

Study of the boundary value problems for nonlinear wave equations on domains with a complex structure of the boundary and prehistory

Marynets, Vasyl; Marynets, Kateryna; Kohutych, Oksana

DOI

[10.3390/math9161888](https://doi.org/10.3390/math9161888)

Publication date

2021

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Mathematics

Citation (APA)

Marynets, V., Marynets, K., & Kohutych, O. (2021). Study of the boundary value problems for nonlinear wave equations on domains with a complex structure of the boundary and prehistory. *Mathematics*, *9*(16), 1-12. Article 1888. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9161888>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Article

Study of the Boundary Value Problems for Nonlinear Wave Equations on Domains with a Complex Structure of the Boundary and Prehistory

Vasyl Marynets ^{1,†} , Kateryna Marynets ^{2,*,†}  and Oksana Kohutych ^{1,†} 

¹ Faculty of Mathematics and Digital Technologies, Uzhhorod National University, Universytetska St. 14, 88000 Uzhhorod, Ukraine; vasyly.marynets@uzhnu.edu.ua (V.M.); oksanakogutych97@gmail.com (O.K.)

² Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics, EEMCS, Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 4, 2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands

* Correspondence: K.Marynets@tudelft.nl

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: We study a boundary value problem for nonlinear partial differential equations of the hyperbolic type on the plain in a domain with a complex boundary. To find the missing data for the given boundary constraints, we solve a supplementary nonlinear problem. For the approximation of solutions, one constructive method is built.

Keywords: nonlinear wave equation; two-sided method; boundary value problem with prehistory; “free” curves



Citation: Marynets, V.; Marynets, K.; Kohutych, O. Study of the Boundary Value Problems for Nonlinear Wave Equations on Domains with a Complex Structure of the Boundary and Prehistory. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1888. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9161888>

Academic Editors: Brenno Caetano Troca Cabella and Carlo Cattani

Received: 5 July 2021

Accepted: 7 August 2021

Published: 9 August 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The study of processes of a different nature (e.g., gas sorption, drying by the air flow, pipes heating by a stream of hot water, etc.) often leads to boundary value problems (for short, BVPs) for nonlinear differential equations of the hyperbolic type on the plane, defined in the domains with a complex structure of the boundary. The general problem setting of such BVPs was first introduced by Collatz (see discussions in [1]). The author suggests splitting the given domain D by characteristics onto subdomains $D_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ and the consecutive solution of the classical Cauchy, Darboux, and Goursat problems on each of these subdomains. Since it is not possible to find the exact solution of the given nonlinear problem, every following BVP will contain errors in their outcome data. At the same time, it is unknown how these errors will influence the end result. This leads to the significant disadvantage of the approach, suggested by Collatz.

On the other hand, there are some recent results, devoted to constructive methods of investigation and approximate solution of such BVPs with continuous and discontinuous right-hand sides in the nonlinear differential equations (see discussions in [2–6]). In these papers, the studied problem is reduced to the equivalent system of nonlinear integral equations. It allows us to approximately solve the system by the constructed iterative methods, where at every iteration step, one gets a solution of the studied BVP in the given domain with a pre-defined precision. This eliminates the aforementioned disadvantage of Collatz's approach.

Note that in [2–6], the authors studied problems where the initial data (i.e., the boundary conditions) are known. However, there are processes dependent on prehistory, which means that in the mathematical model, not all of the income data are defined. In this case, one has to investigate an additional BVP describing this prehistory. A classical example of such problems can be the mathematical model describing exploitation of the already used respirator. Even though the model of the previous usage of the respirator is given, the gas concentration in the sorbent (due to its exploitation) is unknown, and thus, is defined as a prehistory.

To our best knowledge, these types of problems are not studied in the literature. This explains the motivation of our research, of which results we present in the current paper.

2. Problem Setting

On the phase plain xOt , let us define a domain $D = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3$ (see Figure 1), where

$$D_1 = \{(t, x) | x \in (x_1, x_2], t \in (g_1(x), t_1]\},$$

$$D_2 = \{(t, x) | x \in [x_0, x_1], t \in (g_2(x), t_2]\},$$

$$D_3 = \{(t, x) | x \in (x_1, x_2], t \in (t_1, t_2]\},$$

$t = g_i(x)$ if $x = k_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2$ are the “free” curves and

$$g'_1(x) > 0, g'_2(x) < 0,$$

$$g_1(x_i) = t_{i-1}, g_2(x_j) = t_{2-j},$$

for $j = 0, 1, x_0 < x_1 < x_2, t_0 < t_1 < t_2$.




Figure 1. Domain $D = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3$.

