

Environmentally Sensitive Luminescence Reveals Spatial Confinement, Dynamics, and Their Molecular Weight Dependence in a Polymer Glass

Picken, Stephen J.; Filonenko, Georgy A.

DOI

[10.1021/acsapm.1c00730](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsapm.1c00730)

Publication date

2021

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

ACS Applied Polymer Materials

Citation (APA)

Picken, S. J., & Filonenko, G. A. (2021). Environmentally Sensitive Luminescence Reveals Spatial Confinement, Dynamics, and Their Molecular Weight Dependence in a Polymer Glass. *ACS Applied Polymer Materials*, 3(10), 4977-4983. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsapm.1c00730>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Environmentally Sensitive Luminescence Reveals Spatial Confinement, Dynamics, and Their Molecular Weight Dependence in a Polymer Glass

Stephen J. Picken and Georgy A. Filonenko*

Cite This: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsapm.1c00730>

Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Polymer glasses have an irregular structure. Among the causes for such complexity are the chemically distinct chain end groups that are the most abundant irregularities in any linear polymer. In this work, we demonstrate that chain end induced defects allow polymer glasses to create confined environments capable of hosting small emissive molecules. Using environmentally sensitive luminescent complexes, we show that the size of these confinements depends on molecular weight and can dramatically affect the photoluminescence of free or covalently bound emissive complexes. We confirm the impact of chain end confinement on the bulk glass transition in poly(methyl acrylate) (pMA) and show that commonly observed T_g changes induced by the chain ends should have a structural origin. Finally, we demonstrate that the size and placement of luminescent molecular probes in pMA can dramatically affect the probe luminescence and its temperature dependence, suggesting that polymer glass is a highly irregular and complex environment, marking its difference with conventional small molecule solvents. Considering the ubiquity of luminescent glassy materials, our work lays down a blueprint for designing them with structural considerations in mind, ones where packing density and chain end size are key factors.

KEYWORDS: luminescence, confinement, responsive polymers, glass transition, copper



INTRODUCTION

Polymers are highly complex materials from a structural and a dynamic point of view. A local, molecular level characterization of such complexity in polymers and soft matter is often undertaken using small molecule probes that can be placed within materials and provide information about its surroundings. Several approaches to probe-based techniques have gained traction in the past decades. Historically, one of the first practices in the field employed probes for fluorescence spectroscopy correlation studies. By using these fluorophores, it was possible to track molecular mobility and dynamic heterogeneity in polymer glasses^{1–7} and characterize chain dynamics⁸ and local viscosity.⁹ These probes largely acted as stable light sources allowing to track their transport, diffusion, and emission anisotropy. In such a setting, correlation spectroscopy probes operate independently of their underlying luminescence that is typically insensitive to the changes within an analyzed material.

The second most common type of probe molecules makes use of an environmentally sensitive luminescence phenomenon where the change in the environment in the probe vicinity can alter the properties of the emission process itself. Such probe molecules can respond to changes of polymer aggregation state, viscosity, and free volume characteristics^{10–17} by varying

their emission color or intensity. While these probes can be more chemically complex, they often provide a simple color- or intensity-based response that is easy to detect and analyze. Perhaps the most illustrative of the practice is the recent report by Christie, Register, and Priestley,¹⁸ who used a simple pyrene dye to perform local T_g analysis in block copolymers. These authors demonstrated that local, probe-based T_g can vary significantly, by tens of degrees, in lamellar PMMA/PBMA block copolymers within the space of several nanometers.

The latter work demonstrates the importance of probe placement chemistry for interpreting the photoluminescence (PL) data and its actual relation to the glass transition. Since different parts of material can exhibit different extent dynamics, even a common choice between tethered^{18,19} or freely dispersed probes defines which space in the polymer will be accessible to the probe molecules and which aspect of the

Received: June 18, 2021

Accepted: August 21, 2021




Figure 1. Structure of the CuN_4 probe and synthesis of end-labeled pMA samples pMA-CuN_4 .

polymer dynamics they will ultimately describe. These aspects are particularly important for molecular probes with environmentally sensitive PL. As the latter is the main source of information provided by the probe molecule, the interpretation of luminescence features requires answering one of the principal questions one should ask when using responsive small molecules: How informative are local probe-based measurements and which local and bulk material features do they report?¹² As we demonstrate below, the data provided by molecular probes is highly sensitive to the probe structure and placement.

