

Delft University of Technology

Subject-specific identification of three dimensional foot shape deviations using statistical shape analysis

Stanković, Kristina ; Huysmans, Toon; Danckaers, Femke ; Sijbers, Jan; Booth, Brian G.

DOI 10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113372

Publication date 2020 **Document Version** Accepted author manuscript

Published in Expert Systems with Applications

Citation (APA)

Stanković, K., Huysmans, T., Danckaers, F., Sijbers, J., & Booth, B. G. (2020). Subject-specific identification of three dimensional foot shape deviations using statistical shape analysis. *Expert Systems with* Applications, 151, Article 113372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113372

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Subject-specific identification of three dimensional foot shape deviations using statistical shape analysis

Kristina Stanković^{a,*}, Toon Huysmans^{a,b}, Femke Danckaers^a, Jan Sijbers^a, Brian G. Booth^a

^aimec - Vision Lab, Department of Physics, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium ^bSection on Applied Ergonomics & Design, Department of Industrial Design, Delft University of Technology, CE Delft, The Netherlands

Abstract

The high prevalence of foot pain, and its relation to foot shape, indicates the need for an expert system to identify foot shape abnormalities. Yet, to date, no such expert system exists that examines the full 3D foot shape and produces an intuitive explanation of why a foot is abnormal. In this work, we present the first such expert system that satisfies those goals. The system is based on the concept of model-based outlier detection: a statistical shape model (SSM) is generated from 186 3D optical foot scans of healthy feet. This model acts as a knowledge base which is then parameterized by one's demographic characteristics (e.g., age, weight height, shoe size) through a multivariate regression. This regression introduces model flexibility as it allows the model to be fine tuned to a specific individual. This fine tuned model is then used as a baseline to which the individual's 3D foot scan can be compared using standard statistical tests (e.g. t-tests). These statistical tests are performed at each vertex along the foot surface to identify the degree and location of shape outliers. Our expert system was validated on foot scans from patients with hallux valgus and abnormal foot arches. As expected, our results varied per patient, confirming that feet with the same clinical classification still show high shape variability. Additionally, the foot shape abnormalities identified by our system not only agreed with the expected

Email addresses: T.Huysmans@tudelft.nl (Toon Huysmans),

Preprint submitted to Expert Systems with Applications

April 15, 2020

© 2020 Manuscript version made available under CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

^{*}Kristina.Stankovic@uantwerpen.be

Femke.Danckaers@uantwerpen.be (Femke Danckaers), Jan.Sijbers@uantwerpen.be (Jan Sijbers), Brian.Booth@uantwerpen.be (Brian G. Booth)

location and severity of the tested foot deformities, but our analysis of the full 3D foot shape was able to completely characterize the extent of those abnormalities for the first time. These results show that the combination of statistical shape modelling, multivariate regression, and statistical testing is powerful enough to perform outlier detection for 3D foot shapes. The resulting insights provided by this system could prove useful in both shoe design and clinical diagnosis.

Keywords: 3D foot scans, statistical shape modelling, personalized medicine, outlier detection

1 1. Introduction

It is estimated that anywhere between 17-41% of the general population 2 experience foot pain and, in roughly half of these cases, the foot pain is dis-3 abling [1, 2, 3]. For some people, this pain is linked to foot deformities, with common conditions including hallux valgus [4, 5], collapsed foot arches [6, 7], 5 and club feet [8, 9]. For others, foot pain has been associated with improperly 6 fitting footwear [10, 11], indicating that a more precise characterization of 7 foot shape would be valuable in footwear fitting and design [12, 13, 14, 15]. 8 Despite a clear link between foot shape and foot pain, one study has re-9 ported that more than half of its participants who experienced debilitating 10 foot pain did not seek professional help [2]. These results suggest that either 11 foot shape abnormalities are difficult for a non-expert to assess, or that access 12 to professional foot care is limited. Either way, this indicates a need for an 13 expert system that can assess whether one's foot shape is abnormal or not. 14 Such a system could reduce one's future foot pain by either identifying foot 15 deformities requiring professional care, or by recommending better footwear 16 choices [16, 17, 18, 19]. 17

The development of expert systems for foot assessment remains an open research question. Traditionally, experts such as physical therapists and podiatrists have classified feet based on visual appraisal [5, 20, 21], with foot arch heights, ankle bone curvatures, and toe angles being key shape cues [5, 7, 22]. Unfortunately, these visual appraisals introduce a measure of subjectivity into the analysis of foot shape, resulting in examinations that can vary significantly between clinicians [23].

In recent years, attempts have been made to develop expert systems based on objective measures of foot shape, most notably in the form of outlier de-

tection algorithms [24]. These include the arch index measure introduced 27 by Cavanagh et al. [25]. Using measurements from 2D footprints and sta-28 tistical thresholds, arch index can classify feet as being high-, normal-, or 29 flat-arched. Similar statistical thresholds have also been applied to 1D arch 30 height measures [26], center of pressure trajectories [27], and forefoot-rearfoot 31 angles [28] in order to identify abnormal arch heights. A full review of such 32 techniques can be found in the work of Xiong et al. [6]. Similar statisti-33 cal thresholds have also been defined for hallux valgus based on the hallux 34 abductus angle [29, 30], and for club feet based on calcaneus distances [9]. 35 These approaches can be thought of as expert systems where the user inputs 36 a given foot measurement or footprint, the knowledge base is a statistical 37 model, and the inference engine performs outlier detection using significance 38 thresholds. Other expert systems also exist for foot assessment, but they do 39 not consider foot shape [31, 32, 33]. 40

⁴¹ Despite the benefits these objective techniques provide, they also have
⁴² their limitations. Many studies are based purely on scalar measurements or
⁴³ 2D images of the foot (e.g. footprints) instead of the full 3D foot shape [25,
⁴⁴ 34]. It has been shown that the full 3D foot shape is not only important
⁴⁵ for footwear design [4, 19, 35, 36], but it also cannot be fully recovered from
⁴⁶ lower-dimensional foot measurements [37]. As a result, these expert systems
⁴⁷ do not provide a complete assessment of foot shape.

Additionally, existing expert systems for foot shape provide only coarse 48 groupings, usually only identifying if a foot is normal or abnormal. Several 49 studies [38, 39, 40] reported inter-individual differences for width and height 50 measures of feet within the same class, such as foot size classes. Similarly, 51 different degrees of hallux valgus deformity and toe deformities were associ-52 ated with different shoe needs [41]. These results suggest that foot shapes 53 within the same class can still vary significantly and that this variance should 54 be further considered in an expert system. 55

We propose that an expert system for foot shape analysis should ideally 56 satisfy four criteria. First, the system's knowledge base should contain infor-57 mation on the full 3D foot shape and not simply 2D or 1D foot measurements. 58 This criterion would ensure that assessment of the complete foot is possible. 50 Second, the system's inference engine should provide more than simply a 60 label of whether a foot is normal or not. If a foot is labelled as abnormally-61 shaped, the system should explain what part of the foot is abnormal and 62 to what extent it is abnormal. Third, the system's user interface should be 63 simple enough for a non-expert to use. This criterion aims to eliminate the 64

⁶⁵ subjectivity seen in visual assessments as well as ensuring that access to the
⁶⁶ system is not limited by access to a foot care professional. Finally, the system
⁶⁷ should employ methods that are familiar to foot care professionals, thereby
⁶⁸ ensuring that they can confidently recommend such a system and properly
⁶⁹ follow-up on the system's results.