Problem 1. In the space of functions

$$C^*(\bar{D}_2 \cup \bar{D}_3) := C^{(1,1)}(D_2 \cup D_3) \cap C(\bar{D}_2 \cup \bar{D}_3)$$

find the solution of the wave equation

$$L_{a_i}^{(1,1)} u(t, x) = f(t, x, u(t, x)) := f[u(t, x)], \tag{1}$$

$$L_{a_i}^{(1,1)} u(t, x) := D^{(1,1)} u(t, x) + a_1(t, x) D^{(0,1)} u(t, x) + a_2(t, x) D^{(1,0)} u(t, x), \tag{2}$$

which satisfies the conditions:

$$u(g_2(x), x) = \psi_2(x), u(t_2, x) = \varphi(x), x \in [x_0, x_1], \tag{3}$$

$$u(t_1, x) = v(t_1, x), x \in [x_1, x_2]. \tag{4}$$

Here, function $v(t, x) \in C^*(\bar{D}_1)$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$L_{b_i}^{(1.1)}v(t, x) = \omega(t, x, v(t, x)) := \omega[v(t, x)], \tag{5}$$

satisfying constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x_1) &= \mu(t), \quad t \in [g_1(x), t_1], \\ v(g_1(x), x) &= \psi_1(x), \quad x \in [x_1, x_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Moreover, for the aforementioned problems conditions, we hold:

$$\psi_2(x_0) = \varphi(x_0), \quad \psi_2(x_1) = \mu(t_1), \quad \mu(t_0) = \psi_1(x_1). \tag{7}$$

From here on, we assume that

$$\begin{aligned} f[u(t, x)] &\in C(\bar{B}_{2,2}), f : \bar{B}_{2,2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ \bar{B}_{2,2} \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \Pi_{\mathbb{P}_{xO_t}}\bar{B}_{2,2} &= \bar{D}_2 \cup \bar{D}_3 := \bar{D}_4, \\ \omega[v(t, x)] &\in C(\bar{B}_{1,2}), \omega : \bar{B}_{1,2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ \bar{B}_{1,2} \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \Pi_{\mathbb{P}_{xO_t}}\bar{B}_{1,2} &= \bar{D}_1, \\ a_1(t, x) &\in C^{(0.1)}(D_4), \quad a_2(t, x) \in C^{(1.0)}(D_4), \\ b_1(t, x) &\in C^{(0.1)}(D_1), \quad b_2(t, x) \in C^{(1.0)}(D_1). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, let equalities

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(0.1)}a_1(t, x) &= D^{(1.0)}a_2(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in D_4, \\ D^{(0.1)}b_1(t, x) &= D^{(1.0)}b_2(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in D_1, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

hold, and the given functions $\varphi(x), \psi_2(x), \psi_1(x)$ and $\mu(t)$ are such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x), \psi_2(x) &\in C^1(x_0, x_1), \\ \psi_1(x) &\in C^1(x_1, x_2), \\ \mu(t) &\in C^1(t_0, t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the solution of the BVP (1)–(4), (7) $u(t, x) = u_s(t, x), (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, s = 2, 3$, where $u_2(t, x)$ is a solution of the Darboux problem (1), (3) for $(t, x) \in \bar{D}_2$ and $u_3(t, x)$ is a solution of the Goursat problem for the differential Equation (1) with restrictions on the characteristics $t = t_1$ and $x = x_1$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_3(t_1, x) &= v(t_1, x), \quad x \in [x_1, x_2], \\ u_3(t, x_1) &= u_2(t, x_1), \quad t \in [t_1, t_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Furthermore, due to condition (7), an equality

$$v(t_1, x_1) = u_2(t_1, x_1)$$

is true.

3. Auxiliary Statements

It is easy to show that the lemma holds.

Lemma 1. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} f[u(t, x)] &\in C(\bar{B}_{2,2}), \\ \omega[v(t, x)] &\in C(\bar{B}_{1,2}), \end{aligned}$$

$$a_1(t, x) \in C^{(0,1)}(D_4), a_2(t, x) \in C^{(1,0)}(\bar{D}_4),$$

$$b_1(t, x) \in C^{(0,1)}(D_1), b_2(t, x) \in C^{(1,0)}(D_1)$$

and condition (8) hold.

Then, the BVP (1)–(7) is equivalent to the following system of integral equations

$$u_s(t, x) = \Phi_s(t, x) + \varepsilon_s \{ T_{1,3}F_1[u_1(\eta, \xi)] + T_{2,3}F_2[u_2(\eta, \xi)] \} + T_s F_s[u_s(\eta, \xi)], \quad (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, \quad s = 1, 2, 3, \quad \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 0, \quad \varepsilon_3 = 1, \quad (10)$$

where

$$v(t, x) := u_1(t, x),$$

$$F_1[u_1(t, x)] := \omega[u_1(t, x)] + [D^{(1,0)}b_2(t, x) + b_1(t, x)b_2(t, x)]u_1(t, x),$$

$$\Phi_1(t, x) := \mu(t) \exp\left(\int_x^{x_1} b_2(t, \xi) d\xi\right) + \left[\psi_1(x) - \mu(g_1(x)) \exp\left(\int_x^{x_1} b_2(g_1(x), \xi) d\xi\right)\right] \exp\left(\int_t^{g_1(x)} b_1(\eta, x) d\eta\right),$$

$$T_1 F_1[u_1(\eta, \xi)] := \int_{x_1}^x \int_{g_1(x)}^t F_1[u_1(\eta, \xi)] K_1(x, t; \xi, \eta) d\eta d\xi,$$