In this work, we demonstrate that, by controlling the structure and placement of molecular probes, one can distinguish between their local and global modes of operation. This approach reveals pronounced differences in the dynamic behavior of the polymer bulk and the chain end groups and connects the latter to the free volume characteristics of the parent polymer that are shown to be molecular weight dependent. Importantly, we show how minor variations, benign from a synthetic chemistry standpoint, have a dramatic impact on the probe luminescence.

We point out that such variations in luminescence color have a structural origin, carry information about the environment surrounding the emissive complexes, and can generally occur in any polymer glass blended with emissive molecules.

As the majority of molecular probes are several dozens of atoms in size, we center this work around a similarly sized irregularity found in any linear polymer—the polymer chain end groups. While being a small fraction of the polymer, the chemical structure of the end groups is known to impact the bulk T_g . An example of this behavior has recently been demonstrated by Torkelson and co-workers,²⁰ who reported T_g perturbations of nearly 35K for polystyrene samples of $M_n \sim 4$ kDa with chemically distinct end groups. Conceptually, end groups can be viewed as packing defects in a polymer melt or glass, having, as a consequence, a higher available free volume compared to the rest of the chain.²¹ The contribution of chain ends and their number or concentration per unit volume is central to explaining the molecular weight (M_n) dependence of polymer properties, e.g., viscosity or glass transition temperature. A well-known model that illustrates this was postulated by Flory and Fox.^{22,23} In practice, it suggests that polymers with a lower molecular weight and thus a high chain end concentration typically have a lower T_g that saturates to an asymptotic value as M_n increases. Interestingly, in cyclic polymers having no chain ends, the $T_g(M_n)$ dependence is largely suppressed^{24–26} and low M_n cyclic polystyrenes^{27,28} are barely distinguishable by their T_g from cyclic PS of higher molecular weights.

These data points to the connection between the local polymer structure at the chain end groups and the glassy behavior of the bulk. Furthermore, the presence of chain end groups themselves appears to be central to the establishment of M_n dependence of the polymer properties noted above. Assuming that this phenomenon might have a structural origin, we set out to test the capacity of molecular probes for studying the microscopic structure of glasses with the ultimate goal of connecting their microscopic features with the bulk behavior.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this work, we utilized a family of thermochromic phosphorescent probes recently developed by our group for ratiometric color-based characterization of polymer glasses.²⁹ Unlike the majority of emissive probes used, for example, in the fluorescent correlation spectroscopy studies,^{3,19} the CuN_4 probes (Figure 1) used in this work are designed to probe the material dynamics on the time scale of the probe photoluminescence (PL). Specifically, CuN_4 is an ion pair probe and its emission color is linked to the ion pair geometry within the probe molecule (Figures 1 and 2).³⁰ The photoexcitation of CuN_4 triggers a structural rearrangement of this ion pair, which is strongly dependent on the mobility of the polymer hosting the probe (Figure 2). In the case of immobile ion pairs, like the ones found in a polymer glass, the ion pair distance is largely controlled by the local glass structure and its thermal expansion. In a polymer melt, the ion pair is mobile and can freely rearrange toward the equilibrium excited state geometry where the rate of this rearrangement was controlled by ion mobility. The latter behavior is similar to that in liquids—for example, dichloromethane solutions of CuN_4 monotonically change emission color since, at all times, ion diffusion in liquid is faster than the characteristic phosphorescence lifetime.^{29,30}

The transition between glassy and fluidic environments creates a peculiar PL color dependence for CuN_4 that we visualized by plotting PL color as a spectral intensity ratio ($I(530)/I(610)$) versus sample temperature (Figure 2C). Three distinct regions of the ratiometry²⁹ curve describe the relation between temperature and ion pair motions in the polymer host. In a glassy environment, the emission color varies monotonically until the polymer is sufficiently mobile to allow ion pairs to rearrange. This point is characterized by a distinct change in the PL spectrum showing a local maximum in the ratiometry curve that marks the onset of the probe mobility—we will refer to this temperature as *PL onset temperature*. A further increase in temperature accelerates the ion diffusion, making it sufficiently fast to match the lifetime of the probe excited state. At this temperature, the ratiometry curve




