂SO₄ was between 0.9 and 1.0. In addition to the recovery and reuse of H₂SO₄, BPMED also allows the recovery of ammonia from the (NH₄)₂SO₄ scrubber effluent. Notably, ammonia recovery using BPMED is more energy intensive than ammonia production from the Haber Bosch process that currently uses methane cracking for hydrogen production with an energy requirement of 27.4 – 31.8 MJ/kg NH₃ [36–38]. However, in the future, the hydrogen used for the Haber Bosch process will likely be produced from water electrolysis [39], with an energy requirement of 38.2 MJ/kg NH₃ [36]. This development positions BPMED as an energetically competitive candidate for ammonia recovery.

4. Conclusions

This study investigated the effects of the initial pH of the feed solution (pH 1 – 5) and the temperature (20 – 30 °C) on the purity of the recovered H₂SO₄ and NH₄OH, as well as the energy consumption during BPMED of the (NH₄)₂SO₄ scrubber effluent. The results demonstrate the feasibility of H₂SO₄ recovery with simultaneous NH₄OH production from spent scrubber effluents. Feed solution pH and temperature had a distinct effect on process performance and the following conclusions were drawn:

- The energy consumption for H₂SO₄ recovery was 6.9 MJ/kg SO₄²⁻ for a pH 5 feed solution and 7.7 MJ/kg SO₄²⁻ for a pH 1 feed solution. These values corresponded to an acid current efficiency of 49 % and 41 %, respectively. Notably, the ratio SO_4^2 /HSO₄ increased with increasing pH, impacting the current efficiency.
- The acid purity of the recovered H₂SO₄ was 72 % while treating a pH 1 feed solution and reached 36 % for a pH 5 feed. The reduced purity was attributed to diffusive NH₃ transport from the base to the acid compartment.
- Competing ion transport reduced the fraction of NH⁺₄ removal from the feed at low pH; while a 98 % removal was reached for pH 5 feed, it dropped to 42 % for pH 1. Also the base purity was distinctly higher for pH 5 feed solution compared to pH 1.
- Temperature had a positive effect on process performance. The energy consumption for H₂SO₄ recovery was 7.1 MJ/kg SO₄²⁻ for a feed solution at 30 °C, whereas this was 9.5 MJ/kg SO₄²⁻ for a feed solution at 20 °C. The lower energy consumption at higher temperature was attributed to the increase in acid current efficiency, which was 50 % at 30 °C compared to 44 % at 20 °C, and a decrease in the electrical resistance of the BPMED membrane stack.
- An increase in temperature from 20 °C to 30 °C resulted in a higher fraction of NH⁺₄ and SO²₄⁻ removal from the diluate, with values increasing from 89 % to 97 % and from 88 % to 96 %, respectively. The higher removal of NH⁺₄ and SO²₄⁻ from the diluate at higher temperature may be attributed to the increased mobility of ions. These observations were consistent with fractions of NH⁺₄ in the acid due to NH₃ diffusion of 43 % and 51 %, respectively.
- Overall, a feed solution with a pH 5 and a temperature of 30 $^{\circ}$ C will yield the most energy efficient H₂SO₄ recovery using BPMED. These conditions will result in the highest base purity but lowest acid purity.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Dhavissen Narayen: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. **Eline van Berlo:** Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology. **Jules B. van Lier:** Supervision, Writing – review & editing. **Henri Spanjers:** Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Netherlands Enterprise Agency, also known as Rijksdienst voor Ondernemend Nederland (RVO) [Project No. TEHE119005]. This study was performed within the "Kostprijsreductie bioenergie door Chemical Free Ammonium Recovery" (NoChemNAR) research. We would like to acknowledge the contribution of David A. Vermaas for his guidance and help during the research. We would like to acknowledge the staff from TU Delft Waterlab, especially Armand Middeldorp for his support in the laboratory.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2024.126605.