To address these criteria, we introduce an expert system based on the 70 concepts of outlier detection for the assessment of one's full 3D foot shape. 71 The user interface requires one to simply enter a 3D optical scan of their 72 foot and basic demographic information (e.g. age, weight, shoe size), making 73 the system usable by an non-expert. The knowledge base of the system is 74 centered on the statistical shape modelling, a technique that has shown to 75 be a useful tool in a variety of applications [42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47]. The 76 model is constructed from healthy individuals and a regression analysis, like 77 those in [48, 49], is used to link the user-entered demographic information to 78 a baseline foot shape measurement. Finally, statistical testing is employed 79 to compare one's measurement to this statistical baseline. This testing is 80 performed across the foot surface in order to identify the location and extent 81 of shape abnormalities [50, 51, 52, 53]. 82

Our proposed expert system merges together established shape analysis and outlier detection techniques, thereby making it a natural extension to methods currently used by foot care professionals. We hypothesize the use of such techniques can provide sufficient analytical power to become the first expert system to simultaneously satisfy the four criteria mentioned earlier.

88 2. Methods

Our proposed expert system for foot shape assessment consists of two 89 main parts: the building of a statistical shape model (i.e. the knowledge 90 base), and the comparison of an individual's foot to that model (i.e. the 91 inference engine). In both parts of the system, we represent a foot shape, X, 92 as a triangulated 3D mesh of the foot surface. Also, let $\{X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_N\}$ 93 be the N foot scans from which a statistical shape model will be computed. 94 In order to perform meaningful statistics on such a shape representation, 95 an anatomical correspondence needs to be established between all N foot 96 meshes and these meshes have to be spatially aligned. In section 2.1, the 97 correspondence and alignment procedure is explained after which the model 98 building and personalized analysis parts of our pipeline are presented in sec-90 tion 2.2 and 2.3, respectively. 100

¹⁰¹ 2.1. Correspondence establishment and anatomical alignment

Initially, each 3D foot mesh has a different number and order of vertices. 102 These meshes can also vary in their position within the field of view of the 103 3D scanner. In order to analyze the shapes represented in 3D foot meshes, 104 we must first ensure that each mesh has the same vertices located in the 105 same anatomical locations. Second, we must then align the 3D foot meshes 106 to remove the influence of pose on the analysis of shape. The first procedure 107 is referred to as shape correspondence while the second is referred to as 108 anatomical alignment. Fig. 1 shows the effect of these procedures on two 109 randomly-chosen feet.



Figure 1: **Correspondence establishment and alignment.** a) Two randomly chosen feet with unmatched vertices before correspondence establishment, b) overlapped feet after correspondence establishment, c) overlapped feet after anatomical alignment.

110

111 2.1.1. Shape correspondence

¹¹² To bring two 3D foot meshes into anatomical correspondence, we employ ¹¹³ the pairwise registration of Danckaers et al. [54]. To do so, we choose one ¹¹⁴ foot mesh, X_{ref} , as our reference foot mesh and deform it to match the other ¹¹⁵ foot meshes in our analysis. At a high level, this deformation is described by

$$\boldsymbol{X_{target}} = \Psi(T(\boldsymbol{X_{ref}}, \beta)), \tag{1}$$

where X_{target} is a foot mesh being analyzed, T is an affine transformation and Ψ is a set of displacement vectors. The degree of the deformation operation is controlled by a user-defined elasticity parameter, β . We solve for

T and Ψ using the iterative procedure defined in [54]. Briefly, this itera-119 tive procedure operates by fixing one of the transformations (e.g. Ψ) and 120 then solving Eq. (1) for the other transformation (e.g. T). Subsequently, the 121 procedure solves Eq. (1) for the transformation that was fixed in the former 122 iteration (Ψ) while now fixing the previously-computed unknown transfor-123 mation (T). This process is iterated until the magnitude of the observed 124 shape changes is below a set threshold (0.01 mm). During the iterations, the 125 elasticity parameter, β , is increased to gradually introduce more deformation 126 as the alignment improves. Further details can be found in [54]. The final 127 result is the reference mesh X_{ref} deformed to have its shape as similar as 128 possible to the shape of the target mesh X_{target} . At this point, X_{target} is 129 replaced by $\Psi(T(X_{ref}))$, ensuring that each foot mesh has the same number 130 of vertices ordered in the same fashion (Fig. 1b). This pairwise registration 131 is applied for all N foot shapes in the database to make sure all shapes are 132 in correspondence with each other. 133

134 2.1.2. Procrustes Analysis

Once the N foot shapes have been brought into correspondence, their 135 meshes need to be brought into spatial alignment before statistics can be ac-136 curately performed. We achieve this alignment through a Procrustes Analysis 137 as presented by Stegmann and Gomez [55]. This analysis consists of three 138 steps that estimate the translation, scale, and rotation of one shape that 139 brings it into alignment with another (Fig. 1c). Since the foot scans are 140 obtained in a standing position, we further constrain the translation in the 141 vertical direction to ensure that all 3D foot meshes remain aligned to the 142 ground plane. 143

For the personalized analysis step of our pipeline, a single Procrustes 144 Analysis is sufficient to bring the individual's 3D foot mesh into alignment 145 with the SSM. However, when building the SSM, all 3D foot meshes need 146 to be superimposed. We accomplish this task by performing a Generalized 147 Procrustes Analysis [55]. In a Generalized Procrustes Analysis, a single 3D 148 foot mesh is chosen as a target and the remaining N-1 meshes are aligned to 140 it using the traditional Procrustes Analysis. An initial estimate of the mean 150 shape is then obtained. This mean shape is then chosen as the target mesh 151 and the process repeats itself until no further changes in the mean shape are 152 seen. Further details can be found in [55]. 153

The shape correspondence and alignment procedures above are followed for each individual. In the case of the model building task, the shape correspondence is iterated together with Generalized Procrustes Alignment in order to avoid any bias from the choice of X_{ref} . In each iteration, the population mean calculated from the previous iteration is used as the reference foot mesh [56]. Convergence is reached if the average distance between corresponding points on the reference mesh from the previous iteration and the reference mesh from the current iteration is less than $\varepsilon = 0.001$ mm.