Figure 2. (A) Molecular weight dependence of emission spectra of end-labeled pMA in the glassy state at $-90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. (B) Thermochromism of end-labeled pMA with $M_n = 52.7\text{ kDa}$ at selected temperatures with wavelengths used for ratiometry analysis indicated – $\lambda_1 = 530\text{ nm}$, $\lambda_2 = 610\text{ nm}$. (C) Ratiometric data for pMA with $M_n = 52.7\text{ kDa}$, duplicate measurements shown.

recovers a positive slope, which is marked by a local minimum that we previously referred to as the *dynamic* T_g as it occurred in a polymer melt on characteristic time scales of microseconds.²⁹ The two regions are connected by the transient regime where the ratiometry curve has a negative slope since the ion pair rearrangement is not sufficiently fast to produce equilibrium excited state geometry. In this work, we systematically investigated the PL onset temperature trends as they are likely informative of the local structure formed in the vicinity of the probe as the glass transition takes place. As with every probe-based measurement, there are strict boundaries to how this temperature can be interpreted. On one hand, probe mobilization should not occur in the glassy state where ion diffusion is expected to be slow. On the other hand, this temperature can deviate from that of glass transition since ion pair diffusion behind the probe response can be influenced by a variety of other factors. We, therefore, caution the reader from interpreting PL features as a measure of T_g .

Our initial aim was to target CuN_4 probes to the polymer end groups, which can be done in a multistep synthesis. We first prepared a series of end-chain-labeled poly(methyl acrylate) (pMA) samples incorporating the N_4 ligand at the chain end using a RAFT polymerization technique (pMA- N_4 , Figure 1). The polymer samples of M_n varying from 4 to 53 kDa were further treated with a Cu metal precursor to convert N_4 ligand to a luminescent copper complex (pMA- CuN_4 , Figure 1). NMR spectroscopy confirmed the identity of resulting species at every step of polymer synthesis, indicating the incorporation of a macrocyclic ligand at the terminal site of the pMA chain and its further conversion to the charged luminescent Cu complex (see the Supporting Information). Postmetalation, polymer samples were drop cast from solution and conditioned at $T > T_g$ and the temperature dependence of their luminescence was analyzed.

We found the luminescence of all CuN_4 -labeled polymer samples was temperature dependent. We specifically noted the strong M_n dependence of probe luminescence in the glassy state. Comparing the PL spectra recorded at $-90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (Figure 2a), we observed a significantly red-shifted probe emission in low M_n polymers compared to that in high M_n samples. This color variation is somewhat unexpected as it occurs in a set of chemically identical polymers and emissive complexes of identical chemical structure.

Rationalizing these PL differences we recall that PL color in CuN_4 is controlled by the ion pair spacing,^{29,30} which suggests that pMA of different molecular weight confines the probe molecules differently. Specifically, the red shift of PL spectrum observed in low M_n pMA implies a more tight ion pairing compared to that in high M_n pMA. This indicates that the microenvironment in the probe vicinity in pMA is M_n dependent and the volume around the chain end available for confinement is lower in low M_n pMA and gradually increases with increase of M_n .

This observation provides a link between the observed probe confinement and the free volume characteristics of polymer glasses. More specifically, we suggest that the size of the free volume element (FVE) where the probe resides is M_n dependent and increases for a higher M_n . While unusual, this idea finds support from the literature suggesting that the FVE size does increase with an increasing molecular weight at least for polystyrene.³¹ A related concept is packing density, which was shown to depend on the chain length on the macroscopic scale. For example, Zou et al. drew similarities between the temperature dependence of T_g and chain packing density and, using a macroscopic granular chain model, demonstrated that higher density packings are indeed formed by shorter chains, implying that the contraction of FVE as M_n is reduced.³² Finally, the recent data from Fayer and co-workers suggests that CuN_4 sensors might indeed occupy FVEs in the polymer. Authors found the sizes of FVE in PS and PMMA to span the range of 5–8 Å making them at least partially accessible for CuN_4 probes sized in the same extent.³³ For clarity, we will highlight a distinction between probe confinement described above for probe molecules residing in voids within material and use of the term confinement that is common in relation to glassy materials. The latter is used to describe polymer glasses reduced or “confined” to small sizes in extent of several to tens of nanometers. For example, confined or nanoconfined thin polymer films can exhibit a physical behavior markedly different from bulk, macroscopically sized polymers.³⁴

