

Unfolding Quality

de Vries, H.J.

10.1007/978-3-030-58096-4

Publication date

Document Version Final published version

Published in

The Emergence Paradign in Quality Management

Citation (APA)

de Vries, H. J. (2021). Unfolding Quality. In T. Hardjono, & E. van Kemenade (Eds.), *The Emergence Paradign in Quality Management* (pp. 130-131). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58096-4

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright
Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

10.3 Unfolding Quality (Prof. dr. ir. Henk J. de Vries³)

shoulders and understand more about quality. variety of things under the four paradigms. Below, I want to try to stand on their admirable attempt to obtain a grip on quality. Whether or not this attempt has been that the theme still has his attention. Together with Van Kemenade, he makes an catching mumsels. They do not exist, but you still try to catch them. This book shows fully successful yet remains to be seen, as it may be all too easy to classify a colorful In his inaugural lecture, Hardjono (1999) compared attempts at defining quality to

numerical aspect to the aspect of faith. The aspects are not reducible to each other, coincide with the fifteen distinctive aspects of Christian philosophy ranging from the is meant. Then quality is a multi-dimensional concept, in which the dimensions Each aspect is a window to observe reality. This is exactly what Hardjono and Van appears in this book, but I saw it in a broader sense: aligning with how something Kemenade have to say about paradigms. Earlier I defined quality as fitness for purpose (de Vries, 1999). That term also

religious aspects. from technological to (also) economic to (also) aesthetic to (also) ethical to (also) people, organizations, and society. And in the attention for more aspects—ranging in the breadth of entities to which quality applies; i.e. products, services, processes, principle, while a rich variation may be possible. This process of opening up is visible provided in creation may be unfolded by man. He extracts what is already present in Another concept of Christian philosophy is unfolding. That which has been

even be going in the opposite directions. Hardjono shows something similar in his not measured is excluded. In that case, quality control and quality improvement may deviating from the "fitness for purpose". four-phase model. The Christian tradition uses the concept sin-missing the target management, helps to understand reality, but may also be misleading: that which is of a hazy mirror" (1 Corinthians 13:12). Measuring, which is so common in quality So our understanding of reality is limited—"Now we are looking in a reflection

systems; the system world may rule over the "living world". This may be avoided by taking into account different aspects in a balanced way (de Vries, 1999; De Vries & fine, yet contains the risk of derailment. Man can be mangled in anonymous control thereof. Humanity has the divine command to rule creation (Genesis 2). This is also management according to PDCA. Measuring is fine, provided we see the relativity emphasis is on the given reality and getting to know this by measurements, and by In what Hardjono and Van Kemenade refer to as the Empirical Paradigm, the

met. In this case, there is unfolding respectively toward the social and legal aspects In the Reference Paradigm another party will determine the requirements to be

which they are, and indeed, confusion is partially inescapable, not only due to the multi-sidedness, but also due to the reflection in the hazy mirror. Paradigm reveals more aspects and that is confusing. My previous booklet shows The Reflective Paradigm adds disclosure to the psychic aspect. The Emergence

aspects lumped together. It is better to base the distinction of a parallel disclosure of: themselves are an example of unfolding. Yet they are incomplete. There are too many In short, the four paradigms described in the book in their entirety in and of

- (1) Entities (from product to society),
- Types of "requirements" for those entities (related to one or more of all fifteen
- Establishment of such "requirements" (with fewer or more different parties).
- Status of such requirements (from voluntary to imposed).
- £ @ Manners of measuring of meeting the requirements,
- 6 Forms of control,
- Forms of improvement,
- Models that may be helpful for this

Failed disclosure is sin, which requires forgiveness and restoration. and related to this from mono to multi-cultural. This in turn evokes the question of the the opportunities embedded in creation may be disclosed in many different ways intrinsic, the authentic. Which again relates to disclosure, since it is multi-faceted, Moreover, there is a broadening in the geographical sense (from local to global),

shape, and who guides the organization in this respect. quality of products, processes, persons, and the organization in its entirety may gain its context, as well as the organization's direction, who knows in which way the The quality expert is the professional who, given the organizational situation,

10.4 A Philosophical and Scientific School in Quality Science (Ben van Schijndel⁴)

in which Thomas Kuhn in his Structure of Scientific Revolutions was looking to something is missing. The book does not have another undeniable vision of quality. world of quality in different ways, we are inspired to improve this world. And yet wrong with the philosophical school. With its paradigms that allow us to view the of this, in my opinion, belong to the philosophical school of quality. There is nothing originated from viewing quality from a philosophical perspective. The proponents perspective, rooted and inspired by the physical sciences. The same physical sciences In my opinion this is the experiencing and studying of quality from a scientific Let me get straight to the point. The book Thinking About Quality in Four Paradigms

of Management, Erasmus University, and Visiting Professor at the Faculty of Technology, Policy and Management at Delft University of Technology. E-mail: hvries@rsm.nl, https://www.rsm.nl/ people/henk-de-vries/ ³Prof. dr. ir. Henk J. de Vries is Professor of Standardisation Management at the Rotterdam School

⁴Dr. Ben van Schijndel is a retired senior quality policy staff member of HU University of applied Sciences Utrecht. E-mail: vanschijndel.ben@gmail.com Utrecht