162 2.2. Model building

From our set of N aligned 3D healthy foot scans, we built a 3D statistical 163 shape model using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) [57]. This SSM is 164 then combined with a multivariate linear regression to fine tune the SSM 165 based on different covariates (such as age, shoe size, BMI, etc.). Using this 166 fine-tuned model, a maximum-likelihood prediction of one's foot shape can 167 be obtained. Then, residuals are calculated between these predicted surfaces 168 and the aligned, measured, foot surfaces. These model-building steps are 169 summarized in Fig. 2. 170

171 2.2.1. Principal Component Analysis

Once all foot scans have been brought into correspondence and aligned to 172 an unbiased reference, a statistical shape model is built from the population. 173 Let N be the number of 3D foot shapes in our healthy population, with every 174 shape consisting of n vertices in 3D. This population is represented by N-1175 dimensional cloud within 3n-space, where each point represents a foot shape. 176 Principal component analysis (PCA) is then used to represent this cloud 177 by a mean shape and N-1 eigenmode vectors, where the first eigenmode 178 describes the largest variance in the population, the second eigenmode the 179 second largest variance orthogonal to the first, etc. The resulting statistical 180 shape model consists of the mean shape $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}$ and the main shape modes: 181 the principal components (PC) $\boldsymbol{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{3n \times (N-1)}$. Under this PCA model 182 representation, a new shape $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}$ can be formed by a linear combination 183 of the PCs: 184

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}} + \boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{b},\tag{2}$$

with $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1)}$ being the PC weight vector mapping the shape to the statistical model parameters [58]. In the context of our work, $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is the average foot shape, the principal components \boldsymbol{P} can be interpreted as a set of deformations, and the PC weights, \boldsymbol{b} , are computed to weight each deformation such that the average foot shape gets warped into the specific foot shape \boldsymbol{y} (see the upper-right, yellow, box in Fig. 2)



Figure 2: Model building. a) Once all feet are brought into correspondence and aligned (blue box), a 3D foot SSM is built using Principal Component Analysis. b) Metadata is combined with the 3D SSM to develop a tunable shape model (yellow box) c) Residuals for each vertex are computed between every aligned foot and its corresponding SSM prediction (red box).

¹⁹¹ 2.2.2. Incorporation of subject characteristics

While PCA allows us to build a 3D SSM, it has no natural way to han-192 dle covariates that can impact foot shape (e.g. weight, sex, shoe size). 193 To account for these covariates, we link them to the SSM using multi-194 variate multiple linear regression [59]Suppose we have a covariate vector 195 $\boldsymbol{f} = [f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_k, 1]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ that contains information of an individual's 196 age, shoe size, etc. as well as a 1 at its end to allow for a constant offset in 197 regression. We can define the relationship between this covariate vector and 198 the PCA weight vector $\boldsymbol{b}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ of each shape \boldsymbol{X}_i from the dataset using a 199 linear model. A mapping matrix $\boldsymbol{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1) \times (k+1)}$, describing the relation-200 ship between the PCA weight matrix $\boldsymbol{B} = [\boldsymbol{b}_1, \boldsymbol{b}_2, \cdots, \boldsymbol{b}_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1) \times N}$ and 201

202 the feature matrix $\boldsymbol{F} = [\boldsymbol{f}_1, \boldsymbol{f}_2, \cdots, \boldsymbol{f}_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1) \times N}$ is calculated by

$$M = BF^+, (3)$$

where F^+ is the pseudoinverse of F [60]. With this mapping matrix, a new PC weight vector b can be generated from given features f as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{f}.\tag{4}$$

Through this linear regression, we link the shape deformations represented by the principal components P to the demographic characteristics of the individual. In this way, the matrix M effectively captures how much each demographic feature influences the foot shape.By substituting Eq. (4) into Eq. (2), we obtain the statistical shape model which incorporates the shape variation influenced by an individual's covariates:

$$y = \bar{x} + PMf. \tag{5}$$

By providing an individual's demographic characteristics, the most plausible corresponding healthy foot shape can then be simulated using Eq. (5).

213 2.2.3. Residual calculation

Our SSM defined by Eq. (5) provides us with a model of the foot shape as a whole. To further localize our subsequent analysis, we augment our SSM with residual distributions at each mesh vertex. For each 3D mesh used in building our model, we calculated residuals between it and the corresponding foot shape prediction given by Eq. (5). Each vertex thus obtains the residual vector \boldsymbol{r} :

$$\boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{r}} - \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{p}},\tag{6}$$

where v_r is the vertex of the measured foot mesh and v_p is the corresponding vertex of the predicted foot mesh.

Since the variations in vertex position along tangential directions do not induce shape variations, and since we are only interested in shape variations, we further restrict our analysis to variations in vertex position along the direction normal to the foot surface. For this reason, the vector \boldsymbol{r} is projected onto the vertex normal $\boldsymbol{n_p}$ of the predicted foot mesh as follows:

$$r_n = \boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{n_p},\tag{7}$$

where r_n is the normal component of \boldsymbol{r} [61, 62].

Residuals are calculated using Eq. (7) for each vertex of each 3D mesh used in the model-building procedure. Normal distributions are then fit to the residuals at each vertex to summarize local shape variations that are not otherwise captured by the SSM.

232 2.3. Personalized foot shape analysis

To evaluate the 3D foot shape of a new individual, we detect shape anoma-233 lies based on the 3D foot SSM built earlier (Fig. 2). To do this, we first predict 234 the healthy foot shape of the new individual using Eq. (5). Then, we estab-235 lish a correspondence between the predicted foot shape and the individual's 236 foot shape using the algorithm described in Eq. (1). The individual's foot 237 mesh is then brought into alignment with the predicted foot mesh using the 238 Procrustes alignment algorithm described earlier. Finally, we compute, and 239 statistically test, residuals between the aligned mesh and the predicted mesh 240 as described below. The full procedure is displayed in Fig. 3. 241

242 2.3.1. Statistical inference

To identify outliers in 3D foot shape, we performed single-sample t-tests 243 for each residual projection of the test mesh. To achieve this, we computed 244 the residual between each vertex on the individual's aligned foot mesh and 245 its corresponding predicted mesh using Eq. (6). Since we are interested in 246 variations present in the mesh along the direction normal to the foot sur-247 face, we projected vector \boldsymbol{r} onto the surface normal using Eq. (7). Finally, 248 we tested whether there was a significant difference (p < 0.05) for this in-249 dividual's shape residuals by comparing them to the corresponding Normal 250 distributions generated in the model-building. We conducted multiple com-251 parisons correction with False Discovery Rate (FDR) for a given threshold 252 $\alpha = 0.05$ [63]. 253

254 3. Experiments

255 3.1. Dataset

To evaluate our shape analysis technique, we collected 3D optical scans of the feet of 204 Belgian adults: 132 men and 72 women. Participation in the study was entirely voluntary and demographic information (age, BMI, height, weight, and shoe size) was collected for the whole cohort (Table 1). All factors except shoe size were self-reported, while shoe size was measured using a Brannock device. Additional factors such as race and ethnicity were



Figure 3: **Procedure for the personalized analysis of an individual's foot shape.** a) The predicted healthy shape for the individual's foot is obtained using the SSM from the model-building (yellow box) and metadata of the individual b) Residuals for each vertex are computed between the aligned, measured foot shape and its corresponding prediction c) Calculated residuals are compared to residuals obtained in the model-building (red box) using statistical significance tests (green box).

not noted. The Ethics Committee of the Antwerp University Hospital approved the study and all subjects gave their written informed consent before
participating.