Having observed that end group confinement in pMA is M_n dependent, we expected similar differences in the probe mobilization dynamics. One would assume that reaching the identical extent of probe mobility in a highly confined medium would require a higher temperature compared to the less confined packing. Indeed, the analysis of the ratiometry data in Figure 3A points out that high M_n pMA permits chain end mobilization at significantly lower temperatures compared to low M_n pMA that has more confined chain end groups (Figure 3C). The onset of end-group mobilization in the pMA series varies from $28.0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for the 3.9 kDa sample to $13.8\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for the 52.7 kDa sample, with the latter value being similar to that of the bulk T_g measured using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, Figure 3D). Notably the PL onset temperatures, reflective of a probe mobilization in the chain end vicinity, scale monotonically with $\log(M_n)$ pointing to two separate features of the local chain end behavior. First, to the apparent




Figure 3. Ratiometry data for end-labeled pMA samples (A) and pMA blends with freely dispersed CuN_4 probes (B); (C) M_n dependence of the probe mobilization onset temperature in these polymers determined from PL data; (D) DSC data for charged and neutral pMA samples and pMA/ CuN_4 blends indicating bulk T_g perturbation; all lines to guide the eye.

lack of correlation with entanglement $M_n^{35,36}$ and second, to a continuous nature of M_n dependence that contrasts the T_g saturation behavior, implied by the Flory–Fox relations for the polymer bulk and appears to be uncorrelated with the latter.

The differences we observed for probe mobilization temperatures also translate to the bulk of end-labeled pMA. The presence of a covalently linked large cationic Cu complex at the chain end perturbs the bulk T_g of these polymers (Figure 3D) that undergo glass transition at a significantly higher temperature compared to their neutral counterparts (pMA- N_4 vs pMA- CuN_4 , Figures 1 and 3D). At the largest, the differences reach ca. 15° as in the case of a 3.9 kDa sample and in line with previous reports;²⁰ they diminish upon increase of M_n when the chain end concentration decreases. Taken together, our data suggest that the response of CuN_4 cannot be directly related to conventional T_g and should be interpreted with structural considerations in mind.

To check whether probe placement chemistry, its mobilization and bulk T_g perturbations are correlated; we prepared a set of control pMA samples with probe molecules freely dispersed in the polymer. We expected that the free probe

would be similarly capable of confining in pMA and demonstrate a PL trend similar to that of end-labeled samples. Indeed, the PL onset temperature for a free probe in pMA exhibits monotonic M_n dependence similar to that of the end-labeled series (Figure 3B,C). The sole exception in this series is a low M_n sample where the FVE size might be too small to incorporate a CuN_4 probe. At the same time, the addition of a freely dispersed probe did not perturb the bulk T_g of pMA detected using calorimetry. The data plotted in Figure 3D is similar to the reference pMA series with neutral end groups. This suggests that FVE size, its occupancy, and probe confinement are likely to impact the bulk T_g only if a bulky end group is covalently linked to the polymer chain.

Seeking to rationalize the importance of M_n dependent confinement for the bulk behavior, we note the consistency of this idea with theories suggesting that the glassy polymers can experience caging effects where the onset of glass transition is marked by the ability of polymer fragment to leave the cage formed by its nearest neighbors.³⁷ Similar analogies can be drawn with granular materials and macroscopic systems subject to jamming^{38–40} that might be behind the strong T_g

perturbations observed for end-labeled pMA samples with the highest degree of end group confinement. Finally, it is known that the presence of end groups can significantly alter the diffusion rates as shown by Matsushita and co-workers,⁴¹ who analyzed diffusion rates in cyclic and linear polystyrenes. All the phenomena above can affect the polymer mobilization onset, thus being behind the bulk T_g perturbations in pMA series with covalently linked probe molecules.