$$K_1(x, t; \xi, \eta) := \exp\left(\int_t^\eta b_1(\tau, x) d\tau + \int_x^\xi b_2(\eta, \zeta) d\zeta\right),$$

$$\Phi_2(t, x) := \varphi(x) \exp\left(\int_t^{t_2} a_1(\eta, x) d\eta\right) + \left[\psi_2(k_2(t)) - \varphi(k_2(t)) \exp\left(\int_t^{t_2} a_1(\eta, k_2(t)) d\eta\right)\right] \exp\left(\int_x^{k_2(t)} a_2(t, \xi) d\xi\right),$$

$$K(x, t; \xi, \eta) := \exp\left(\int_x^\xi a_2(\eta, \zeta) d\zeta + \int_t^\eta a_1(\tau, x) d\tau\right),$$

$$F_s[u_s(t, x)] := f[u_s(t, x)] + [D^{(0,1)}a_1(t, x) + a_1(t, x)a_2(t, x)]u_s(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, \quad s = 2, 3,$$

$$T_2 F_2[u_2(\eta, \xi)] := \int_{t_2}^t \int_{k_2(t)}^x K(x, t; \xi, \eta) F[u_2(\xi, \eta)] d\xi d\eta,$$

$$\Phi_3(t, x) := \left[\psi_2(k_2(t)) - \varphi(k_2(t)) \exp\left(\int_t^{t_2} a_1(\eta, k_2(t)) d\eta\right)\right] \exp\left(\int_x^{k_2(t)} a_2(t, \xi) d\xi\right) + \left[\varphi(x_1) \exp\left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} a_1(\eta, x_1) d\eta\right) - \psi_2(x_1)\right] K(x, t; x_1, t_1) + \mu(t_1) \exp\left(\int_x^{x_1} b_2(t_1, \xi) d\xi + \int_t^{t_1} a_1(\eta, x) d\eta\right) + \left[\psi_1(x) - \mu(g_1(x)) \exp\left(\int_x^{x_1} b_2(g_1(x), \xi) d\xi\right)\right] \exp\left(\int_t^{g_1(x)} b_1(\eta, x) d\eta + \int_t^{t_1} a_1(\eta, x) d\eta\right),$$

$$T_3 F_3[u_3(\eta, \xi)] := \int_{t_1}^t \int_{x_1}^x K(x, t; \xi, \eta) F_3[u_3(\eta, \xi)] d\xi d\eta,$$

$$T_{1,3} F_1[u_1(\eta, \xi)] := \int_{x_1}^x \int_{g_1(x)}^{t_1} F_1[u_1(\eta, \xi)] K_1(x, t_1; \xi, \eta) d\eta d\xi \exp\left(\int_t^{t_1} a_1(\eta, x) d\eta\right),$$

$$T_{2,3} F_2[u_2(\eta, \xi)] := \int_{t_2}^t \int_{k_2(t)}^{x_1} K(x, t; \xi, \eta) F_2[u_2(\eta, \xi)] d\xi d\eta.$$

Note, that from conditions (9) follows that an inequality

$$D^{(1.0)}[u_2(t, x_1) - u_3(t, x_1)] = 0$$

is true. But

$$D^{(0.1)}[u_2(t, x_1) - u_3(t, x_1)] = [D^{(0.1)}u_2(t_1, x_1) - u_1(t_1, x_1)] \exp\left(\int_t^{t_1} a_1(\eta, x_1)d\eta\right) := \rho(t). \quad (11)$$

Thus, a lemma holds.

Lemma 2. *Let conditions of Lemma 1 hold and the BVP (1)–(7) has a solution. Then it is defined on the space $C^*(\bar{D}_4)$ (i.e., it is regular), if*

$$D^{(0.1)}[u_2(t_1, x_1) - u_1(t_1, x_1)] = 0.$$

Otherwise, based on (11), $\rho(t) \neq 0$ and solution of the problem (1)–(7) is irregular.

4. Constructive Method of Investigation and Approximation of Solutions

Let us establish sufficient conditions of the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the system of integral Equation (10). For this purpose, let us introduce a space of functions $C_2(\bar{B}_s)$.

Definition 1. *We say that functions*

$$F_s[u_s(t, x)] \in C_2(\bar{B}_s), F_s : \bar{B}_s \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$\bar{B}_s \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \Pi p_{xOt} \bar{B}_s = \bar{D}_s, s = 1, 2, 3,$$

if they satisfy conditions [7]:

1. $F_s[u_s(t, x)] \in C(\bar{B}_s), s = 1, 2, 3;$
2. *in the space of functions $C(\bar{B}_{s,1}), \bar{B}_{s,1} \subset \mathbb{R}^4, \Pi p_{xOt} \bar{B}_{s,1} = \bar{D}_s, s = 1, 2, 3$ there exist functions $H_s(t, x, u_s(t, x); v_s(t, x)) \equiv H_s[u_s(t, x); v_s(t, x)]$, such that:*

- $H_s[u_s[t, x]; u_s(t, x)] \equiv F_s[u_s(t, x)];$
- *for any pair of continuous functions $u_s(t, x), v_s(t, x) \in \bar{B}_{s,1}$ satisfying condition $u_s(t, x) \geq v_s(t, x), (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s$, in the domain $\bar{B}_{s,1}$ the inequalities*

$$H_s[u_s[t, x]; v_s(t, x)] - H_s[v_s[t, x]; u_s(t, x)] \geq 0, \quad (12)$$

hold;