The 3D optical scans of the participant's feet were acquired with an Elin-265 vision Tiger 3D laser scanner (rs scan, Paal, Belgium). The accuracy of the 266 3D scanner was 0.3 mm. A total of two scans were made per person: one of 267 the left foot and one of the right foot. Both left and right feet were scanned 268 while standing in a relaxed pose on both feet. Prior to the analysis, the scans 269 of left feet were flipped along the medial-lateral axis so as to orient them in 270 the same fashion as the right feet. Also, all 3D scans were cropped 2.0 cm 271 above the average of the lateral and medial malleolus to decrease the effect 272 of different lower leg poses on subsequent analysis. The obtained 3D meshes 273 were used for further analysis. 274

		Age	Shoe size	Weight	Height	BMI
		[years]	[European	[kg]	[cm]	$\left[\frac{kg}{m^2}\right]$
			(Mondopoint)]			
Model-building	μ	36.5	41.7(265/101)	72.6	176.0	23.4
phase	σ	12.5	2.8(18.3/7.1)	11.4	8.3	3.1
33 females &	min	18	36.0(225/90)	49.0	150.0	17.9
60 males	max	62	47.0(300/114)	100.0	196.0	32.7
Test phase	μ	43.0	41.4(263/100)	77.3	174.8	25.2
	σ	12.8	2.5(18/7)	15.1	9.1	4.3
39 females &	\min	19	36.0(225/90)	47.0	156.0	18.4
72 males	max	68	47.5(304/116)	144.0	198.0	41.6

Table 1: Metadata for the whole cohort, divided between the model-buildingand testing phases

275 3.2. Inclusion-Exclusion Criteria

For evaluation purposes, all individuals were categorized into one of four 276 groups: healthy individuals with a normal foot arch, healthy individuals 277 with a high foot arch, healthy individuals with flat feet, and individuals with 278 hallux valgus. Each of these four groups are described further in Table 2. 279 Individuals were considered healthy if they had no foot or leg complaints 280 at the time of measurement. For the individuals with hallux valgus, we 281 measured the hallux abductus angle (HAA) of each individual using the 3D 282 anatomical annotation approach described in [29]. A foot is considered as 283 having a hallux valgus if its HAA is larger than 14 degrees, a threshold which 284 is in line with the previous study of Menz et al. [41]. These feet were also 285 assessed using the Manchester Scale [5], with the majority of cases being 286 scored as of mild (45.65%) or moderate (47.8%). Only a few severe hallux 287 valgus cases were present (6.55%). 288

To classify individuals based on their foot arch height, we employed the 289 standard approach of thresholding based on the arch index measure of Ca-290 vanaugh and Rodgers [25]. This measure was applied to plantar pressure 291 measurements taken from each participant as they walked at their preferred 292 walking speed. The plantar pressure measurements were collected using an 293 rs scan 2 m Hi-End footscan(R) system (rs scan, Paal, Belgium) with a fre-294 quency of 200 Hz and sensor dimensions of 7.62 $mm \ge 5.08 mm$. A total of 295 10 measurements were collected per foot, then spatiotemporally aligned and 296 averaged using STAPP [64]. The average measurement was then upsampled 297

	AI	НАА	Number of individuals/feet (training)	Number of individuals/feet (testing)	Total number of individuals/feet
High arch	< 0.24	$< 14^{\circ}$	0/0	21/40	21/40
Flat arch	> 0.33	$< 14^{\circ}$	0/0	26/40	26/40
Normal arch	[0.24, 0.33]	$< 14^{\circ}$	93/186	34/40	127/226
Hallux valgus	any	$> 14^{\circ}$	0/0	30/46	30/46

Table 2: Exclusion and inclusion criteria for each group as well as the number of 3D foot meshes used for model-building and testing phases.

The number of feet is not always equal to twice the number of individuals, due to differences in AI and HAA between left and right feet.

to 3 mm x 3 mm to obtain a correct foot geometry from the pressure plate with anisotropic sensor dimensions. The arch index was then calculated from the peak pressure image (i.e. the image that contains the maximum pressure at each pixel over the time of the footstep) and the corresponding foot was classified as high, normal, or flat arch as described by Cavanaugh and Rodgers [25]. Note that the larger the arch index, the flatter the foot.

304 3.3. Experimental setup

To evaluate our technique, we built a model from 93 healthy individuals 305 with a normal foot arch (186 feet). Individuals in the remaining three groups 306 - high arch, flat foot, and hallux valgus - were used for testing purposes. 307 Each test consisted of taking a 3D foot scan from one of the test groups 308 and comparing it to the shape distribution in the SSM. Given the number 309 of scans in our model, and a 5% tolerance of an incorrect test result, we 310 calculated that a comparison with our SSM should be able to detect effects 311 with a Cohen's d value of 0.24. This result corresponds to effects in the 312 small-to-moderate range (0.2 < d < 0.5). 313

In the case of the two arch height groups, we hypothesized that these groups would show abnormalities in similar areas around the midfoot. In the case of hallux valgus patients, we hypothesized that shape abnormalities would appear around the hallux (i.e. big toe) and corresponding metatarsal. Additionally, we set aside 40 foot scans of healthy individuals with a normal foot arch in order to validate that our technique shows no abnormalities for feet similar to those in the model. A further description of the groups used in model building and testing are shown in Table 2.

322 4. Results

For each individual's foot, we tested, with FDR correction, how the foot 323 shape deviates from the healthy population. Fig. 4 shows the examples of 324 6 test subjects (2 subjects per test group) where different regions of shape 325 abnormalities are detected on different subjects. These abnormalities are not 326 only localized in different foot areas for different groups, but the degree of 327 shape abnormality for feet within same group also differs between each other. 328 In addition, we calculated the outlier histograms to test whether areas of 329 abnormal shape deviations were grouping in specific foot regions for the feet 330 within the same clinical group. At each vertex, we counted the percentage 331 of feet that detected the vertex as a shape outlier. These histograms are 332 shown in Fig. 5. When we tested each foot, we noticed that the outliers 333 were grouping in different foot regions depending on the clinical group to 334 which the foot belongs. For 30% of flat feet, we detected the medial side 335 of plantar midfoot and the upper part of the midfoot as the main regions 336 of deviation. For 60% of high arched feet, we detected the lateral plantar 337 midfoot as the main region of deviation. For 55% of feet with hallux valgus, 338 we detected the biggest toe and head of the first metatarsal bone as the main 339 regions of deviation, which are expected regions for this deformity. From the 340 normal arched feet we tested, less than 5% showed outliers and these outliers 341 were not concentrated in any specific region. For each foot, we measured 342 the size of detected regions and compared them to the clinical measures 343 used to define the groups: arch index and hallux abductus angle (HAA). In 344 experiments performed with high arched and flat arched feet, we did not find 345 a significant correlation between arch indexes and the size of outlier regions 346 $(\rho = 0.08, p = 0.61$ for flat, $\rho = 0.18, p = 0.25$ for high arched). However, we 347 found a significant correlation ($\rho = 0.76, p < 0.001$) between HAA and size 348 of the outlier regions for the individuals with hallux valgus feet. Fig. 6 shows 349 the size of the outlier regions within the area of shape deviations typical for 350 hallux valgus deformity. 351



Figure 4: Example results for 6 individuals within our test groups (2 individuals **per group**). The detected outlier regions for the 6 test subjects differ not only across groups but also within groups.