Our data so far suggest that the ability of polymer of specific length to form voids and confine small molecules is a general behavior of a polymer governed by its M_n rather than being an artifact or consequence of probe incorporation. To verify this, we prepared a series of control samples by 2- and 10-fold dilutions of the end-labeled pMA (8.2 kDa) with its neutral counterpart of a similar M_n . We registered nearly identical ratiometric traces for these samples with PL onset temperatures for this dilution series similar within 1° despite probe concentrations that varied 10-fold (Figure S35). Additionally, probe aggregation was ruled out using confocal lifetime imaging microscopy reporting no heterogeneities in pMA-CuN₄ (Figures S38 and S39 in the Supporting Information). Taken together, this data suggests that end group confinement and resulting M_n dependence of the probe mobilization dynamics might be a purely structural phenomenon defined by the probe placement.

If this suggestion is correct, then the structure and placement of the probe molecule should dramatically alter the local PL data registered in pMA, especially in the case of a significant size mismatch or the disruption of probe confinement. We tested this using two sets of controls with the first series featuring CuN₄ probes attached randomly to the pMA chains. For this series, we expected the M_n dependence of PL onset temperature to vanish as the probe would be distributed randomly along pMA chain. Indeed, we observed no M_n dependence of the PL onset in this set of samples (Figure 4B and Figure S36 for ratiometry curves), confirming that the probe attached at random positions does not confine uniformly unlike that attached to the end group of pMA. As expected, random probe attachment does not increase the bulk T_g that increases with increasing M_n as observed in other randomly labeled polymer systems¹⁸ (Figure 4C).

Since packing voids in pMA have a finite size, the second set of controls was prepared to induce an intentional size mismatch between the luminescent probe and FVE. We used a large CuN₄-BARF probe (Figure 4A) that is ca. 2 nm in size—more than twice larger than the CuN₄-BF₄ probe used throughout this work—a value exceeding the pMA length of persistence.^{35,42} We found that the size mismatch induced by a large probe renders pMA/CuN₄-BARF blends entirely unresponsive—no ratiometric response to glass transition was found in samples where the large probe was freely dispersed (Figure S37). This suggests that confinements capable of incorporating the probe are generated by the polymer host rather than probe induced.

Interestingly, the large BARF probe that was unresponsive in a freely dispersed form became sensitive to glass transition once linked to the polymer end group covalently (Figure 4B and Figure S34). Likely due to its disturbed confinement, the PL onset for this sample series is largely M_n independent. Due to its covalent attachment though, the bulky BARF probe is capable of acting as a diffusion constraint as it perturbs the bulk T_g by ca. 5° similar to its smaller sized counterpart (Figures 4C vs 3D).




Figure 4. (A) Solid state structures of small molecule probes with tetrafluoroborate and BARF counterions indicating their different sizes; structures reproduced with permission from refs 29 and 30. (B) Comparison of PL data for end- and side-chain-labeled pMA. (C) DCS data for these polymers compared to the neutral pMA-N₄ reference.

CONCLUSIONS

Summarizing our observations, we highlight two distinct phenomena revealed by the probe studies in pMA. First, we find that pMA can apparently confine chain end groups and small emissive molecules dispersed in this polymer. The extent of confinement depends on molecular weight, with low M_n glasses providing more compact confinements that imply smaller FVE sizes in low M_n polymers. We confirm that such a confinement does not require a covalent incorporation of the probe molecule but rather relies on the similarity between the probe size and that of FVE. Since the common end groups in synthetic polymers are smaller than CuN₄, we conclude that, almost universally, polymer end groups should experience these confinement effects.

We further show that end group size and structure are the likely causes of the bulk T_g perturbation observed in a number of previous reports.²⁰ On the basis of our probe placement studies, we link this perturbation to the chain ends acting as diffusion constraints that are affected by confinement effects expressed strongly in low M_n glasses. This explains why low M_n glasses are particularly susceptible to T_g perturbations due to end group structure variations. Ironically, this line of reasoning suggests that the largest T_g perturbation would result in the weakest $\log(M_n)$ dependence of the T_g , making this effect easy to overlook unless it is intentionally investigated.