- *functions $H_s[u_s[t, x]; v_s(t, x)]$ in the domain $\bar{B}_{s,1}$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition, that is, for any two arbitrary pairs of continuous functions $u_{s,r}(t, x), v_{s,r}(t, x) \in \bar{B}_{s,1}, r = 1, 2$ conditions are true:*

$$|H_s[u_{s,1}(t, x); u_{s,2}(t, x)] - H_s[v_{s,1}(t, x); v_{s,2}(t, x)]| \leq L_s(|W_{s,1}(t, x)| + |W_{s,2}(t, x)|),$$

where $W_{s,r}(t, x) = u_{s,r}(t, x) - v_{s,r}(t, x), r = 1, 2$ and L_s are the Lipschitz constants, $s = 1, 2, 3$.

Remark 1. *It is easy to prove that if functions $F_s[u_s(t, x)] \in C(\bar{B}_s)$ have the bounded first-order partial derivatives with respect to $u_s(t, x)$, then they always belong to the space $C_2(\bar{B}_s), s = 1, 2, 3$. An inverse statement is not true.*

Assume that functions $z_{s,p}(t, x), v_{s,p}(t, x) \in C(\bar{D}_s)$ correspondingly belong to the domains $\bar{B}_{s,1}$, for all $s = 1, 2, 3$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Additionally, let us introduce the following notations:

$$W_{s,p}(t, x) := z_{s,p}(t, x) - v_{s,p}(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad s = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_s^p(t, x) &:= H_s[z_{s,p}(t, x); v_{s,p}(t, x)], \quad s = 1, 3, \\ f_{s,p}(t, x) &:= H_s[v_{s,p}(t, x); z_{s,p}(t, x)], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_2^p(t, x) &:= H_2[v_{2,p}(t, x); z_{2,p}(t, x)], \\ f_{2,p}(t, x) &:= H_2[z_{2,p}(t, x); v_{2,p}(t, x)], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_s^p(t, x) &:= \Phi_s(t, x) + \varepsilon_s \left\{ T_{1,3} f_1^p(\eta, \zeta) + T_{2,3} f_2^p(\eta, \zeta) \right\} + T_s f_s^p(\eta, \zeta), \\ R_{s,p}(t, x) &:= \Phi_s(t, x) + \varepsilon_s \left\{ T_{1,3} f_{1,p}(\eta, \zeta) + T_{2,3} f_{2,p}(\eta, \zeta) \right\} + T_s f_{s,p}(\eta, \zeta), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{s,p}(t, x) &:= z_{s,p}(t, x) - R_s^p(t, x), \\ \beta_{s,p}(t, x) &:= v_{s,p}(t, x) - R_{s,p}(t, x), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{z}_{s,p}(t, x) &:= z_{s,p}(t, x) - q_{s,p}(t, x) W_{s,p}(t, x), \\ \bar{v}_{s,p}(t, x) &:= v_{s,p}(t, x) + c_{s,p}(t, x) W_{s,p}(t, x), \end{aligned} \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_s^p(t, x) &:= H_s[\bar{z}_{s,p}(t, x); \bar{v}_{s,p}(t, x)], \quad s = 1, 3, \\ F_{s,p}(t, x) &:= H_s[\bar{v}_{s,p}(t, x); \bar{z}_{s,p}(t, x)], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_2^p(t, x) &:= H_2[\bar{v}_{2,p}(t, x); \bar{z}_{2,p}(t, x)], \\ F_{2,p}(t, x) &:= H_2[\bar{z}_{2,p}(t, x); \bar{v}_{2,p}(t, x)], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_s^p(t, x) &:= R_s^p(t, x) \Big|_{f_s^p(t,x)=F_s^p(t,x)}, \\ \bar{R}_{s,p}(t, x) &:= R_{s,p}(t, x) \Big|_{f_{s,p}(t,x)=F_{s,p}(t,x)}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $q_{s,p}(t, x)$ and $c_{s,p}(t, x)$ are arbitrary functions from the space $C(\bar{D}_s)$ that satisfy conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq q_{s,p}(t, x) \leq 0.5, \\ 0 \leq c_{s,p}(t, x) \leq 0.5, \end{aligned} \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s. \tag{15}$$

Let us construct sequences of functions $\{z_{s,p}(t, x)\}$ and $\{v_{s,p}(t, x)\}$ in the form [7,8]:

$$\begin{aligned} z_{s,p+1}(t, x) &= \bar{R}_s^p(t, x), \\ v_{s,p+1}(t, x) &= \bar{R}_{s,p}(t, x), \end{aligned} \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, \quad s = 1, 2, 3. \tag{16}$$

As a zero approximation, we take arbitrary functions $z_{s,0}(t, x), v_{s,0}(t, x) \in \bar{B}_{s,1}$ from the space $C(\bar{D}_s)$, such that for $(t, x) \in \bar{D}_s$, the inequalities

$$W_{s,0}(t, x) \geq 0, \quad \alpha_{s,0}(t, x) \geq 0, \quad \beta_{s,0}(t, x) \leq 0, \quad (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, \quad s = 1, 2, 3 \tag{17}$$

hold.