352 5. Discussion

We proposed an expert system for objective and personalized identifica-353 tion of 3D foot shape abnormalities through the use of 3D statistical shape 354 modelling. Our system's user interface centered around easy-to-input subject 355 characteristics (e.g. gender, age), allowing for its use by non-experts. Addi-356 tionally, our system's inference engine relies on established statistical testing 357 procedures, leading to results that are straightforward to interpret. This ap-358 proach further enables the identification of local regions on the individual's 359 foot that significantly deviate from those of a healthy, normal-arched foot. 360

Considering arch height variability, we hypothesized that groups with high arched and flat feet would show abnormalities in similar areas around the midfoot. Our results indeed showed significant shape deviations in the midfoot, but interestingly, these shape deviations differed between flat- and



Figure 5: Histograms of detected outliers (p < 0.05) obtained for: a) 40 high arched feet b) 40 flat feet c) 46 feet with hallux valgus.

high-arched feet. While high-arched feet had outliers concentrated at the 365 lateral plantar midfoot, flat feet showed a decreased concentration of outliers, 366 with abnormalities appearing most prominently at the medial side of the 367 plantar midfoot and at the upper part of the midfoot (Fig. 5). The location 368 of detected regions, along all three dimensions, can be beneficial for footwear 369 manufacturers and can show in which part of the shoe manufacturers should 370 adapt their design to ensure better fitting and more comfortable footwear. 371 For example, the shape deviations found in the plantar midfoot for high-372 arched individuals could suggest shoe insoles be adapted to enable more 373 comfortable footwear for this group. 374

Besides the tests related to arch height, we also tested feet with hallux 375 valgus. We found that the detected shape abnormalities around the hallux 376 and corresponding metatarsal matched our hypothesis. Here, we observed 377 a significant correlation between the hallux abductus angle and the size of 378 the detected regions (Fig. 6). This information can be used to ensure proper 379 footwear width and guarantee that enough space is provided along all three 380 dimensions in the forefoot of a patient's shoe. Given that one of the causes 381 of hallux valgus is poor-fitting footwear, the insights shown by our method 382 could help prevent further development of hallux valgus deformity [41]. 383

Along with the information on how 3D foot shapes deviate for different groups, our method detected and highlighted whether, and where, the individual's foot deviates from a given healthy population. These personal-



Figure 6: A significant correlation was found between the size of detected shape abnormalities (in cm^2) and the HAA for the feet with hallux valgus ($\rho = 0.76, p < 0.001$).

ized foot shape tests showed a variety of abnormal shape regions for the feet 387 within the same clinical group (Fig. 4). This confirms the inter-individual 388 differences found within feet with similar characteristics [38, 39, 40]. These 389 results are particularly striking given that existing expert systems were used 390 to classify the foot scans analyzed in this study [25, 29]. This indicates that 391 the usual foot examination, based on classifying feet into groups, does not 392 provide a complete picture of foot shape variability. Instead, our method 393 for a personalized and objective analysis of 3D foot shapes shows promise 394 in providing a more complete analysis of foot shape, and analysis that could 395 prove useful for the evaluation of foot deformities. 396

In comparison to previous expert systems for foot analysis, our approach also employs statistical techniques, thereby increasing the likelihood that foot care professionals will be able to work in tandem with such a system. In addition, our work expands on existing techniques in two key ways. First, our expert system analyzes the entire 3D foot shape instead of lower-dimensional shape features. This contribution not only simplifies the user interface but also allows the system to produce a more descriptive explanation for why a
foot is identified as abnormally shaped. Second, our expert system incorporates demographic measures such as age and weight, measures that allow us
to fine tune the inference to a particular individual. Previous systems relied
on statistical thresholds that were constant for all individuals, a limitation
that impacts the effect sizes that such systems could identify.

At its heart, our proposed foot shape analysis system effectively per-409 forms outlier detection, and therefore shares similarities with other outlier 410 detection systems in medicine, economics, data mining, and manufactur-411 ing [24, 65]. Traditionally, outlier detection algorithms have followed one 412 of two approaches. The first, and the one used here, is to build a statistical 413 model of what is considered normal. This model can then be compared to us-414 ing established statistical tests in order to find outliers [52, 53, 56]. By taking 415 this approach, our system has a strong theoretical foundation for justifying 416 why an exemplar is an outlier [66]. 417

An alternative approach to outlier detection is model-free and seeks to 418 identify outliers based on their similarity to existing data points [67], specific 419 prototypes [68], or clusters [69]. A strength of these model-free approaches 420 is that they do not require that the data follow a particular statistical distri-421 bution, or that a single normative statistical model be considered. Recently, 422 hierarchical approaches have also been proposed for outlier detection in or-423 der to achieve this same model-free flexibility [70]. In this work, we have 424 attempted to duplicate this flexibility through a regression between the sta-425 tistical model and subject demographics. This regression allows us to main-426 tain a strong statistical foundation for our system while also personalizing 427 the model to some degree to the foot under examination. 428

Overall, our expert system produced results consistent with known foot 429 shape abnormalities while also providing more descriptive and personalized 430 results than previous approaches. Nevertheless, some individuals classified as 431 having an abnormal foot arch or a hallux valgus showed no shape abnormali-432 ties in our system (see Fig. 5 and Fig. 6). These results suggest that there are 433 limitations to this study or its proposed methods. For example, the 3D scans 434 used in testing were initially classified using the established measures of arch 435 index and hallux abductus angle. Since these measures are an incomplete 436 representation of foot shape, it is possible that feet described as abnormal 437 by those measures may not be statistical outliers when considering the shape 438 as a whole. Additionally, the statistical modelling and regression used in our 439 system also has limitations, specifically that the model assumes the data is 440

normally distributed and that the relationship between foot shape and demographics is linear. These limitations may introduce additional variance
into our modelling, thereby reducing its ability to identify foot shape abnormalities. Finally, our choice of demographic features may not be complete.
It may be possible to reduce variance in the model if additional information
like ethnicity [71], leg dominance [72], and footwear choices [73] is included
in the regression.

Despite the advantages of our approach to detect outliers in 3D foot 448 shapes, it also has some practical limitations. Detection of 3D foot deviations 440 requires the input of 3D foot scans and, thus, the availability of an optical 3D 450 scanner. The high cost of a quality 3D scanner is a notable disadvantage for 451 our approach over traditional foot examination methods. The use of existing, 452 cheaper, low-resolution scanners (e.g. Kinect 2, Fuel3D) can be a possible 453 solution. However, our approach would need further evaluation to see if its 454 behaviour changes with the input of lower quality scans. Additionally, the 455 findings presented herein were observed on high resolution scans collected in 456 a standing pose. Many foot deformities have a more noticeable impact on 457 gait than foot shape [74]. As a result of this constraint, foot deformities that 458 affect only foot motion are unlikely to be detected using our framework. It is 459 for this reason that we tested individuals who have feet with hallux valgus, a 460 deformity which is visible on static 3D foot scans. Despite this limitation, we 461 showed the possibility of automatic, objective, and personalized detection of 462 the hallux valgus deformity, as well as subtle foot arch deviations present in 463 healthy foot shape. 464

465 6. Conclusion

In summary, our expert system for assessing 3D foot shape provides an 466 automatic and objective procedure to examine whether, and where, a single 467 foot shape differs from a healthy foot population. We validated our technique 468 on four groups of feet with different known shape deviations and the results 469 generally matched our hypotheses. However, our analysis technique provided 470 additional insights into how arch height influences foot shape as well as cap-471 turing individual variability within each foot group. This information has 472 the potential to be used for various purposes within several biomedical dis-473 ciplines, including facilitation of more objective clinical diagnosis techniques 474 as well as more accurate footwear design. 475