Finally, we highlight the capacity of environmentally sensitive emissive probes like CuN₄ to reflect the multitude of environments found in a simple linear homopolymer. We show how placement chemistry dramatically affects the probe behavior that in some cases renders the probe entirely unresponsive. We anticipate that, with more advanced probe designs, it should be possible to assess the structural aspects of probe behavior in a quantitative way. While our data points to

the presence of persistent structural heterogeneities in the polymer glasses, we note that dynamic heterogeneities, i.e., varied extent of dynamics in different parts of material, are an underlying trait of polymer glasses.⁴³

In conclusion, we note that placing emissive molecules in polymer glasses is arguably a common practice in a variety of fields. Numerous light generation applications involve using polymer glasses as dye hosts, and characterizing the emission of new luminescent compounds in PS or PMMA films is a regular practice in materials science. It is not uncommon for the luminescence in glasses to differ from that in solution or a pristine solid. Our work suggests that complex structural phenomena may be driving these differences, namely, we show that a polymer glass is a highly heterogeneous medium—it offers a variety of voids and environments for luminophore incorporation that make the common view on luminescent compounds being simply dissolved in a polymer glass no longer valid. On the contrary, selective and structure-guided incorporation of luminophores opens up a new tool for designing emissive glassy materials where confinement effects and PL environmental sensitivity can tune the material performance via the productive interplay disclosed in this work.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

SI Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsapm.1c00730>.

Discussions of general considerations, synthesis and compound characterization, and photoluminescence, structural, and thermal data, figures of NMR, gHMQC, gCOSY, PL spectra, LC–MS data, GPC traces, radiometry data, and laser scanning confocal microscopy images, and tables of synthesis conditions and properties of pMA samples and T_g data for duplicate measurements, (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Georgy A. Filonenko – Inorganic Systems Engineering group, Department of Chemical Engineering, Delft University of Technology, 2629HZ Delft, The Netherlands; orcid.org/0000-0001-8025-9968; Email: g.a.filonenko@tudelft.nl

Author

Stephen J. Picken – Advanced Soft Matter, Delft University of Technology, 2629HZ Delft, The Netherlands; orcid.org/0000-0002-6003-518X

Complete contact information is available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsapm.1c00730>