References

- M.A. Boehler, A. Heisele, A. Seyfried, M. Grömping, H. Siegrist, (NH4)2SO4 recovery from liquid side streams, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22 (10) (2015) 7295–7305, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3392-8.
- [2] F.M. Ferraz, J. Povinelli, E.M. Vieira, Ammonia removal from landfill leachate by air stripping and absorption, Environ. Technol. 34 (15) (2013) 2317–2326, https:// doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2013.767283.
- [3] C.M. Mehta, W.O. Khunjar, V. Nguyen, S. Tait, D.J. Batstone, Technologies to recover nutrients from waste streams: a critical review, Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45 (4) (2015) 385–427, https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10643389.2013.866621.
- [4] W. Tao, A.T. Ukwuani, Coupling thermal stripping and acid absorption for ammonia recovery from dairy manure: Ammonia volatilization kinetics and effects of temperature, pH and dissolved solids content, Chem. Eng. J. 280 (Nov. 2015) 188–196, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.05.119.
- [5] P.H. Liao, A. Chen, K.V. Lo, Removal of nitrogen from swine manure wastewaters by ammonia stripping, Bioresour. Technol. 54 (1) (1995) 17–20, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0960-8524(95)00105-0.
- [6] A. Alitalo, A. Kyrö, E. Aura, Ammonia stripping of biologically treated liquid manure, J. Environ. Qual. 41 (1) (2012) 273–280, https://doi.org/10.2134/ jeq2011.0286.
- [7] A.K. Ashtari, A.M.S. Majd, G.L. Riskowski, S. Mukhtar, L. Zhao, Removing ammonia from air with a constant pH, slightly acidic water spray wet scrubber using recycled scrubbing solution, Front. Environ. Sci. Eng. 10 (6) (2016) 3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-016-0869-3.
- [8] L.J.S. Hadlocon, R.B. Manuzon, L. Zhao, Development and evaluation of a full-scale spray scrubber for ammonia recovery and production of nitrogen fertilizer at poultry facilities, Environ. Technol. 36 (4) (2015) 405–416, https://doi.org/ 10.1080/09593330.2014.950346.
- [9] G. Buffinga, "Sulfuric acid 96% consumption data for scrubbing process, cost price of sulfuric acid 96%, and selling price of ammonium sulfate 40% produced from wastewater," Apr. 07, 2023. [Online]. Available: Manager Process & Development at Byosis, The Netherlands.
- [10] "Trevi Environmental Solutions Acid scrubber." Accessed: Mar. 24, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.trevi-env.com/en/air-realizations/air-overzichten/134-air-techniekfiches-en/580-acid-scrubber.
- [11] H. Strathmann, Electrodialysis, a mature technology with a multitude of new applications, Desalination 264 (3) (2010) 268–288, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. desal.2010.04.069.
- [12] H. Strathmann, Ion-exchange membrane separation processes. in Membrane Science and Technology, no. 9. Germany, 2004.
- [13] X. Zhang, W. Lu, H. Ren, W. Cong, Sulfuric Acid and Ammonia Generation by Bipolar Membranes Electrodialysis: Transport Rate Model for Ion and Water through Anion Exchange Membrane, Chem. Biochem. Eng. q. 22 (1) (Mar. 2008) 1–8.
- [14] C. Cassaro, G. Virruso, A. Culcasi, A. Cipollina, A. Tamburini, G. Micale, Electrodialysis with Bipolar Membranes for the Sustainable Production of Chemicals from Seawater Brines at Pilot Plant Scale, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 11 (7) (2023) 2989–3000, https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.2c06636.

- [15] X. Guo, J. Chen, X. Wang, Y. Li, Y. Liu, B. Jiang, Sustainable ammonia recovery from low strength wastewater by the integrated ion exchange and bipolar membrane electrodialysis with membrane contactor system, Sep. Purif. Technol. 305 (2023), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2022.122429.
- [16] Kuldeep, T. Ahonen, M. K. Rosenthal, L. Murtomäki, "Bipolar membrane electrodialysis of Na2CO3 and industrial green liquor for producing NaOH: A sustainable solution for pulp and paper industries," *Chem. Eng. J. Adv.*, vol. 14, 2023, 10.1016/j.ceja.2023.100450.
- [17] Y. Qiu, et al., Ionic resource recovery for carbon neutral papermaking wastewater reclamation by a chemical self-sufficiency zero liquid discharge system, Water Res. 229 (2023), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.119451.
- [18] Y. Sun, Y. Wang, Z. Peng, Y. Liu, Treatment of high salinity sulfanilic acid wastewater by bipolar membrane electrodialysis, Sep. Purif. Technol. 281 (2022) 119842, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2021.119842.
- [19] H.-R. Yang, et al., Bipolar membrane electrodialysis for sustainable utilization of inorganic salts from the reverse osmosis concentration of real landfill leachate, Sep. Purif. Technol. 308 (2023), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2022.122898.
- [20] M.A.B. Ali, M. Rakib, S. Laborie, P. Viers, G. Durand, Coupling of bipolar membrane electrodialysis and ammonia stripping for direct treatment of wastewaters containing ammonium nitrate, J. Membr. Sci. 244 (1) (2004) 89–96, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2004.07.007.
- [21] Y. Li, S. Shi, H. Cao, X. Wu, Z. Zhao, L. Wang, Bipolar membrane electrodialysis for generation of hydrochloric acid and ammonia from simulated ammonium chloride wastewater, Water Res. 89 (2016) 201–209, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. watres.2015.11.038.
- [22] Y. Lv, H. Yan, B. Yang, C. Wu, X. Zhang, X. Wang, Bipolar membrane electrodialysis for the recycling of ammonium chloride wastewater: Membrane selection and process optimization, Chem. Eng. Res. Des. 138 (2018) 105–115, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2018.08.014.
- [23] W. Guan, G. Zhang, L. Zeng, C. Zeng, G. Shang, A novel process on preparation of ammonium metatungstate solution using bipolar membrane electrodialysis, Can. Metall. q. 56 (4) (2017) 432–441, https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00084433.2017.1363931.
- [24] J. Kroupa, J. Kinčl, J. Cakl, "Recovery of H2SO4and NaOH from Na2SO4 by electrodialysis with heterogeneous bipolar membrane", Desalin, Water Treat (2014) 1.
- [25] M. Szczygielda, K. Prochaska, Recovery of alpha-ketoglutaric acid from model fermentation broth using electrodialysis with bipolar membrane, Sep. Sci. Technol. 55 (1) (2020) 165–175, https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2018.1563160.
- [26] Y. Lorrain, G. Pourcelly, C. Gavach, Influence of cations on the proton leakage through anion-exchange membranes, J. Membr. Sci. 110 (2) (1996) 181–190, https://doi.org/10.1016/0376-7388(95)00246-4.
- [27] N. van Linden, G.L. Bandinu, D.A. Vermaas, H. Spanjers, J.B. van Lier, Bipolar membrane electrodialysis for energetically competitive ammonium removal and