476 6.1. Implications and Future Work

While our proposed expert system showed promising results, these re-477 sults also showed that our proposed system would benefit from additional 478 research. First, we observed that the choice of demographics used to fine 479 tune the statistical model can impact the variance within the model and, 480 in turn, its ability to identify abnormalities. It also influences how well the 481 system generalizes to different individuals. Choosing the right demographic 482 features remains an open research question and is effectively the feature se-483 lection problem commonly seen in other statistical modelling and machine 484 learning problems [75]. Second, the statistical modelling used in our system 485 assumes (a) that foot shapes are normally distributed, and (b) that the rela-486 tionship been demographics and foot shape is a linear one. While our results 487 seem to agree with those assumptions, it remains to be shown whether those 488 assumptions truly hold. Third, the promise seen in our results may be due 480 in part to our use of a high-quality 3D laser scanner to measure foot shape. 490 It is unclear how this measurement quality impacts our expert system. 491

Based on this study, we clearly see four areas in which future work would 492 be beneficial: feature selection, model flexibility, model sensitivity, and model 493 completeness. With respect to feature selection, it would be beneficial to 494 explore what features - demographic, environmental, or otherwise - impact 495 foot shape. The evaluation and choice of such features would depend not only 496 on their ability to reduce model variance, but also on user privacy and ease-of-497 use concerns [76, 77]. With respect to model flexibility, conditional generative 498 adversarial networks [78] and permutation testing [79] may provide model-499 free options for the type of outlier detection we perform here. It remains to 500 be seen if such methods can provide the intuitive explanation of their results 501 that an expert system requires. 502

Additionally, we aim in the future to extend this study to address both 503 model sensitivity and model completeness. With regards to the former point, 504 we intend to evaluate the proposed system on more accessible, but lower 505 quality, 3D optical scanners. Such an extension may require the consideration 506 of mesh denoising [80] or other data enhancement techniques. With regards 507 to the latter point, we further intend to extend this approach to dynamic 4D 508 data [81]. Such an extension could give insights into foot abnormalities that 509 are visible only when an individual is moving. 510

Acknowledgments The authors thank Fien Burg, Philippe Vermaelen,
 and Saartje Duerinck for collecting the data. We would also like to thank
 the study participants for their significant contributions to this study.

Funding This work was supported by the Agency for Innovation by Science and Technology in Flanders (IWT-SB 141520). This research is part of the ICON FOOTWORK project (www.imec-int.com/en/what-weoffer/research-portfolio/footwork) and received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 746614.

520 7. References

521 References

- ⁵²² [1] F. Hawke, J. Burns, Understanding the nature and mechanism of foot ⁵²³ pain, Journal of Foot and Ankle Research 2 (1) (2009).
- [2] A. P. Garrow, A. J. Silman, G. J. Macfarlane, The cheshire foot pain
 and disability survey: a population survey assessing prevalence and associations, Pain 110 (1) (2004) 378–384 (2004).
- [3] C. L. Hill, T. K. Gill, H. B. Menz, A. W. Taylor, Prevalence and correlates of foot pain in a population-based study: the north west adelaide health study, Journal of Foot and Ankle Research 1 (2) (2008).
- [4] S. Nix, B. Vicenzino, N. Collins, M. Smith, Characteristics of foot structure and footwear associated with hallux valgus: a systematic review, Osteoarthritis and cartilage 20 (10) (2012) 1059–1074 (2012).
- [5] A. P. Garrow, A. Papageorgiou, A. J. Silman, E. Thomas, M. I. Jayson,
 G. J. Macfarlane, The grading of hallux valgus. The Manchester Scale,
 Journal of the American Podiatric Medical Association 91 (2) (2001)
 74–78 (2001).
- [6] S. Xiong, R. S. Goonetilleke, C. P. Witana, T. W. Weerasinghe, E. Y. L.
 Au, Foot arch characterization: a review, a new metric, and a comparison, Journal of the American Podiatric Medical Association 100 (1) (2010) 14-24 (2010). doi:100/1/14 [pii].
- [7] C. C. Young, M. W. Niedfeldt, G. A. Morris, K. J. Eerkes, Clinical examination of the foot and ankle, Primary Care: Clinics in Office Practice
 32 (1) (2005) 105–132 (2005).

- [8] B. Ganesan, A. Luximon, A. Al-Jumaily, S. K. Balasankar, G. R. Naik,
 Ponseti method in the management of clubfoot under 2 years of age: A
 systematic review, PLOS One 12 (6) (2017).
- [9] A. Agarwal, A. Rastogi, Anthropometric measurements in Ponseti
 treated clubfeet, SCIOT-J 4 (19) (2018).
- [10] J. A. Dobson, D. L. Riddiford-Harland, A. F. Bell, J. R. Steele, Are
 underground coal miners satisfied with their work boots?, Applied Ergonomics 66 (2018) 98–104 (2018).
- [11] A. P. de Castro, J. R. Rebelatto, T. R. Aurichio, The relationship between foot pain, anthropometric variables and footwear among older
 people, Applied Ergonomics 41 (1) (2010) 93–97 (2010).
- [12] A. S. Rodrigo, R. S. Goonetilleke, C. P. Witana, Model based foot shape
 classification using 2D foot outlines, CAD Computer Aided Design 44 (1)
 (2012) 48–55 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.cad.2011.01.005.
- [13] R. E. Wunderlich, P. R. Cavanagh, External foot shape differences between males and females and among races, in: Pittsburgh: Research
 Paper Presented at 23rd Annual Meeting of the American Society of Biomechanics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, 1999, pp. 68– 69 (1999).
- [14] B. Sarghie, A. Mihai, I. Herghiligiu, E-learning application for 3D modelling of custom shoe lasts using templates, in: The International Scientific Conference eLearning and Software for Education, Vol. 3, " Carol I" National Defence University, 2016, p. 553 (2016).
- ⁵⁶⁷ [15] D. C. Deselnicu, A. M. Vasilescu, A. Mihai, A. A. Purcarea, G. Mili⁵⁶⁸ taru, New products development through customized design based on
 ⁵⁶⁹ customers' needs. part 2: Foot pathology manufacturing parameters,
 ⁵⁷⁰ Procedia Technology 22 (2016) 1059–1065 (2016).
- [16] M. R. Hawes, D. Sovak, M. Miyashita, S. J. Kang, Y. Yoshihuku,
 S. Tanaka, Ethnic differences in forefoot shape and the determination of shoe comfort, in: Ergonomics, Vol. 37, Taylor & Francis, 1994, pp. 187–196 (1994). doi:10.1080/00140139408963637.