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

G.A.F. kindly acknowledges Dr. Vera Gorelova of WUR for confocal microscopy studies and NWO for an individual Veni grant (016.Veni.192.032).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Lee, H.-N.; Paeng, K.; Swallen, S. F.; Ediger, M. D. Dye reorientation as a probe of stress-induced mobility in polymer glasses. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *128* (13), 134902.
- (2) Riggelman, R. A.; Lee, H.-N.; Ediger, M. D.; de Pablo, J. J. Free Volume and Finite-Size Effects in a Polymer Glass under Stress. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2007**, *99* (21), 215501.
- (3) Lee, H.-N.; Paeng, K.; Swallen, S. F.; Ediger, M. D. Direct Measurement of Molecular Mobility in Actively Deformed Polymer Glasses. *Science* **2009**, *323* (5911), 231–234.
- (4) Paeng, K.; Kaufman, L. J. Which probes can report intrinsic dynamic heterogeneity of a glass forming liquid? *J. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *149* (16), 164501.
- (5) Paeng, K.; Kaufman, L. J. Single Molecule Experiments Reveal the Dynamic Heterogeneity and Exchange Time Scales of Polystyrene near the Glass Transition. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49* (7), 2876–2885.
- (6) Manz, A. S.; Aly, M.; Kaufman, L. J. Correlating fragility and heterogeneous dynamics in polystyrene through single molecule studies. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *151* (8), 084501.
- (7) Orrit, M. Towards a Molecular View of Glass Heterogeneity. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52* (1), 163–166.
- (8) Wöll, D.; Braeken, E.; Deres, A.; De Schryver, F. C.; Uji-i, H.; Hofkens, J. Polymers and single molecule fluorescence spectroscopy, what can we learn? *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38* (2), 313–328.
- (9) Zondervan, R.; Kulzer, F.; Berkhout, G. C. G.; Orrit, M. Local viscosity of supercooled glycerol near T_g probed by rotational diffusion of ensembles and single dye molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2007**, *104* (31), 12628–12633.
- (10) Al-Hassan, K. A.; Rettig, W. Free volume sensing fluorescent probes. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1986**, *126* (3), 273–279.
- (11) Greene, A. C.; Zhu, J.; Pochan, D. J.; Jia, X.; Kiick, K. L. Poly(acrylic acid-*b*-styrene) Amphiphilic Multiblock Copolymers as Building Blocks for the Assembly of Discrete Nanoparticles. *Macromolecules* **2011**, *44* (7), 1942–1951.
- (12) Kumacheva, E.; Rharbi, Y.; Winnik, M. A.; Guo, L.; Tam, K. C.; Jenkins, R. D. Fluorescence Studies of an Alkaline Swellable Associative Polymer in Aqueous Solution. *Langmuir* **1997**, *13* (2), 182–186.
- (13) Zhang, Z.-X.; Liu, K. L.; Li, J. Self-Assembly and Micellization of a Dual Thermoresponsive Supramolecular Pseudo-Block Copolymer. *Macromolecules* **2011**, *44* (5), 1182–1193.
- (14) Wilhelm, M.; Zhao, C. L.; Wang, Y.; Xu, R.; Winnik, M. A.; Mura, J. L.; Riess, G.; Croucher, M. D. Poly(styrene-ethylene oxide) block copolymer micelle formation in water: a fluorescence probe study. *Macromolecules* **1991**, *24* (5), 1033–1040.
- (15) Hu, J.; Liu, S. Responsive Polymers for Detection and Sensing Applications: Current Status and Future Developments. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43* (20), 8315–8330.
- (16) Astafieva, L.; Zhong, X. F.; Eisenberg, A. Critical micellization phenomena in block polyelectrolyte solutions. *Macromolecules* **1993**, *26* (26), 7339–7352.
- (17) Bromberg, L. E.; Barr, D. P. Aggregation Phenomena in Aqueous Solutions of Hydrophobically Modified Polyelectrolytes. A Probe Solubilization Study. *Macromolecules* **1999**, *32* (11), 3649–3657.
- (18) Christie, D.; Register, R. A.; Priestley, R. D. Direct Measurement of the Local Glass Transition in Self-Assembled Copolymers with Nanometer Resolution. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2018**, *4* (4), 504–511.
- (19) Choi, J.; Lee, S.; Choe, J.; Chung, Y.; Lee, Y. E.; Kim, J.; Kim, M.; Paeng, K. How Tethered Probes Report the Dynamics of a Polymer near the Glass Transition. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2019**, *8* (9), 1181–1186.
- (20) Zhang, L.; Marsiglio, J. A.; Lan, T.; Torkelson, J. M. Dramatic Tunability of the Glass Transition Temperature and Fragility of Low Molecular Weight Polystyrene by Initiator Fragments Located at Chain Ends. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49* (6), 2387–2398.
- (21) Ueberreiter, K.; Kanig, G. Self-plasticization of polymers. *J. Colloid Sci.* **1952**, *7* (6), 569–583.