Definition 2. Functions $z_{s,0}(t, x), v_{s,0}(t, x) \in C(\bar{D}_s)$ that belong to the domain $\bar{B}_{s,1}$ and satisfy conditions (17) are called the comparison functions of the BVP (1)–(7).

From (13), (14), (16), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} z_{s,p}(t, x) - z_{s,p+1}(t, x) &= \alpha_{s,p}(t, x) + R_s^p(t, x) - \bar{R}_s^p(t, x), \\ v_{s,p}(t, x) - v_{s,p+1}(t, x) &= \beta_{s,p}(t, x) + R_{s,p}(t, x) - \bar{R}_{s,p}(t, x), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$W_{s,p+1}(t, x) = \bar{R}_s^p(t, x) - \bar{R}_{s,p}(t, x), \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, \quad s = 1, 2, 3, \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{s,p+1}(t, x) &= \bar{R}_s^p(t, x) - R_s^{p+1}(t, x), \\ \beta_{s,p+1}(t, x) &= \bar{R}_{s,p}(t, x) - R_{s,p+1}(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Let us emphasize that due to (15), an estimate is true:

$$v_{s,0}(t, x) \leq \bar{v}_{s,0}(t, x) \leq \bar{z}_{s,0}(t, x) \leq z_{s,0}(t, x),$$

i.e., $\bar{z}_{s,0}(t, x), \bar{v}_{s,0}(t, x) \in \bar{B}_{s,1}$, if only $z_{s,0}(t, x), v_{s,0}(t, x) \in \bar{B}_{s,1}$.

However, then from (18) and (19), taking into account (12), for $p = 0$, we get the inequalities

$$W_{s,1}(t, x) \geq 0, \quad z_{s,0}(t, x) \geq z_{s,1}(t, x), \quad v_{s,0}(t, x) \leq v_{s,1}(t, x),$$

or, in other words, relations

$$v_{s,0}(t, x) \leq v_{s,1}(t, x) \leq z_{s,1}(t, x) \leq z_{s,0}(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, \quad s = 1, 2, 3,$$

hold. Thus, $z_{s,1}(t, x), v_{s,1}(t, x) \in \bar{B}_{s,1}$.

Let us choose arbitrary functions $q_{s,0}(t, x)$ and $c_{s,0}(t, x)$ from the space of functions $C(\bar{D}_s)$, which satisfy restrictions (15), in such a way that the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} z_{s,0}(t, x) - z_{s,1}(t, x) - q_{s,0}(t, x)W_{s,0}(t, x) &\geq 0, \\ v_{s,0}(t, x) - v_{s,1}(t, x) + c_{s,0}(t, x)W_{s,0}(t, x) &\leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s$$

hold.

Then from (20) for $p = 0$, we have that $\alpha_{s,1}(t, x) \geq 0, \beta_{s,1}(t, x) \leq 0$, that is, the constructed functions $z_{s,1}(t, x)$ and $v_{s,1}(t, x)$ are the comparison functions of the problems (1)–(7).

Taking $z_{s,1}(t, x)$ and $v_{s,1}(t, x)$ as the income data and repeating the aforementioned arguments via the method of mathematical induction, we conclude that if, at every iteration step (16) continuous in \bar{D}_s functions $q_{s,p}(t, x), c_{s,p}(t, x)$ satisfying conditions (15) are chosen in a way that the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} z_{s,p}(t, x) - z_{s,p+1}(t, x) - q_{s,p}(t, x)W_{s,p}(t, x) &\geq 0, \\ v_{s,p}(t, x) - v_{s,p+1}(t, x) + c_{s,p}(t, x)W_{s,p}(t, x) &\leq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $p \in \mathbb{N}_0, (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, s = 1, 2, 3$, are true, then for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_{s,p}(t, x) \leq v_{s,p+1}(t, x) \leq z_{s,p+1}(t, x) \leq z_{s,p}(t, x), \\ \alpha_{s,p}(t, x) \geq 0, \beta_{s,p}(t, x) \leq 0, \quad (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, \quad s = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Let us show that the domain of functions $q_{s,p}(t, x)$ and $c_{s,p}(t, x)$, which satisfy conditions (15) and inequalities (21), is non-empty.