- ⁵⁷⁵ [17] B. M. Nigg, M. A. Nurse, D. J. Stehanyshyn, Shoe inserts and orthotics
 ⁵⁷⁶ for sport and physical activities, Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise
 ⁵⁷⁷ 31 (1999) S421–S428 (1999). doi:10.1097/00005768-199907001-00003.
- [18] M. Dohi, M. Mochimaru, M. Kouchi, Foot shape and shoe fitting comfort
 for elderly japanese women, The Japanese Journal of Ergonomics 37 (5)
 (2001) 228–237 (2001).
- [19] M. Mochimaru, M. Kouchi, M. Dohi, Analysis of 3-D human foot
 forms using the free form deformation method and its application
 in grading shoe lasts, Ergonomics 43 (9) (2000) 1301–1313 (2000).
 doi:10.1080/001401300421752.
- [20] L. K. Dahle, M. Mueller, A. Delitto, J. E. Diamond, Visual Assessment
 of Foot Type and Relationship of Foot Type to Lower Extremity Injury,
 Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy 14 (2) (1991) 70–74
 (1991). doi:10.2519/jospt.1991.14.2.70.
- [21] H. B. Menz, M. R. Fotoohabadi, E. Wee, M. J. Spink, Visual categorisation of the arch index: A simplified measure of foot posture in older people, Journal of Foot and Ankle Research 5 (1) (2012) 10 (2012). doi:10.1186/1757-1146-5-10.
- ⁵⁹³ [22] A. C. Redmond, Y. Z. Crane, H. B. Menz, Normative values for the foot ⁵⁹⁴ posture index, Journal of Foot and Ankle research 1 (1) (2008) 6 (2008).
- [23] I. Knippels, T. Saey, I. Van den Herrewegen, M. Broeckx, K. Cuppens,
 L. Peeraer, Comparison of biomechanical foot analyses between nine
 flemish foot-experts, Journal of foot and ankle research 7 (1) (2014)
 A45 (2014).
- [24] S. F. Z. Yuan, X. Zhang, Hybrid data-driven outlier detection based
 on neighborhood information entropy and its developmental measures,
 Expert Systems with Applications 112 (2018) 243–257 (2018).
- [25] P. R. Cavanagh, M. M. Rodgers, The arch index: A useful measure
 from footprints, Journal of Biomechanics 20 (5) (1987) 547–551 (1987).
 doi:10.1016/0021-9290(87)90255-7.

- [26] B. M. Nigg, G. K. Cole, W. Nachbauer, Effects of arch height of the
 foot on angular motion of the lower extremities in running, Journal of
 Biomechanics 26 (8) (1993) 909–916 (1993).
- [27] J. Song, H. J. Hillstrom, D. Secord, J. Levitt, Foot type biomechanics.
 comparison of planus and rectus foot types., Journal of the Americal
 Podiatric Medical Association 86 (1) (1996) 16–23 (1996).
- [28] P. Freychat, A. Belli, J. P. Carret, J. R. Lacour, Relationship between
 rearfoot and forefoot orientation and ground reaction forces during running, Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise 28 (2) (1996) 225–232
 (1996).
- [29] W. Chen, J. Zhou, P. Hlavacek, B. Xu, Approach for measuring the
 angle of hallux valgus, Indian Journal of Orthopaedics 47 (3) (2013) 278
 (2013). doi:10.4103/0019-5413.109875.
- [30] C. Piqué-Vidal, J. Vila, A geometric analysis of hallux valgus: correlation with clinical assessment of severity, Journal of Foot and Ankle Research 2 (15) (2009).
- [31] J. Barton, A. Lees, Development of a connectionist expert system to
 identify foot problems based on under-foot pressure patterns, Clinical
 Biomechanics 10 (7) (1995) 385–391 (1995).
- [32] S. S. A. Naser, A. O. Mahdi, A proposed expert system for foot diseases diagnosis, American Journal of Innovative Research and Applied
 Sciences 2 (4) (2016) 155–168 (2016).
- [33] J. Piecha, The neural network conclusion-making system for foot ab normality recognition, in: Proceedings of IMACS World Congress, Lau sanne, Switzerland, 2000, pp. 1–8 (2000).
- [34] P. R. Cavanagh, E. Morag, A. J. Boulton, M. J. Young, K. T. Deffner,
 S. E. Pammer, The relationship of static foot structure to dynamic foot function, Journal of Biomechanics 30 (3) (1997) 243–250 (1997).
 doi:10.1016/S0021-9290(96)00136-4.
- [35] R. Goonetilleke, Foot sizing beyond the 2-D brannock method, Annual
 Journal of IIE (HK) (1997) 28–31 (1997).

- [36] M. Mauch, S. Grau, I. Krauss, C. Maiwald, T. Horstmann, A new approach to children's footwear based on foot type classification, Ergonomics 52 (8) (2009) 999–1008 (2009).
- [37] S. Xiong, R. S. Goonetilleke, C. P. Witana, E. Y. Lee Au, Modelling
 foot height and foot shape-related dimensions, Ergonomics 51 (8) (2008)
 1272–1289 (2008).
- [38] M. Anderson, M. Blais, W. T. Green, Growth of the normal foot during
 childhood and adolescence. Length of the foot and interrelations of foot,
 stature, and lower extremity as seen in serial records of children between
 1-18 years of age, American journal of physical anthropology 14 (2)
 (1956) 287-308 (1956).
- [39] H. Debrunner, Growth and development of the foot, Ferdinand Enke:
 Stuttgart (1965).
- [40] M. Kouchi, Foot dimensions and foot shape: differences due to growth,
 generation and ethnic origin, Anthropological Science 106 (Supplement)
 (1998) 161–188 (1998).
- [41] H. B. Menz, M. E. Morris, Footwear characteristics and foot problems
 in older people, Gerontology 51 (5) (2005) 346–351 (2005).
- [42] V. Ferrari, F. Jurie, C. Schmid, From Images to Shape Models for Object
 Detection, International Journal of Computer Vision 87 (3) (2010) 284–
 303 (2010). doi:10.1007/s11263-009-0270-9.
- [43] V. Ferrari, F. Jurie, C. Schmid, Accurate object detection with deformable shape models learnt from images, in: Proceedings of the IEEE
 Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, IEEE, 2007, pp. 1–8 (2007). doi:10.1109/CVPR.2007.383043.
- [44] T. Ahmad, C. Taylor, a. Lanitis, T. Cootes, Tracking and recognising
 hand gestures, using statistical shape models, Image and Vision Computing 15 (5) (1997) 345–352 (1997). doi:10.1016/S0262-8856(96)011365.
- [45] S. G. Costafreda, I. D. Dinov, Z. Tu, Y. Shi, C.-Y. Liu, I. Kloszewska,
 P. Mecocci, H. Soininen, M. Tsolaki, B. Vellas, et al., Automated hippocampal shape analysis predicts the onset of dementia in mild cognitive
 impairment, Neuroimage 56 (1) (2011) 212–219 (2011).

- [46] J. Zhang, Y. Gao, Y. Gao, B. C. Munsell, D. Shen, Detecting anatomical
 landmarks for fast alzheimer's disease diagnosis, IEEE transactions on
 medical imaging 35 (12) (2016) 2524–2533 (2016).
- [47] K.-k. Shen, J. Fripp, F. Mériaudeau, G. Chételat, O. Salvado,
 P. Bourgeat, A. D. N. Initiative, et al., Detecting global and local hippocampal shape changes in alzheimer's disease using statistical shape
 models, Neuroimage 59 (3) (2012) 2155–2166 (2012).
- [48] Y. Wang, L. Cao, Z. Bai, M. P. Reed, J. D. Rupp, C. N. Hoff, J. Hu, A parametric ribcage geometry model accounting for variations among the adult population, Journal of Biomechanics 49 (13) (2016) 2791–2798 (2016).
- [49] K. F. Klein, J. Hu, M. P. Reed, C. N. Hoff, J. D. Rupp, Development and validation of statistical models of femur geometry for use with parametric finite element models, Annals of Biomedical Engineering 43 (10) (2015) 2503-2514 (2015).
- [50] M. D. Harris, M. Datar, R. T. Whitaker, E. R. Jurrus, C. L. Peters,
 A. E. Anderson, Statistical shape modeling of cam femoroacetabular
 impingement, Journal of Orthopaedic Research 31 (10) (2013) 1620–
 1626 (2013).
- [51] V. Khanduja, N. Baelde, A. Dobbelaere, J. Van Houcke, H. Li, C. Pattyn, E. A. Audenaert, Patient-specific assessment of dysmorphism of the femoral head-neck junction: a statistical shape model approach, The International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery 12 (4) (2016) 765-772 (2016).
- [52] B. G. Booth, S. P. Miller, C. J. Brown, K. J. Poskitt, V. Chau, R. E.
 Grunau, A. R. Synnes, G. Hamarneh, STEAM-—statistical template
 estimation for abnormality mapping: A personalized DTI analysis technique with applications to the screening of preterm infants, NeuroImage
 125 (2016) 705–723 (2016).
- [53] J. J. Bazarian, T. Zhu, B. Blyth, A. Borrino, J. Zhong, Subject-specific changes in brain white matter on diffusion tensor imaging after sports-related concussion, Magnetic Resonance Imaging 30 (2) (2012) 171–180 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.mri.2011.10.001.