- (22) Fox, T. G.; Flory, P. J. Second-Order Transition Temperatures and Related Properties of Polystyrene. I. Influence of Molecular Weight. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1950**, *21* (6), 581–591.
- (23) Fox, T. G.; Flory, P. J. The glass temperature and related properties of polystyrene. Influence of molecular weight. *J. Polym. Sci.* **1954**, *14* (75), 315–319.
- (24) Santangelo, P. G.; Roland, C. M.; Chang, T.; Cho, D.; Roovers, J. Dynamics near the Glass Temperature of Low Molecular Weight Cyclic Polystyrene. *Macromolecules* **2001**, *34* (26), 9002–9005.
- (25) Gambino, T.; Martínez de Ilduaya, A.; Alegria, A.; Barroso-Bujans, F. Dielectric Relaxations in Poly(glycidyl phenyl ether): Effects of Microstructure and Cyclic Topology. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49* (3), 1060–1069.
- (26) Di Marzio, E. A.; Guttman, C. M. The glass temperature of polymer rings. *Macromolecules* **1987**, *20* (6), 1403–1407.
- (27) Zhang, L.; Elupula, R.; Grayson, S. M.; Torkelson, J. M. Suppression of the Fragility-Confinement Effect via Low Molecular Weight Cyclic or Ring Polymer Topology. *Macromolecules* **2017**, *50* (3), 1147–1154.
- (28) Gan, Y.; Dong, D.; Hogen-Esch, T. E. Effects of Lithium Bromide on the Glass Transition Temperatures of Linear and Macrocyclic Poly(2-vinylpyridine) and Polystyrene. *Macromolecules* **1995**, *28* (1), 383–385.
- (29) Canossa, S.; Filonenko, G. A. Color-Based Optical Detection of Glass Transitions on Microsecond Timescales Enabled by Exciplex Dynamics. *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, *32* (4), 1906764.
- (30) Filonenko, G. A.; Sun, D.; Weber, M.; Müller, C.; Pidko, E. A. Multicolor Organometallic Mechanophores for Polymer Imaging Driven by Exciplex Level Interactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141* (24), 9687–9692.
- (31) Yu, Z.; Yahsi, U.; McGervey, J. D.; Jamieson, A. M.; Simha, R. Molecular weight-dependence of free volume in polystyrene studied by positron annihilation measurements. *J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys.* **1994**, *32* (16), 2637–2644.
- (32) Zou, L.-N.; Cheng, X.; Rivers, M. L.; Jaeger, H. M.; Nagel, S. R. The Packing of Granular Polymer Chains. *Science* **2009**, *326* (5951), 408–410.
- (33) Fica-Contreras, S. M.; Hoffman, D. J.; Pan, J.; Liang, C.; Fayer, M. D. Free Volume Element Sizes and Dynamics in Polystyrene and Poly(methyl methacrylate) Measured with Ultrafast Infrared Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143* (9), 3583–3594.
- (34) Ellison, C. J.; Torkelson, J. M. The distribution of glass-transition temperatures in nanoscopically confined glass formers. *Nat. Mater.* **2003**, *2* (10), 695–700.
- (35) Fetters, L. J.; Lohse, D. J.; Colby, R. H. Chain Dimensions and Entanglement Spacings. In *Physical Properties of Polymers Handbook*; Mark, J. E., Ed.; Springer New York: New York, NY, 2007; pp 447–454.
- (36) Agapov, A. L.; Sokolov, A. P. Does the Molecular Weight Dependence of T_g Correlate to Me? *Macromolecules* **2009**, *42* (7), 2877–2878.
- (37) Lappala, A.; Sefton, L.; Fenimore, P. W.; Terentjev, E. M. Connectivity and free-surface effects in polymer glasses. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9* (1), 3830.
- (38) Liu, A. J.; Nagel, S. R. Jamming is not just cool any more. *Nature* **1998**, *396* (6706), 21–22.
- (39) Lačević, N.; Glotzer, S. C. Dynamical Heterogeneity and Jamming in Glass-Forming Liquids. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108* (51), 19623–19633.
- (40) Silbert, L. E.; Ertaş, D.; Grest, G. S.; Halsey, T. C.; Levine, D. Analogies between granular jamming and the liquid-glass transition. *Phys. Rev. E: Stat. Phys., Plasmas, Fluids, Relat. Interdiscip. Top.* **2002**, *65* (5), 051307.
- (41) Kawaguchi, D.; Masuoka, K.; Takano, A.; Tanaka, K.; Nagamura, T.; Torikai, N.; Dalglish, R. M.; Langridge, S.; Matsushita, Y. Comparison of Interdiffusion Behavior between Cyclic and Linear Polystyrenes with High Molecular Weights. *Macromolecules* **2006**, *39* (16), 5180–5182.
- (42) Everaers, R.; Karimi-Varzaneh, H. A.; Fleck, F.; Hojdis, N.; Svaneborg, C. Kremer–Grest Models for Commodity Polymer Melts: Linking Theory, Experiment, and Simulation at the Kuhn Scale. *Macromolecules* **2020**, *53* (6), 1901–1916.
- (43) Ediger, M. D. Spatially Heterogeneous Dynamics in Supercooled Liquids. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2000**, *51* (1), 99–128.