Theorem 1. *If $F_s[u_s(t, x)] \in C_2(\bar{B}_s)$ and there exist comparison functions of the problem (1)–(7), then the set of functions $q_{s,p}(t, x), c_{s,p}(t, x)$, which satisfy conditions (15), (21), is non-empty.*

Proof. Let

$$\tau_{s,p}(t, x) := \alpha_{s,p}(t, x) - \beta_{s,p}(t, x) + W_{s,p}(t, x)$$

and let us put

$$\begin{aligned} q_{s,p}(t, x) &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{s,p}(t, x)[\tau_{s,p}(t, x)]^{-1}, & W_{s,p}(t, x) \neq 0, \\ 0, & W_{s,p}(t, x) = 0, \end{cases} \\ c_{s,p}(t, x) &= \begin{cases} -\beta_{s,p}(t, x)[\tau_{s,p}(t, x)]^{-1}, & W_{s,p}(t, x) \neq 0, \\ 0, & W_{s,p}(t, x) = 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$p \in \mathbb{N}_0, (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, s = 1, 2, 3.$

Functions, defined according to (23), satisfy conditions (15) and

$$\begin{aligned} & z_{s,p}(t, x) - z_{s,p+1}(t, x) - q_{s,p}(t, x)W_{s,p}(t, x) \\ &= \alpha_{s,p}(t, x) [1 - W_{s,p}(t, x)(\tau_{s,p}(t, x))^{-1}] + R_s^p(t, x) - \bar{R}_s^p(t, x) \geq 0, \\ & v_{s,p}(t, x) - v_{s,p+1}(t, x) + c_{s,p}(t, x)W_{s,p}(t, x) \\ &= \beta_{s,p}(t, x) [1 - W_{s,p}(t, x)(\tau_{s,p}(t, x))^{-1}] + R_{s,p}(t, x) - \bar{R}_{s,p}(t, x) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $(t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, s = 1, 2, 3$.

Thus, the theorem is proved. \square

5. Convergence Results

Let us show that the sequences of functions $\{z_{s,p}(t, x)\}, \{v_{s,p}(t, x)\}$, defined by (16), (21), converge uniformly for $(t, x) \in \bar{D}_s$ to the unique solution of the corresponding integral equation of the system (10).

Let us put

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{\bar{D}_1 \times \bar{D}_1} K_1(x, t; \xi, \eta) \exp \left(\int_t^{t_1} a_1(\eta, x) d\eta \right), \sup_{\bar{D}_4 \times \bar{D}_4} K(x, t; \xi, \eta) \right\} = 0.5K,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max_s \left\{ \sup_{\bar{D}_s} W_{s,0}(t, x) \right\} &= d, \\ \mathcal{L} &= \max_s L_s, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max_s \left\{ \sup_{\bar{D}_s} (1 - q_{s,p}(t, x) - c_{s,p}(t, x)) \right\} &= q, \\ \max \{1, x_2 - x_0 + t_2 - t_0\} &= \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the method of mathematical induction from (19), it is easy to conclude that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}, (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s$, the estimate

$$\max_s \sup_{\bar{D}_s} |W_{s,p}(t, x)| \leq \frac{[A(x - x_0 + t_2 - t_0)]^p}{p!} d \tag{24}$$

is true, where $A = \mathcal{L}Kq\gamma$.

From the estimate (24), it follows that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} W_{s,p}(t, x) = 0.$$

Thus, due to inequalities (22), we get

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} z_{s,p}(t, x) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} v_{s,p}(t, x) := u_s(t, x), (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, s = 1, 2, 3.$$

Passing in (16) to the limit, when $p \rightarrow \infty$, we ensure that the limit functions $u_s(t, x)$ for $(t, x) \in \bar{D}_s$ are solutions of the corresponding integral equations of the system (10).

By contradiction, it is easy to show that if $F_s[u_s(t, x)] \in C_2(\bar{B}_s)$ and conditions (18) hold, then the system (10) has a unique solution.

Theorem 2. Let functions $F_s[u_s(t, x)] \in C_2(\bar{B}_s)$, and there exist comparison functions of the BVP (1)–(7).

Then:

1. The system of integral Equation (10) has a solution, and it is unique for $(t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, s = 1, 2, 3$;

2. Sequences of functions $\{z_{p,s}(t, x)\}$ and $\{v_{p,s}(t, x)\}$, constructed according to (16), (21), converge uniformly to the unique solution of the system of integral Equation (10) for $(t, x) \in \bar{D}_s$, where continuous functions $q_{s,p}(t, x)$, $c_{s,p}(t, x)$ satisfy conditions (15);
3. Estimate (24) holds;
4. For arbitrary $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(t, x) \in \bar{D}_s$, $s = 1, 2, 3$, inequalities

$$v_{s,p}(t, x) \leq v_{s,p+1}(t, x) \leq u_s(t, x) \leq z_{s,p+1}(t, x) \leq z_{s,p}(t, x) \tag{25}$$

are true;

5. Convergence of the method (16), (21) is not slower than the convergence of the iterative method

$$\tilde{z}_{s,p+1}(t, x) = R_s^p(t, x), \tilde{v}_{s,p+1}(t, x) = R_{s,p}(t, x). \tag{26}$$

Let us prove inequality (25). Suppose, that for some p at the point $(t_0, x_0) \in \bar{D}_s$,

$$z_{s,p}(t_0, x_0) < u_s(t_0, x_0).$$

Then, taking into account (22), at this point $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$z_{s,p+n}(t_0, x_0) \leq z_{s,p}(t_0, x_0) < u_s(t_0, x_0).$$

Hence, the sequence of functions $\{z_{s,p+n}(t_0, x_0)\}$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ does not converge to $u_s(t_0, x_0)$. We came to a contradiction.

Analogically, we can prove that the inequality $v_{s,p}(t, x) \leq u_s(t, x)$ is true.