dissolved ammonia production, J. Clean. Prod. 259 (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120788.

- [28] A.T.K. Tran, P. Mondal, J. Lin, B. Meesschaert, L. Pinoy, B. Van der Bruggen, Simultaneous regeneration of inorganic acid and base from a metal washing step wastewater by bipolar membrane electrodialysis after pretreatment by crystallization in a fluidized pellet reactor, J. Membr. Sci. 473 (2015) 118–127, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2014.09.006.
- [29] K. Han, Characteristics of precipitation of rare earth elements with various precipitants, Minerals 10 (2020) 178, https://doi.org/10.3390/min10020178
- [30] Y. Lorrain, G. Pourcelly, C. Gavach, Transport mechanism of sulfuric acid through an anion exchange membrane, Desalination 109 (3) (1997) 231–239, https://doi. org/10.1016/S0011-9164(97)00069-6.
- [31] W. Zhang, et al., Studies on anion exchange membrane and interface properties by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy: The Role of pH, Membranes vol. 11, no. 10, Art. no. 10 (2021), https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes11100771.
- [32] Y. Zhou, H. Yan, X. Wang, Y. Wang, T. Xu, A closed loop production of water insoluble organic acid using bipolar membranes electrodialysis (BMED), J Membr Sci 520 (2016) 345.
- [33] W.S. Walker, Y. Kim, D.F. Lawler, Treatment of model inland brackish groundwater reverse osmosis concentrate with electrodialysis—Part I: sensitivity to superficial velocity, Desalination 344 (2014) 152–162, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. desal.2014.03.035.
- [34] H. Guo, P. Yuan, V. Pavlovic, J. Barber, Y. Kim, Ammonium sulfate production from wastewater and low-grade sulfuric acid using bipolar- and cation-exchange membranes, J. Clean. Prod. 285 (2021) 124888, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclepro.2020.124888.
- [35] M.J. King, W.G. Davenport, M.S. Moats, 31 Costs of sulfuric acid production, in: M.J. King, W.G. Davenport, M.S. Moats (Eds.), Sulfuric Acid Manufacture, (Second Edition),, Elsevier, Oxford, 2013, pp. 357–362, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-098220-5.00032-0.
- [36] C. Smith, A.K. Hill, L. Torrente-Murciano, Current and future role of Haber-Bosch ammonia in a carbon-free energy landscape, Energy Environ. Sci. 13 (2) (2020) 331–344, https://doi.org/10.1039/C9EE02873K.
- [37] M. Mohammadi, H. Guo, P. Yuan, V. Pavlovic, J. Barber, Y. Kim, Ammonia separation from wastewater using bipolar membrane electrodialysis, Electrochem. Sci. Adv. 1 (4) (2021) pp, https://doi.org/10.1002/elsa.202000030.
- [38] M. Rodrigues, et al., Effluent pH correlates with electrochemical nitrogen recovery efficiency at pilot scale operation, Sep. Purif. Technol. 306 (2023) 122602, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2022.122602.
- [39] K. H. R. Rouwenhorst, Y. Engelmann, K. van 't Veer, R.S. Postma, A. Bogaerts, L. Lefferts, "Plasma-driven catalysis: green ammonia synthesis with intermittent electricity," *Green Chem.*, vol. 22, no. 19, pp. 6258–6287, Oct. 2020, 10.1039/D0GC02058C.