

Validation of online intrinsic and reflexive joint impedance estimates using correlation with EMG measurements

van 't Veld, R.C.; Schouten, Alfred; van der Kooij, Herman; Van Asseldonk, Edwin H.F.

Publication date

2018

Document Version

Final published version

Citation (APA)

van 't Veld, R. C., Schouten, A., van der Kooij, H., & Van Asseldonk, E. H. F. (2018). *Validation of online intrinsic and reflexive joint impedance estimates using correlation with EMG measurements*. Poster session presented at 7th IEEE RAS/EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics, BIOROB 2018, Enschede, Netherlands.

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Validation of Online Intrinsic and Reflexive Joint Impedance Estimates using Correlation with EMG Measurements

R. C. van 't Veld¹, A. C. Schouten^{1,2}, H. van der Kooij^{1,2}, E. H. F. van Asseldonk¹

¹Department of Biomechanical Engineering, University of Twente, The Netherlands

²Department of Biomechanical Engineering, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

r.c.vantveld@utwente.nl

Background

Two state-of-the-art research lines towards voluntary modulation of reflexive activity in order to reduce spasticity:

EMG-based¹:

- Reduce muscle hyperreflexia
- Constant background activity
- Participants with spasticity

System Identification-based²:

- Modulation reflexive impedance
- Constant intrinsic impedance
- Able-bodied participants

Can the system identification-based paradigm also be used by participants with spasticity? Potential improvements w.r.t. EMG:




- Participant comfort
- Applicability
- Target multiple muscles
- Faster training effects

Aim

Investigate linear association between the independently measured EMG- and system identification-based paradigms:

- Background EMG activity \leftrightarrow Intrinsic joint stiffness
- Reflex EMG activity \leftrightarrow Reflexive activity

Methods & Results





Conclusions

Valid to use system identification-based approach in training paradigm to reduce muscle hyperreflexia give the large linear association between independent system identification and EMG measures.

¹ A. K. Thompson, F. R. Pomerantz, and J. R. Wolpaw, "Operant Conditioning of a Spinal Reflex Can Improve Locomotion after Spinal Cord Injury in Humans," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 2365–2375, 2013.

² D. Ludvig and R. E. Kearney, "Real-time Estimation of Intrinsic and Reflex Stiffness," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 54, no. 10, pp. 1875–1884, 2007.


Protocol



- 3 male able-bodied subjects
- 1-DOF perturbations in sagittal plane of ankle joint
- Train modulation intrinsic stiffness & reflexive activity
- Keep behavior constant for several 60s periods
- Feedback on torque (y-axis) and intrinsic stiffness or reflexive activity (x-axis)
- Neutral or plantarflexion torque task
- Co-contraction allowed

Consecutive 60s periods with constant subject behavior show the following associations:

- Background EMG Soleus \leftrightarrow Intrinsic stiffness
- Reflex EMG Soleus \leftrightarrow Reflexive gain



Correlation coefficient using data normalized per subject:

Torque	Intrinsic		Reflexive	
	0 Nm (N = 33)	-5 Nm (N = 22)	0 Nm (N = 33)	-5 Nm (N = 22)
SOL	0.93 [0.85, 0.97]	0.70 [0.44, 0.87]	0.78 [0.62, 0.87]	0.57 [0.20, 0.77]
TA	0.88 [0.78, 0.95]	0.70 [0.19, 0.87]	0.55 [0.27, 0.75]	0.18 [-0.11, 0.45]
GL	0.91 [0.83, 0.96]	0.36 [-0.18, 0.69]	0.75 [0.58, 0.85]	0.56 [0.21, 0.76]
GM	0.80 [0.56, 0.92]	0.65 [0.32, 0.82]	0.82 [0.67, 0.90]	0.46 [0.13, 0.67]

95% confidence intervals computed for four lower leg muscles and two torque target, via non-parametric bootstrap, show:

- Up to **86%** shared variance for intrinsic pathway
- Up to **67%** shared variance for reflexive pathway

Future Research

Recommendations for using system identification measures in training to reduce muscle hyperreflexia:

- Neutral torque task
- Focus on calf muscles

This work was supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), domain Applied and Engineering Sciences under project number 14903

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.