If $z_{s,p}(t, x), v_{s,p}(t, x)$ are the comparison functions of the BVP (1)–(7), then from (16) and (25) follows:

$$\tilde{z}_{s,p+1}(t, x) - z_{s,p+1}(t, x) = R_s^p(t, x) - \bar{R}_s^p(t, x) \geq 0,$$

$$\tilde{v}_{s,p+1}(t, x) - v_{s,p+1}(t, x) = R_{s,p}(t, x) - \bar{R}_{s,p}(t, x) \leq 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\tilde{v}_{s,p+1}(t, x) \leq v_{s,p+1}(t, x) \leq z_{s,p+1}(t, x) \leq \tilde{z}_{s,p+1}(t, x), (t, x) \in \bar{D}_s, s = 1, 2, 3.$$

The last inequalities prove the fifth statement of the theorem.

6. Some Corollaries

Corollary 1. Let conditions of Theorem 2 and conditions (8) hold.

Then the BVP (1)–(7) has a unique solution for $(t, x) \in \bar{D}_4$. Moreover, it is regular, if $D^{(0,1)}v(t_1, x_1) = D^{(0,1)}u_2(t_1, x_1)$. Otherwise, it is irregular.

Corollary 2. Let

$$F_s[u_s(t, x)] \in C_2(\bar{B}_s),$$

$$F_s[u_s(t, x)] \equiv H_s[u_s(t, x); 0], s = 1, 3,$$

$$F_2[u_2(t, x)] \equiv H_s[0; u_2(t, x)],$$

conditions (8) hold and boundary constraints (3), (6) are homogeneous.

If $F_s[0] \geq (\leq) 0$, $s = 1, 3$, $F_2[0] \leq (\geq) 0$ in the domain \bar{B}_s , then the solution of the BVP (1)–(7) satisfies the inequalities:

$$u(t, x) \geq (\leq) 0, (t, x) \in \bar{D}_4.$$

Consider an equation of the form

$$L_{(1,1)}z(t, x) = f_1(t, x, z(t, x)) := f_1[z(t, x)], f_1 : \bar{B}_{2,2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \bar{B}_{2,2} \subset \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{27}$$

Assume that the right-hand sides of the differential Equations (1) and (27) satisfy conditions:

1. $F[u(t, x)] \in C_2(\overline{B}_{2,2})$;
2. Function $f_1[z(t, x)] \in C(\overline{B}_{2,2})$, and in the domain, $\overline{B}_{2,2}$ has a bounded first-order derivative with respect to $z(t, x)$ that satisfies an inequality:

$$\frac{\partial f_1[z(t, x)]}{\partial z(t, x)} + D^{(0.1)}a_1(t, x) + a_1(t, x)a_2(t, x) \geq 0, (t, x) \in \overline{D}_4; \tag{28}$$

3. For any function $v(t, x) \in \overline{B}_{2,2}$ from the space $C^*(\overline{D}_4)$, the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} f_1[v(t, x)] &\geq (\leq) f[v(t, x)], (t, x) \in \overline{D}_3, \\ f_1[v(t, x)] &\leq (\geq) f[v(t, x)], (t, x) \in \overline{D}_2 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

hold.

Theorem 3 (comparison theorem). Let $a_i(t, x), i = 1, 2$ in the domain \overline{D}_4 satisfy conditions (8). Let, for the right-hand sides $f[u(t, x)]$ and $f_1[z(t, x)]$ of Equations (1) and (27), the aforementioned conditions (1)–(3) hold, and in the domain $\overline{B}_{s,1}$ there exist the comparison functions of the problems (1)–(7) and (27), (3)–(7).

Then, solutions of these problems satisfy conditions:

$$u(t, x) \leq (\geq) z(t, x), (t, x) \in \overline{D}_4. \tag{30}$$

Proof. According to Theorem 2 and Corollary 2, solutions of the problems (1)–(7) and (27), (3)–(7) exist, and are unique (regular or irregular). Hence, putting $W(t, x) := z(t, x) - u(t, x)$ and using the Mean Value Theorem, we get:

$$L_{(1.1)}W(t, x) = b(t, x)W(t, x) + f_1[u(t, x)] - f[u(t, x)],$$

where $b(t, x) := \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_1[z(t, x)]}{\partial z(t, x)}$ is a derivative, evaluated at some fixed value $z(t, x) \in \overline{B}_{2,2}, (t, x) \in \overline{D}_4$.