- F. Danckaers, T. Huysmans, D. Lacko, A. Ledda, S. Verwulgent, S. Van Dongen, J. Sijbers, Correspondence preserving elastic surface registration with shape model prior, in: Proceedings - International Conference on Pattern Recognition, IEEE, 2014, pp. 2143–2148 (2014). doi:10.1109/ICPR.2014.373.
- [55] M. B. Stegmann, D. D. Gomez, A brief introduction to statistical shape
 analysis, Informatics and mathematical modelling, Technical University
 of Denmark, DTU 15 (11) (2002).
- [56] K. Stanković, F. Danckaers, B. G. Booth, F. Burg, S. Duerinck, J. Sijbers, T. Huysmans, Foot Abnormality Mapping using Statistical Shape
 Modelling, in: Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on 3D
 Body Scanning Technologies, Lugano, Switzerland, 30 Nov.-1 Dec. 2016,
 2016, pp. 70–79 (2016). doi:10.15221/16.070.
- [57] K. Stanković, B. G. Booth, F. Danckaers, F. Burg, P. Vermaelen,
 S. Duerinck, J. Sijbers, T. Huysmans, Three-dimensional quantitative analysis of healthy foot shape: A proof of concept study, Journal of Foot and Ankle Research 11 (1) (2018) 8 (2018). doi:10.1186/s13047-018-0251-8.
- [58] J. Shlens, A tutorial on principal component analysis, arXiv preprint
 1404.1100 (2014).
- [59] P. Dattalo, Analysis of Multiple Dependent Variables, Oxford Scholarship Online, 2013, Ch. 4: Multivariate Multiple Regression, pp. 87–108
 (2013).
- [60] F. Danckaers, T. Huysmans, D. Lacko, J. Sijbers, Evaluation of 3d body
 shape predictions based on features, in: Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on 3D Body Scanning Technologies, Lugano, Switzerland, 2015, pp. 27–28 (2015).
- [61] G. Henri, Continuous Shading of Curved Surfaces, IEEE Transac tions on Computers 100 (6) (1971) 623–629 (1971). doi:10.1109/T C.1971.223313.
- [62] A. Glassner, Building vertex normals from an unstructured polygon list,
 in: Graphics Gems, Elsevier, 1994, pp. 60–73 (1994).

- [63] J. D. Storey, False discovery rate, in: International encyclopedia of sta tistical science, Springer, 2011, pp. 504–508 (2011).
- [64] B. G. Booth, N. L. Keijsers, J. Sijbers, T. Huysmans, Stapp: Spatiotemporal analysis of plantar pressure measurements using statistical parametric mapping, Gait & posture 63 (2018) 268–275 (2018).
- [65] R. Domingues, M. Filippone, P. Michiardi, J. Zouaoui, A comparative
 evaluation of outlier detection algorithms: Experiments and analyses,
 Pattern Recognition 74 (2018) 406–421 (2018).
- ⁷⁴² [66] M. Bouguessa, A practical outlier detection approach for mixed⁷⁴³ attribute data, Expert Systems with Applications 42 (22) (2015) 8637⁷⁴⁴ 8649 (2015).
- [67] M. M. Breunig, H.-P. Kriegel, R. T. Ng, J. Sander, LOF: identifying
 density-based local outliers, ACM sigmoid record 29 (2) (2000) 93–104
 (2000).
- [68] E. M. Knorr, R. T. Ng, V. Tucakov, Distance-based outliers: Algorithms
 and applications, The International Journal on Very Large Data Bases
 8 (3-4) (2000) 237–253 (2000).
- [69] L. Duan, L. Xu, Y. Liu, J. Lee, Cluster-based outlier detection, Annals
 of Operations Research 168 (1) (2009) 151–168 (2009).
- [70] R. J. Campello, D. Moulavi, A. Zimek, J. Sander, Hierarchical density
 estimates for data clustering, visualization, and outlier detection, ACM
 Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data (TKDD) 10 (1) (2015).
- [71] M. Razeghi, M. E. Batt, Foot type classification: a critical review of
 current methods, Gait & posture 15 (3) (2002) 282–291 (2002).
- [72] M. Peters, Footedness: asymmetries in foot preference and skill and
 neuropsychological assessment of foot movement, Psychological Bulletin
 103 (2) (1988) 179 (1988).
- [73] K. D'Août, T. C. Pataky, D. D. Clercq, P. Aerts, The effects of habitual footwear use: foot shape and function in native barefoot walkers,
 Footwear Science 1 (2) (2009) 81–94 (2009).

- [74] A. Leardini, M. G. Benedetti, L. Berti, D. Bettinelli, R. Nativo,
 S. Giannini, Rear-foot, mid-foot and fore-foot motion during the
 stance phase of gait, Gait and Posture 25 (3) (2007) 453-462 (2007).
 arXiv:arXiv:0902.0325, doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2006.05.017.
- [75] H. Liu, H. Motoda (Eds.), Computational methods of feature selection,
 CRC Press, 2007 (2007).
- [76] J. Golbeck, User privacy concerns with common data used in recommender systems, in: Proceedings of International Conference on Social Informatics, 2016, pp. 468–480 (2016).
- [77] K. J. Gorgolewski, F. Alfaro-Almagro, T. Auer, P. Bellec, M. Capota,
 M. M. Chakravarty, N. W. Churchill, et al., BIDS apps: Improving ease
 of use, accessibility, and reproducibility of neuroimaging data analysis
 methods, PLoS computational biology 13 (3) (2017).
- [78] M. Mirza, S. Osindero, Conditional generative adversarial nets, arXiv
 preprint 1411.1784 (2014).
- [79] D. S. Collingridge, A primer on quantitized data analysis and permutation testing, Journal of Mixed Methods Research 7 (1) (2013) 81–97 (2013).
- [80] X. Sun, P. L. Rosin, R. Martin, F. Langbein, Fast and effective featurepreserving mesh denoising, IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics 13 (5) (2007) 925–938 (2007).
- [81] A. Boppana, A. P. Anderson, Dynamo: Dynamic body shape and motion capture with intel realsense cameras, Journal of Open Source Software 4 (41) (2019) 1466 (2019).