Obviously, function $W(t, x)$ satisfies the homogeneous conditions (3), (6) and $u(t_1, x) = v(t_1, x) = z(t_1, x) \Rightarrow W(t_1, x) = 0, x \in [x_1, x_2]$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} F_4[W(t, x)] &:= \left[b(t, x) + D^{(0.1)}a_1(t, x) + a_1(t, x)a_2(t, x) \right] W(t, x) \\ &\quad + f_1[u(t, x)] - f[u(t, x)], \end{aligned}$$

that is, due to (28), (29) we get:

$$F_4[W(t, x)] \in C_2(\overline{B}_{2,2})$$

and

$$F_4[W(t, x)] \equiv H[W(t, x); 0], \text{ for } (t, x) \in \overline{D}_3,$$

$$F_4[W(t, x)] \equiv H[0; W(t, x)], \text{ for } (t, x) \in \overline{D}_2,$$

$$F_4[0] \geq (\leq) 0 \text{ in the domain } \overline{B}_3,$$

$$F_4[0] \leq (\geq) 0 \text{ in } \overline{B}_2.$$

On the basis of the Corollary 2

$$W(t, x) \geq (\leq) 0, \text{ for } (t, x) \in \overline{D}_4,$$

that is, the inequalities (30) hold. \square

Remark 2. To speed-up convergence of the two-sided approximations to the solution of the problem (1)–(7), functions $z_{s,p}(t, x)$ and $v_{s,p}(t, x)$ can be constructed according to formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} z_{s,p+1}(t, x) &= \Phi_s(t, x) + \varepsilon_s \left\{ T_{1,3}f_1^{p+1}(\eta, \xi) + T_{2,3}f_2^{p+1}(\eta, \xi) \right\} + T_s F_s^p(\eta, \xi), \\ v_{s,p+1}(t, x) &= \Phi_s(t, x) + \varepsilon_s \left\{ T_{1,3}f_{1,p+1}(\eta, \xi) + T_{2,3}f_{2,p+1}(\eta, \xi) \right\} + T_s F_{s,p}(\eta, \xi) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

One can show that the iterative method (31) converges not slower than the method (16), (21).

7. Discussion

To summarize, in the current paper, we have presented our recent results in the study of one boundary value problem for a nonlinear partial differential equation of the hyperbolic type on the plane in a domain with a complex boundary and a prehistory. To find the missing data for the given boundary constraints, we solved a supplementary nonlinear problem. In addition, we have built a two-sided constructive method to approximate solutions of the studied problems, and proved appropriate convergence properties.

As it was already mentioned in the Introduction, these problems have a wide spectrum of applications in applied sciences. Thus, the obtained results can be further broadened to study the mathematical models of real physical processes.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.M., K.M. and O.K.; methodology, V.M., K.M. and O.K.; validation, V.M., K.M. and O.K.; formal analysis, V.M., K.M. and O.K.; investigation, V.M., K.M. and O.K.; resources, V.M., K.M. and O.K.; writing—original draft preparation, V.M. and K.M.; writing—review and editing, K.M.; visualization, V.M., K.M. and O.K.; supervision, V.M.; project administration, V.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to reviewers for their valuable comments.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Notations

In the current paper the following abbreviations and notations are used:

BVP	boundary value problem;
\bar{D}	closure of the domain D : $\bar{D} = D \cup \partial D$;
$D^{i,j}u(t, x)$	mixed partial derivative of the function $u(t, x)$, defined as $\frac{\partial^{i+j}}{\partial t^i \partial x^j} u(t, x)$;
$L_{a_i}^{(1,1)}u(t, x)$	linear differential operator with respect to function $u(t, x)$ with coefficients $a_i(t, x)$ of the form: $L_{a_i}^{(1,1)}u(t, x) := D^{(1,1)}u(t, x) + a_1(t, x)D^{(0,1)}u(t, x) + a_2(t, x)D^{(1,0)}u(t, x)$;
$\Pi_{p_{xO_t}}D$	projection of a domain D onto the xO_t plane.

References

- Collatz, L. *Funktionalanalysis und Numerische Mathematik*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1964; 448p.
- Marynets, V.V.; Kohutych, O.I. On one approach of investigation of a boundary value problem for quasilinear differential equation of the hyperbolic type. *Math. Comput. Model. Phys. Math. Sci.* **2019**, *19*, 71–77. (In Ukrainian)
- Marynets, V.; Marynets, K. Investigation of the Goursat–Darboux boundary value problem for nonlinear equation of the hyperbolic type. *Fract. Mech. Phys. Constr. Mathematics Struct.* **2014**, *10*, 56–68. (In Ukrainian)
- Marynets, V.; Marynets, K. On Goursat–Darboux boundary-value problem for systems of non-linear differential equations of hyperbolic type. *Miskolc Math. Notes* **2013**, *14*, 1009–1020. [CrossRef]
- Marynets, V.; Marynets, K. The Goursat–Darboux boundary-value problem for non-linear differential equation of the hyperbolic type. *Rep. Natl. Acad. Sci. Ukr.* **2014**, *10*, 23–28. (In Ukrainian)
- Marynets, V.; Marynets, K.; Pytjovka, O. On one constructive method of the investigation of the boundary-value problem for differential equations of the hyperbolic type. *Sci. Trans. Uzhhorod Natl. Univ. Math. Inform.* **2015**, *27*, 76–85.

-
7. Krasnosel'skii, M.A.; Vainikko, G.M.; Zabreyko, R.P.; Ruticki, Y.B.; Stet'senko, V.V. *Approximate Solution of Operator Equations*; Springer: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1972; 496p.
 8. Marynets, V.V.; Marynets, K.V.; Pytjovka, O.Y. *Analytical Methods of the Boundary—Value Problem Investigation*; Goverla: Uzhhorod, Ukraine, 2019; 288p. (In Ukrainian)